

New Subclass of Analytic Functions Defined by q -Differential Operator with Respect to k -Symmetric Points

Abdullah Alsoboh, Maslina Darus

School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan, Malaysia

email: P92712@siswa.ukm.edu.my, maslina@ukm.edu.my

(Received March 28, 2019, June 1, 2019)

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce new subclass of analytic functions using new q -differential operator. We obtain a sufficient conditions and several coefficient inequalities for functions belong to this subclass. The q -integral representation and convolution conditions are also provided.

1 Introduction

We start by letting $\mathbb{D} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$ the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and \mathcal{A} the class of analytic functions $f(z)$ on \mathbb{D} of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i z^i, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (1.1)$$

The q -calculus has various applications in different branches of mathematics that make the study of geometric function theory interesting and pertinent (see [9, 10]). Some applications of q -operator are studied by Aral and Gupta [6] and Elhaddad et.al ([7, 8]). Many different problems related

Key words and phrases: Analytic Functions, Univalent Functions, q -Differential Operator, q -Starlike Functions, q -Convex Functions, k -Symmetric Points.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification: 30C45.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2019, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

to q -calculus can be seen recently in ([1, 2, 13, 15]).

Now, we give some concepts of q -calculus and definitions of q -difference operator $\partial_q f(z)$ and q -integral, respectively, as:

$$\partial_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z)-f(qz)}{z-qz}, & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \\ 0, & z = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\int_0^z f(t) d_q t = (1-q)z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n f(q^n z). \quad (1.3)$$

As a special case of q -Jackson difference operators and integrals, we have [11]

$$\partial_q \log f(z) = \frac{\log q}{q-1} \frac{\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} \Rightarrow \int_0^z \frac{\partial_q f(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} d_q \zeta = \frac{q-1}{\log q} \log f(z).$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, one can see that $\partial_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} [i]_q a_i z^{i-1}$ where $[i]_q = \frac{1-q^i}{1-q}$. If $q \rightarrow 1^-$, then $\partial_q f(z) \rightarrow f'(z)$ and $[i]_q$ tends to i , ($i \in \mathbb{N}$).

For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha)$ the familiar subclasses of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions which are, respectively, q -starlike of order α and q -convex of order α in \mathbb{D} by

$$\mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \mathbf{Re} \left(\frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{C}_q(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \mathbf{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z \partial_q (\partial_q f(z))}{\partial_q f(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\},$$

These classes are introduced by Seoudy and Aouf [15], then studied by Aldweby and Darus [2].

We note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{S}_q^*(\alpha) := \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{C}_q(\alpha) := \mathcal{C}(\alpha)$, where $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ are, respectively, the class of starlike of order α and convex of order α in \mathbb{D} ([14]).

Sokol [16] introduced $\mathcal{S}_s^{(k)}(\alpha)$ a class of starlike functions of order α w.r.t. k -symmetric points as:

$$\mathcal{S}_s^{(k)}(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : \mathbf{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f_k(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{D}, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, and $f_k(z)$ defined by

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-v} f(\varepsilon^v z) \quad (\varepsilon^k = 1, z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{1.5}$$

where k is a fixed positive integer.

Now, for a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we introduce a new q -differential operator: $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^0 f(z) &= f(z) = z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i z^i \\ D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^1 f(z) &= [1 - (\kappa - \lambda)(\delta - \mu)] f(z) + (\kappa - \lambda)(\delta - \mu) z \partial_q f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} [(\kappa - \lambda)(\delta - \mu)([i]_q - 1) + 1] a_i z^i \\ &\vdots \\ D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) &= D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^1 \left(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n-1} f(z) \right) = z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left[\nabla_{\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right]^n a_i z^i \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $\delta, \kappa, \lambda, \mu \geq 0, \kappa > \lambda, \delta > \mu, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$\nabla_{\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q = (\kappa - \lambda)(\delta - \mu)([i]_q - 1) + 1. \tag{1.7}$$

Remarks: We note that

- When $\kappa = 1, \lambda = 0, \delta = 1, \mu = 0$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$ we get Salagean differential operator introduced in [5].
- When $\beta = 1, \kappa = 0, \delta = 1, \mu = 0$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$ then we get Al-Oboudi differential operator introduced in [4].
- When $q \rightarrow 1^-$, we get Ramadan and Darus operator introduced in [13].

Finally, using the q -differential operator defined on (1.6), we introduce new subclass $\mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$ of analytic functions w.r.to k -symmetric points as:

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$ denotes the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that satisfies the following inequality

$$\left| \frac{z \partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} - 1 \right| < (1 - \rho) \left| \alpha \frac{z \partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} + (1 - \beta) \right|, \tag{1.8}$$

where $0 \leq \rho < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and f_k defined by (1.5).

It is clear that the q -derivative of $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)$ has the value as in the following equality

$$\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} [i]_q \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n a_i z^{i-1}, \tag{1.9}$$

and applying the operator $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n$ on the function $f_k(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-v} D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(\varepsilon^v z) \quad (\varepsilon^k = 1). \\ &= z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n a_i \psi_i z^i \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

where

$$\psi_i = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{if } i = lk + 1 \\ o & , \text{if } i \neq lk + 1 \end{cases} \quad (i \geq 2, k, l \geq 1) \tag{1.11}$$

Throughout this paper, we will assume that $0 \leq \rho < 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \delta, \kappa, \lambda, \mu \geq 0, \kappa > \lambda, \delta > \mu, z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

2 Main Results

With the purpose of ratifying and confirming our results, the following preliminary Lemma are needed.

Lemma 2.1. [12] Let $-1 \leq C_2 \leq C_1 < D_1 \leq D_2 \leq 1$, then we have

$$\frac{1 + D_1 z}{1 + C_1 z} \prec \frac{1 + D_2 z}{1 + C_2 z}.$$

In the next theorems, we obtain a sufficient conditions for functions $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.2. For f is given by (1.1) and satisfies the inequality

$$\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left\{ [ik+1]_q [1+\alpha(1-\rho)] - [1-(1-\rho)(1-\beta)] \right\} \left((\kappa-\lambda) \left(\nabla_{\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [ik+1]_q \right)^n |a_{ik+1}| \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq lk+1}}^{\infty} [i]_q \left(1 + (1-\rho)\alpha \right) \left(\nabla_{\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n |a_i| \right) < (1-\rho)(1+\alpha-\beta) \quad (2.12)$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i z^i$, $f_k(z)$ be defined by (1.5), and

$$M = \left| z \partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) - D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z) \right| - (1-\rho) \left| \alpha z \partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) + (1-\beta) D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z) \right|.$$

To show that $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$, it suffices to show that $M < 0$.

Now,

$$M = \left| z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} [i]_q \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n a_i z^i - \left(z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n a_i \psi_i z^i \right) \right| \\ - (1-\rho) \left| \alpha \left(z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} [i]_q \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n a_i z^i \right) + (1-\beta) \left(z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n a_i \psi_i z^i \right) \right| \\ \leq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n ([i]_q - \psi_i) |a_i| r^i - (1-\rho) \left[(\alpha + 1 - \beta) r - \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n (\alpha [i]_q + (1-\beta)\psi_i) |a_i| r^i \right] \\ \leq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n \left\{ ([i]_q - \psi_i) + (1-\rho)[\alpha [i]_q + (1-\beta)\psi_i] \right\} |a_i| r^i - (1-\rho)(1+\alpha-\beta)r$$

where ψ_i given in (1.11).

Thus, for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$M < \left(\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n \left\{ ([i]_q - \psi_i) + (1-\rho)[\alpha [i]_q + (1-\beta)\psi_i] \right\} |a_i| - (1-\rho)(1+\alpha-\beta) \right) r$$

$$M < \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left\{ [i]_q \left(1 + (1-\rho)\alpha \right) + \left((1-\rho)(1-\beta) - 1 \right) \psi_i \right\} \left(\nabla_{q,\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda} [i]_q \right)^n |a_i| - \left[(1-\rho)(1+\alpha-\beta) \right]. \tag{2.13}$$

Substitute ψ_i defined in (1.11) in the inequality (2.13) we get

$$M < \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left\{ [ik+1]_q \left(1 + \alpha(1-\rho) \right) + \left((1-\rho)(1-\beta) - 1 \right) \right\} \left((\kappa-\lambda)(\delta-\mu)([ik+1]_q - 1) + 1 \right)^n |a_{ik+1}| \\ - \left[(1-\rho)(1+\alpha-\beta) \right] + \sum_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq lk+1}}^{\infty} [i]_q \left(1 + \alpha(1-\rho) \right) \left((\kappa-\lambda)(\delta-\mu)([i]_q - 1) + 1 \right)^n |a_i|$$

by condition (2.12), implies $M < 0$, therefore $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ then $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\frac{z \partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1-\rho)(1-\beta)z}{1 - (1-\rho)\alpha z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{2.14}$$

where \prec stands for the subordination (see [3]).

Proof. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$, then by the equality (1.8), we have

$$\left| \frac{z \partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} - 1 \right|^2 < (1-\rho)^2 \left| \alpha \frac{z \partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} + (1-\beta) \right|^2,$$

by letting $H(z) = \frac{z \partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)}$, therefore

$$|H(z) - 1|^2 < (1-\rho)^2 |\alpha H(z) + (1-\beta)|^2.$$

Expanding it we get

$$(1-\alpha^2(1-\rho)^2) |H(z)|^2 - 2(1+(1-\beta)(1-\rho)^2\alpha) \mathbf{Re}\{H(z)\} < (1-\beta)^2(1-\rho)^2 - 1,$$

If $\rho \neq 0, \alpha \neq 1$, we have

$$|H(z)|^2 - 2 \frac{1 + (1-\beta)(1-\rho)^2\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2(1-\rho)^2} \mathbf{Re}\{H(z)\} + \left(\frac{1 + (1-\beta)(1-\rho)^2\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2(1-\rho)^2} \right)^2 \\ < \frac{(1-\beta)^2(1-\rho)^2 - 1}{1 - \alpha^2(1-\rho)^2} + \left(\frac{1 + (1-\beta)(1-\rho)^2\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2(1-\rho)^2} \right)^2,$$

$$\left| H(z) - \frac{1 + (1 - \beta)(1 - \rho)^2\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2(1 - \rho)^2} \right|^2 < \frac{(1 - \beta)^2(1 - \rho)^2 - 1}{1 - \alpha^2(1 - \rho)^2} + \left(\frac{1 + (1 - \beta)(1 - \rho)^2\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2(1 - \rho)^2} \right)^2,$$

that is,

$$\left| H(z) - \frac{1 + (1 - \beta)(1 - \rho)^2\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2(1 - \rho)^2} \right|^2 < \left(\frac{(1 - \beta + \alpha)(1 - \rho)}{1 - \alpha^2(1 - \rho)^2} \right)^2,$$

or equivalent to

$$\left| H(z) - \frac{1 + (1 - \beta)(1 - \rho)^2\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2(1 - \rho)^2} \right| < \frac{(1 - \beta + \alpha)(1 - \rho)}{1 - \alpha^2(1 - \rho)^2}.$$

This tells us that the value of the region of $H(z) = \frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)}$ is contained in the disk whose center is $\frac{1+(1-\beta)(1-\rho)^2\alpha}{1-\alpha^2(1-\rho)^2}$ and of radius $\frac{(1-\beta+\alpha)(1-\rho)}{1-\alpha^2(1-\rho)^2}$. We know the univalent function $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{P}(z) = \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)z}{1-(1-\rho)\alpha z}$ maps the unit disk into

$$\left| \mathfrak{U} - \frac{1 + (1 - \beta)(1 - \rho)^2\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2(1 - \rho)^2} \right| < \frac{(1 - \beta + \alpha)(1 - \rho)}{1 - \alpha^2(1 - \rho)^2}.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{P}(0) = H(0)$ and $H(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{D})$, then we get the following conclusion

$$H(z) \prec \mathfrak{P}(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)z}{1 - \alpha(1 - \rho)z}.$$

Conversely, let

$$\frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)z}{1 - \alpha(1 - \rho)z},$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)\mathfrak{U}(z)}{1 - \alpha(1 - \rho)\mathfrak{U}(z)}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $\mathfrak{U}(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} , and $\mathfrak{U}(0) = 0$, $|\mathfrak{U}(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. By some calculations we can easily obtain

$$\left| \frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} - 1 \right| < (1 - \rho) \left| \alpha \frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} + (1 - \beta) \right|.$$

If $n = 0, \rho = \beta = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$

$$\frac{z\partial_q(f(z))}{f_k(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

Therefore, the proof of this theorem is complete.

Remark: Since $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)z}{1-\alpha(1-\rho)z} > 0 \right\}$, then from previous theorem, we know that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z\partial_q(D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z))}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} \right\} > 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$ then we have $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k \in \mathcal{S}_q^*(0)$.

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$, substitute z by $\varepsilon^m z$ in inequality (2.16) where $m = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, then we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon^m z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(\varepsilon^m z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(\varepsilon^m z)} \right\} > 0,$$

by definition of $f_k(z)$ we know $f_k(\varepsilon^m z) = \varepsilon^m f_k(z)$, and summing up the above inequality for $m = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(\varepsilon^m z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} \right\} > 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} \right\} > 0,$$

that is, $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k \in \mathcal{S}_q^*(0)$, and the proof is complete.

Similarly as in Theorem 2.4, we have $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k \in \mathcal{C}_q(0)$ if and only if $D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n (z\partial_q f_k) \in \mathcal{S}_q^*(0)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 < 1, 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq 1, 0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 < 1$. Then we have $\mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2) \subset \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$.

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ then f satisfies the equation (2.3), we have

$$\frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - \rho_2)(1 - \beta_2)z}{1 - (1 - \rho_2)\alpha_2 z}.$$

By using the given assumptions $0 \leq \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 < 1$, we get

$$-1 \leq -(1 - \rho_1)\alpha_1 \leq -(1 - \rho_2)\alpha_2 < (1 - \rho_2)(1 - \beta_2) \leq (1 - \rho_1)(1 - \beta_1) \leq 1.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we have the final result

$$\frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - \rho_2)(1 - \beta_2)z}{1 - (1 - \rho_2)\alpha_2 z} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - \rho_1)(1 - \beta_1)z}{1 - (1 - \rho_1)\alpha_1 z}.$$

This means $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$, so the proof is complete.

3 Convolution Condition

Definition 3.1. The convolution or Hadamard product of $f(z)$ given by (1.1) and $\alpha(z) = z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} c_i z^i$ is defined by

$$(f * \alpha)(z) = (\alpha * f)(z) = z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i c_i z^i.$$

Theorem 3.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{z} \left\{ f * \left(A(z) - \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)e^{i\theta}}{1 - (1 - \rho)\alpha e^{i\theta}} h(z) \right) \right\} \neq 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)) \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$A(z) = z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda}[i]_q \right)^n z^i, \quad h(z) = z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\nabla_{\delta,\mu}^{\kappa,\lambda}[i]_q \right)^n \psi_i z^i.$$

Proof. We know that

$$z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) = f(z) * A(z), \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z) = f(z) * h(z). \tag{3.19}$$

Suppose $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$, then by equation (2.14), we have

$$\frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} \neq \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)e^{i\theta}}{1 - (1 - \rho)\alpha e^{i\theta}}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}, \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$$

$$z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) \cdot \{1 - (1 - \rho)\alpha e^{i\theta}\} \neq D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z) \{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)e^{i\theta}\}. \tag{3.20}$$

Substitute (3.18) and (3.19) into (3.20) to get (3.17), and this completes the proof.

4 The q -Integral Representation

Finally , we give the q -integral representation of functions in the class $\mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$, then we have*

$$D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z) = z \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^m z} \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)\mathfrak{U}(t)}{t(1 - (1 - \rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(t))} d_q t \right\} \tag{4.21}$$

where $\mathfrak{U}(z)$ is analytic with $\mathfrak{U}(0) = 0$, $|\mathfrak{U}(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and f_k is defined in (1.5).

Proof. Consider $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$, then f satisfied equation (2.15), we have

$$\frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)\mathfrak{U}(z)}{1 - (1 - \rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(z)}, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Substituting z by $\varepsilon^m z$ ($m = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$) in the last equation, we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon^m z \partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(\varepsilon^m z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(\varepsilon^m z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)\mathfrak{U}(\varepsilon^m z)}{1 - (1 - \rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(\varepsilon^m z)}, (m = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1)$$

we know that $f_k(\varepsilon^m z) = \varepsilon^m f_k(z)$, and summing up the above equation for $m = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, we get

$$\frac{z\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)\mathfrak{U}(\varepsilon^m z)}{1 - (1 - \rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(\varepsilon^m z)}. \tag{4.22}$$

From the last equality we have

$$\frac{\partial_q D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)}{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)} - \frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 + (1 - \rho)(1 - \beta)\mathfrak{U}(\varepsilon^m z)}{z(1 - (1 - \rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(\varepsilon^m z))}.$$

By applying the q -Jackson integral, we have

$$\frac{q-1}{\log q} \log \left\{ \frac{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)}{z} \right\} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(\varepsilon^m \vartheta)}{\vartheta(1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(\varepsilon^m \vartheta))} d_q \vartheta,$$

such that

$$\log \left\{ \frac{D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z)}{z} \right\} = \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^m z} \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(t)}{t(1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(t))} d_q t$$

and

$$D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z) = z \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^m z} \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(t)}{t(1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(t))} d_q t \right\}.$$

so the proof is complete.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$, then we have

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \exp \left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^m \vartheta} \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(t)}{t(1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(t))} d_q t \right\} \cdot \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(\vartheta)}{1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(\vartheta)} d_q \vartheta \tag{4.23}$$

where $\mathfrak{U}(z)$ is analytic with $\mathfrak{U}(0) = 0$, $|\mathfrak{U}(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and f_k is defined in (1.5).

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^{n,(k)}(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$, then by the equation (2.15), we have

$$z \partial_q (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)) = D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f_k(z) \cdot \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(z)}{1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(z)},$$

substitute (4.21) in the last equation, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_q (D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z)) \\ = & \exp \left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^m z} \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(t)}{t(1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(t))} d_q t \right\} \cdot \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(z)}{1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the q -Jackson integral on both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{q,\mu,\delta,\kappa,\lambda}^n f(z) \\ = & \int_0^z \exp \left\{ \frac{\log q}{(q-1)k} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^m \vartheta} \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(t)}{t(1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(t))} d_q t \right\} \cdot \frac{1+(1-\rho)(1-\beta)\mathfrak{U}(\vartheta)}{1-(1-\rho)\alpha\mathfrak{U}(\vartheta)} d_q \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

so the proof is complete.

References

- [1] I. Aldawish, M. Darus, Starlikeness of q -differential operator involving quantum calculus, *The Korean Journal of Mathematics*, **22**, no. 4, (2014), 699–709.
- [2] H. Aldweby, M. Darus, Coefficient estimates of classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions, *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics (Kyungshang)*, **26**, no. 1, (2016), 21–26.
- [3] W. Rogosinski, On the coefficients of subordinate functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **48**, (1943), 48–82.
- [4] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **27**, (2004), 1429–1436.
- [5] G. S. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Complex Analysis Fifth Romanian-Finnish Sem., Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981)*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1013, Springer, Berlin, (1983), 367–372.
- [6] A. Aral, V. Gupta, Generalized q -Baskakov operators, *Mathematica Slovaca*, **61**, no. 4, (2011), 619–634.
- [7] S. Elhaddad, H. Aldweby, M. Darus, Some properties on a class of harmonic univalent functions defined by q -analogue of Ruscheweyh operator, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **9**, Issue 4, (2018), 28–35.
- [8] S. Elhaddad, H. Aldweby, M. Darus, On a certain subclasses of analytic functions involving differential operator, *Jnanabha*, **48**, no. 1, (2018), 53–62.
- [9] F. H. Jackson, On q -definite integrals, *J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **41**, (1910), 193–403.
- [10] F. H. Jackson, On q -functions and a certain difference operator, *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, **46**, no. 2, (1909), 253–281.
- [11] V. Kac, P. Cheung, *Quantum calculus*, Springer Science and Business Media, November 16, 2001.
- [12] M. S. Liu, On a class of p -valent close-to-convex functions of order β and type α , *J. Math. Study*, **30**, no. 1, (1997), 102–104.

- [13] S. F. Ramadan, M. Darus, Univalence of an integral operator defined by generalized operators, *Int. J. Comp. Math. Sci.*, **4**, no. 8, (2010), 338–340.
- [14] M. I. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, *Annals of Mathematics*, April 1, 1936, 347–408.
- [15] T. M. Seoudy, M. K. Aouf, Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **10**, no. 1, (2016), 135–145.
- [16] J. Sokol, Some remarks on the class of functions starlike with respect to symmetric points, *Folia Sci. Univ. Tech. Resoviensis*, **73**, (1990), 79–89.