

## On $p$ –monotone $T_2$ –spaces

Fuad A. Abushaheen<sup>1</sup>, Hani Kawariq<sup>2</sup>, Fadi Alrimawi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Basic Science Department  
Middle East University  
Amman, Jordan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Basic Sciences  
Al-Ahliyya Amman University  
Amman, Jordan

email: fshaheen@meu.edu.jo, h.qwareeq@ammanu.edu.jo,  
f.rimawi@ammanu.edu.jo

(Received October 12, 2019, Accepted December 27, 2019)

### Abstract

In this paper, we generalize monotonically  $T_2$ –spaces and strongly monotonically  $T_2$ –spaces to bitopological spaces namely pairwise monotonically  $T_2$ –spaces ( $pMT_2$ –space) and pairwise strongly monotonically  $T_2$ –spaces (strongly– $pMT_2$ –space), respectively. We study the relations between  $pMT_2$ –space, strongly– $pMT_2$ –space and  $p$ – $M_k$ –( $m_k$ )–spaces for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ .

## 1 Introduction

In [1996], Buck [6] defined the concept of monotonically  $T_2$ –space and strongly monotonically  $T_2$ –space, and he studied the relations among monotonically  $T_2$ –space and  $m_k$ –spaces,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ . Al-Bsoul [3] and Bin [4] studied these further.

In [10], Kelly introduced the concept of bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , as a set  $X$  with two topologies  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ . Several papers were written on this

---

**Key words and phrases:**  $pMT_2$ –space, strongly– $pMT_2$ –space,  $p$ – $M_k$ –spaces,  $p$ – $m_k$ –spaces for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ .

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 54B10, 54D10, 54E55.

**ISSN** 1814-0432, 2020, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

topic as generalizations of a single topology  $(X, \tau)$ , ([5], [7], [1], [2].) Let  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $int_{\tau_i}(A)$ ,  $\overline{A}^{\tau_i}$  denote the interior and the closure of  $A$  in  $\tau_i$ , respectively for  $i = 1, 2$ . When  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  has a topological property  $Q$ , both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  have this property. In addition, when we write  $i$  and  $j$  we have in mind that  $i \neq j$ ;  $i, j = 1, 2$ . For the concepts not defined here, we refer the reader to [10] and [8].

## 2 Basic Properties

**Definition 2.1.** A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a pairwise monotonically  $T_2$ -space ( $pMT_2$ -space), if there is a function:

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases}$$

such that for each pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  and for a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U^i(x, y)$  and a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U^j(x, y)$  containing  $x$  the following conditions are satisfied :

- (i)  $U^i(x, y) \cap U^j(y, x) = \phi$  and  $U^j(x, y) \cap U^i(y, x) = \phi$ ,
- (ii) For each  $M \subseteq X$ , if  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ , then  $x \in \overline{M}^{\tau_j}$ . In addition, if
- (iii) For  $z \in U^i(x, y)$ , then  $U^i(z, y) \subseteq U^i(x, y)$  or if  $w \in U^j(x, y)$ , then  $U^j(w, y) \subseteq U^j(x, y)$ ,

then  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise strongly monotonically  $T_2$ -spaces (strongly- $pMT_2$ -space).

Clearly, if  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is strongly- $pMT_2$ -space, then it is a  $pMT_2$ -space and hence  $p-T_2$ -space. In Definition 2.1 if  $U^i(x, y) \cap U^i(y, x) = \phi$ , then  $X$  is  $\tau_i$ -monotonically  $T_2$ -spaces for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** A subspace of a  $pMT_2$ -space is a  $pMT_2$ -space.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a subspace of a  $pMT_2$ -space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then there exists a function  $U(x, y)$  satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.1. Consider the function

$$U_A(x, y) = \begin{cases} U_A^i(x, y) = A \cap U^i(x, y) \\ U_A^j(x, y) = A \cap U^j(x, y) \end{cases}.$$

Then  $U_A(x, y)$  is the function needed and, as a result,  $A$  is  $pMT_2$ -space.  $\square$

The following theorem illustrates that while a  $p - T_2$ -space is weaker than a  $pMT_2$ - space, a  $pMT_2$ - space is stronger than a  $p - T_3$ - space.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Every  $pMT_2$ -space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $p - T_3$ - space.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a  $\tau_j$ -closed set and  $x \notin A = \overline{A}^{\tau_j}$ , for  $y \in A$ . There is a function

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases}$$

such that  $U^i(x, y) \cap U^j(y, x) = \phi$ ,  $U^j(x, y) \cap U^i(y, x) = \phi$ , and

$$x \notin \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in A\}}^{\tau_j}.$$

So there exists a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U_x$  containing  $x$  such that  $U_x \cap (\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in A\}) = \phi$ . Hence  $x \in U_x$  and  $A \subseteq \bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in A\}$ . Therefore,  $X$  is a  $p - T_3$ - space.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Every strongly- $pMT_2$ -space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p - T_3$ -space.*

As an application of Theorem 2.3, consider the following example.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = (\mathbb{R}, \tau_{cof}, \tau_u)$ , where  $\tau_u$  denotes the usual topology and  $\tau_{cof}$  denotes the cofinite topology. Then  $X$  is not a  $p - T_3$ - space and hence it is not a  $pMT_2$ -space. Notice that  $X$  is not  $p - T_2$ - space.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a first countable bitopological space. Then  $X$  is  $p - T_3$ - space if and only if  $X$  is  $pMT_2$ -space.*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Theorem 2.3.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $x \neq y \in X$ , since  $X$  is first countable, there exists countable nested local bases  $\{V_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $x$ , and  $\{V_n(y)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $y$ . Let  $\kappa(x)$  be the minimum index such that  $x \notin \overline{V_{\kappa(x)}(y)}^{\tau_j}$ , where  $V_{\kappa(x)}(y)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set, and let  $\lambda(x)$  be the minimum index such that  $x \notin \overline{V_{\lambda(x)}(y)}^{\tau_i}$ , where  $V_{\lambda(x)}(y)$  is a  $\tau_j$ -open set, also let  $i(x, y), j(x, y)$  be the smallest integers such that

$$V_{j(x,y)}(x) \cap V_{\kappa(x)}(y) = \phi, \quad \text{and} \quad V_{i(x,y)}(x) \cap V_{\lambda(x)}(y) = \phi,$$

where  $V_{i(x,y)}(x) \in \tau_i$  and  $V_{j(x,y)}(x) \in \tau_j$ . Define

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases},$$

by

$$U^i(x, y) = V_{i(x,y)}(x),$$

and

$$U^j(x, y) = V_{j(x,y)}(x),$$

since  $y \notin U^i(x, y) \subseteq V_{\lambda(x)}(y)$  and  $y \notin U^j(x, y) \subseteq V_{\kappa(x)}(y)$ , so we have  $U^i(x, y) \cap U^j(y, x) = \phi$ , and  $U^j(x, y) \cap U^i(y, x) = \phi$ . For the second condition, let  $M \subseteq X$  and  $x \notin \overline{M}^{\tau_j}$ , so  $\overline{M}^{\tau_j} \subseteq X - \{x\}$ ; let  $j$  be minimum index such that  $V_j(x) \cap M = \phi$ , where  $V_j(x)$  is a  $\tau_j$ -open set. Let  $y \in M$ , so  $V_j(x) \subseteq V_{\lambda(y)}(x) \subseteq X - U^i(y, x)$ , so  $x \in V_j(x) \subseteq X - \bigcup\{U^i(y, x)|y \in M\}$ , therefore  $x \notin \bigcup\{U^i(y, x)|y \in M\}^{\tau_j}$ , hence the result.  $\square$

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u, \tau_s)$ , where  $\tau_s$  is Sorgenfrey line, since  $X$  is first countable and  $p - T_3$ -space, then  $X$  is  $pMT_2$ -space.

**Definition 2.8 (9).** A cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of a bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover (base), if  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ , and it is called  $p$ -open cover (base), if it is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open cover and contains at least one nonempty member of  $\tau_1$  and one nonempty member of  $\tau_2$ .

**Definition 2.9 (1).** A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p - [a, b]$ -compact if every  $p$ -open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  with  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq b$  has a subcover with cardinality  $< a$ .

If  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p - [a, b]$ -compact for all  $b \geq a$ , then it is called  $p - [a, \infty]$ -compact, where  $a, b$  are infinite regular cardinals.

**Definition 2.10 (1).** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $P_a$ -space if the intersection of a members of  $\tau$  is open.

**Definition 2.11 (7).** Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be two topologies on  $X$ . Then  $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$  forms a subbase for some topology on  $X$ ; this topology is called the least upper bound (L.U.B) topology and is denoted by  $(X, \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle)$ . Each basic open set

$B$  in  $(X, \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle)$  has the form  $B = \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i$  where  $B_i \in \tau_1$  or  $B_i \in \tau_2$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The intersection of the  $B_i$ 's which are in  $\tau_1$ , is in  $\tau_1$  and the intersection of the  $B_i$ 's which are in  $\tau_2$ , is in  $\tau_2$ . So  $B = U \cap V$  where  $U \in \tau_1$  and  $V \in \tau_2$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** If  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $pMT_2$ -space with  $P_a$ -intersection property, then for disjoint  $p - [a, \infty]$ -compact subsets  $E, F$  of  $X$ , there exists  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ -open subsets  $U, V$  such that  $E \subseteq U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E, F$  be two  $p - [a, \infty]$ - compact subsets of  $X$ , and let  $e \in E$  and  $f \in F$ , since  $X$  is  $pMT_2$ -space, there exists a function

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^1(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_1 \\ U^2(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_2 \end{cases}$$

such that  $U^1(e, f) \cap U^2(f, e) = \phi$ , and  $U^2(e, f) \cap U^1(f, e) = \phi$ . Now

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U^1(e, f)|e \in E\} \cup \{U^2(e, f)|e \in E\}$$

is a  $p$ - open cover of  $E$ , but  $E$  is a  $p - [a, \infty]$ - compact subspace, then there exists a subcover  $\mathcal{U}^*$  of  $E$  with cardinality  $< a$ , let  $U = (\mathcal{U}^* \cap \tau_1) \cup (\mathcal{U}^* \cap \tau_2)$ , and  $\mathcal{U}^* \cap \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \subseteq \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{U}^* \cap \tau_2 \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2 \subseteq \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ , and so  $U$  is a  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ - open subset with  $E \subseteq U$ , in same technique we can define a  $\langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$ - open subset  $V$  with  $F \subseteq V$  with  $U \cap V = \phi$ , hence the result.  $\square$

In the end of this section, we discuss the known problem that (if every subspace of  $X$  has a property, then the space  $X$  has this property), in our notation  $pMT_2$ -space.

**Theorem 2.13.** *If every proper subspace of  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $pMT_2$ -space, then  $X$  is  $pMT_2$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in X$  be three distinct points, let  $Z_k = X - z_k, k = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $Z_k$  are  $pMT_2$ -subspaces of  $X$ , so there exists functions

$$U_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} U_k^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U_k^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ ; which satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.1. Define

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases}$$

as

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U_1(x, y), & \text{if } x = z_3, y = z_2 \\ U_2(x, y), & \text{if } x = z_3, y \neq z_2 \\ U_2(x, y), & \text{if } x \neq z_2, y = z_3 \\ U_3(x, y), & \text{if } x \neq z_3 \neq y \end{cases},$$

for  $x \neq y \in X$ , clearly  $U^i(x, y) \cap U^j(y, x) = \phi$ , and  $U^j(x, y) \cap U^i(y, x) = \phi$ . For the second condition let  $M \subseteq X$  and  $x \notin \overline{M}^{\tau_j}$ , so we have the following cases :

(i) For  $x = z_3$  and  $z_2 \notin M$ , then  $U(y, x) = U_2(y, z_3)$  for all  $y \in M$ , and hence  $x = z_3 \notin \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, z_3) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ .

(ii) For  $x = z_3$  and  $y = z_2 \in M$ , so

$$\overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j} = \overline{\bigcup \{U_2^i(y, x) | y \in M - \{z_2\}\}}^{\tau_j} \cup \overline{U_1^i(z_2, z_3)}^{\tau_j},$$

therefore  $z_3 \in \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, z_3) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ .

(iii) For  $x \neq z_3$ , then if  $z_3 \notin M$ ,  $z_2 \in M$  or  $z_3 \notin M$  and  $z_2 \notin M$ , then let  $U(y, x) = U_3(y, x)$  for all  $y \in M$ .

(iv) For  $x \neq z_3$  and  $z_2, z_3 \in M$ , then

$$\overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j} = \overline{\bigcup \{U_3^i(y, x) | y \in M - \{z_3\}\}}^{\tau_j} \cup \overline{U_2^i(z_3, x)}^{\tau_j},$$

therefore  $x \notin \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ .

(v) For  $z_3 \in M$  and  $z_2 \notin M$ , then

$$U(y, x) = \begin{cases} U_1(z_3, z_2), & \text{if } x = z_2, y = z_3 \\ U_2(z_3, x), & \text{if } x \neq z_2, y = z_3 \\ U_3(y, z_2), & \text{if } x = z_2, y \neq z_3 \\ U_3(y, x), & \text{if } x \neq z_2, y \neq z_3 \end{cases},$$

hence  $x \notin \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$  and therefore  $X$  is  $pMT_2$ -space .

□

### 3 Characterizations of $pMT_2$ -space and Strongly- $pMT_2$ -space

In this section , we give characterizations for  $pMT_2$ -space and strongly- $pMT_2$ -space, but before that we introduce the following definition and two lemmas.

**Definition 3.1.** A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p$ -Urysohn space is for  $x \neq y \in X$  if there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U_x$  contains  $x$  and a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U_y$  contains  $y$  such that  $\overline{U_x}^{\tau_j} \cap \overline{U_y}^{\tau_i} = \phi$ , and there exists a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $V_x$  contains  $x$  and a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $V_y$  contains  $y$  such that  $\overline{V_x}^{\tau_i} \cap \overline{V_y}^{\tau_j} = \phi$ .

**Lemma 3.2 (12).** *A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p - T_1$ -space if and only if every singleton point is pairwise closed (i.e. closed in  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$ ).*

**Lemma 3.3.** *Every  $p - T_1$ -space,  $p - T_3$ -space is  $p$ -Urysohn space.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \neq y \in X$ , by Lemma 3.2  $X - \{x\}$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open contains  $x$ , by [11] there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U_x$  such that  $x \in U_x \subseteq \overline{U_x}^{\tau_j} \subseteq X - \{y\}$ , but  $X - \overline{U_x}^{\tau_j}$  is a  $\tau_j$ -open contains  $x$ , so there exists a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U_y$  such that  $y \in U_y \subseteq \overline{U_y}^{\tau_i} \subseteq \overline{U_x}^{\tau_j}$ , hence  $\overline{U_x}^{\tau_j} \cap \overline{U_y}^{\tau_i} = \phi$ , in similar way we can find a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $V_x$  contains  $x$  and a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $V_y$  contains  $y$  such that  $\overline{V_x}^{\tau_i} \cap \overline{V_y}^{\tau_j} = \phi$ . □

**Theorem 3.4.** *A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $pMT_2$ -space if and only if there exists a function*

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases} ,$$

*such that for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U^i(x, y)$  contains only one point of  $\{x, y\}$ , such that for  $M \subseteq X$ , if  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ , then  $x \in \overline{M}^{\tau_j}$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Obvious.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) First, we need to apply Lemma 3.3,  $X$  is  $p - T_1$ -space, assume not, then there exists two distinct points  $x, y$  that can not be separated by  $\tau_i$ -open and  $\tau_j$ -open sets, with out loss of generally, let a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U^i(x, y)$  contains  $x$ , and  $y \in U^i(x, y) \subseteq \overline{U^i(x, y)}^{\tau_j}$ , let  $M = \{x\}$ , since  $y \in \overline{U^i(x, y)}^{\tau_j}$ , then  $y \in \overline{\{x\}}^{\tau_j}$  which is a contradiction, hence  $X$  is  $p - T_1$ -space. Now define

$$V(x, y) = \begin{cases} V^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ V^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases} ,$$

as

$$V(x, y) = U(x, y) \quad \text{if } x \in U(x, y),$$

and

$$V(x, y) = U(y, x) \quad \text{if } x \notin U(x, y),$$

clearly  $V^i(x, y)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set contains  $x$  but not  $y$ , for  $M \subseteq X$ , if  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{V^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ , then  $x \in \overline{M}^{\tau_j}$ .

Also  $X$  is a  $p - T_3$ -space, for instance, let  $A$  be a  $\tau_j$ -closed set and

$x \notin A = \overline{A}^{\tau_j}$ , then  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{V^i(y, x) | y \in A\}}^{\tau_j}$ , so there exists a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $W_x$  contains  $x$  such that  $W_x \cap \bigcup \{V^i(y, x) | y \in A\} = \phi$ , hence  $A \subseteq \bigcup \{V^i(y, x) | y \in A\}$  and  $x \in W_x$ , that means  $X$  is  $p-T_3$ -space, therefore by Lemma 3.3, for  $x \neq y$  there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U_x$  and a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U_y$  contains  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively such that  $\overline{U_x}^{\tau_j} \cap \overline{U_y}^{\tau_i} = \phi$ , and there exists a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $V_x$  and a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $V_y$  contains  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively such that  $\overline{U_x}^{\tau_i} \cap \overline{U_y}^{\tau_j} = \phi$ . Finally, define

$$W(x, y) = \begin{cases} W^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ W^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases},$$

by

$$W^i(x, y) = V^i(x, y) \cap U_x, \quad W^j(y, x) = V^j(y, x) \cap U_y,$$

and

$$W^j(x, y) = V^j(x, y) \cap V_x, \quad W^i(y, x) = V^i(y, x) \cap V_y.$$

Clearly

$$W^i(x, y) \cap W^j(y, x) = \phi,$$

and

$$W^j(x, y) \cap W^i(y, x) = \phi.$$

If  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{W^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ , then  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{V^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ , and so  $x \in \overline{M}^{\tau_j}$ , therefore  $X$  is  $pMT_2$ -space . □

We continue in this section by give more characterization of  $pMT_2$ -space which is become stronger than Definition 2.1 .

**Theorem 3.5.** *A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $pMT_2$ -space, if there is a function:*

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases}$$

such that for each pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  and for a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U^i(x, y)$  and a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U^j(x, y)$  contain  $x$  the following conditions are satisfies :

$$(i) \overline{U^i(x, y)}^{\tau_j} \cap \overline{U^j(y, x)}^{\tau_i} = \phi, \text{ and } \overline{U^j(x, y)}^{\tau_i} \cap \overline{U^i(y, x)}^{\tau_j} = \phi,$$

$$(ii) \text{ For each } M \subseteq X, \text{ if } x \in \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}, \text{ then } x \in \overline{M}^{\tau_j}.$$



*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Trivial.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $X$  be a  $pMT_2$ -space with a function

$$V(x, y) = \begin{cases} V^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ V^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases} .$$

Since  $X$  is  $p - T_3$ -space, then for  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U^i(x, y)$  such that  $x \in U^i(x, y) \subseteq \overline{U^i(x, y)}^{\tau_j} \subseteq V^i(x, y)$ , similarly for  $y \in V^j(y, x)$ , there exists a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U^j(y, x)$  such that  $y \in U^j(y, x) \subseteq \overline{U^j(y, x)}^{\tau_i} \subseteq V^j(y, x)$ , then

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases} ,$$

is the needed function, hence the result. □

The following corollary gives a characterization of strongly- $pMT_2$ -space.

**Corollary 3.6.** *A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is strongly- $pMT_2$ -space if and only if there exists a function*

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases} ,$$

such that for each  $x \neq y \in X$ , there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U^i(x, y)$  contains only one point of  $\{x, y\}$ , such that the following conditions satisfies :

- (i) For  $M \subseteq X$ , if  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ , then  $x \in \overline{M}^{\tau_j}$ .
- (ii) For  $z \in U^i(x, y)$ , then  $U^i(z, y) \subseteq U^i(x, y)$  or if  $w \in U^j(x, y)$ , then  $U^j(w, y) \subseteq U^j(x, y)$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.4. □

Since the concept of  $pMT_2$ -space is stronger than  $p - T_2$ -space . We end this section by a equivalent statement to  $p - T_2$ -space.

**Theorem 3.7.** *A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a  $p - T_2$ -space, if there is a function:*

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases}$$

which assigns to each pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U^i(x, y)$  contains  $x$  but not  $y$  such that for  $M \subseteq X$ , if  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in M\}}^{\tau_j}$ , then  $x \in \overline{M}^{\tau_j}$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $x \neq y \in X$ , since  $X$  is  $p - T_2$ -space, there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U_x$  contains  $x$  and a  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U_y$  contains  $y$  such that  $U_x \cap U_y = \phi$ , now  $U_y \subseteq X - U_x$ ,  $\overline{U_y}^{\tau_i} \subseteq \overline{X - U_x}^{\tau_i} = X - U_x$ , then  $U_x \subseteq X - \overline{U_y}^{\tau_i}$ , similarly for  $U_y \subseteq X - \overline{U_x}^{\tau_j}$ .

Define

$$U^i(x, y) = U_x \cap (X - \overline{U_y}^{\tau_i}),$$

and

$$U^j(y, x) = U_y \cap (X - \overline{U_x}^{\tau_j}),$$

then  $U^i(x, y)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set contains  $x$ .

Let  $x \notin \overline{M}^{\tau_i}$ ,  $\overline{M}^{\tau_i} \subseteq X - \{x\}$ , then  $\overline{U^j(y, x)}^{\tau_i} = \overline{U_y \cap (X - \overline{U_x}^{\tau_j})}^{\tau_i} \subseteq \overline{U_y}^{\tau_i}$ , then  $x \notin \bigcup \{U^j(y, x) | y \in M\}^{\tau_i}$ , as required.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $x \neq y \in X$ , by assumption there exists a function

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases}$$

with  $x \notin \{y\} = \overline{\{y\}}^{\tau_i} \subseteq \overline{U^j(y, x)}^{\tau_i}$ . Define

$$U_x = U^i(x, y) \cap (X - \overline{U^j(y, x)}^{\tau_i}),$$

and

$$U_y = U^j(y, x) \cap (X - \overline{U^i(x, y)}^{\tau_j}),$$

then  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  are the needed  $\tau_i$ -open,  $\tau_j$ -open subsets of  $X$ , respectively, hence  $X$  is  $p - T_2$ -space.  $\square$

## 4 $pMT_2$ -space and $M_k - (m_k)$ -spaces

In this section, we generalize the concept of  $p - M_k$ -spaces and  $p - m_k$ -spaces  $k = 1, 2, 3$  in bitopological spaces and give some relations among them and  $pMT_2$ -space and strongly- $pMT_2$ -space.

**Definition 4.1.** A pair collection  $\mathcal{P} = \{P^i = (P_1^i, P_2^i)\} \cup \{P^j = (P_1^j, P_2^j)\}$  is a pair  $p$ -base for  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  where  $P_1^i \in \tau_i$ ,  $P_1^j \in \tau_j$  and  $P_1^i \subseteq P_2^i$ ,  $P_1^j \subseteq P_2^j$ , such that for  $x \in U$ , where  $U$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open ( $\tau_j$ -open), there exists  $P_1^i(P_1^j) \in \mathcal{P}$ , such that  $x \in P_1^i \subseteq P_2^i \subseteq U$  ( $x \in P_1^j \subseteq P_2^j \subseteq U$ ).

**Definition 4.2.** A pair  $p$ -base collection  $\mathcal{P} = \{P^i = (P_1^i, P_2^i)\} \cup \{P^j = (P_1^j, P_2^j)\}$  in a bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p$ -cushioned collection if whenever  $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$\overline{\bigcup \{P_1^i | P_1^i \in \mathcal{P}'\}}^{\tau_j} \subseteq \bigcup \{P_2^i | P_2^i \in \mathcal{P}'\}$$

and

$$\overline{\bigcup \{P_1^j | P_1^j \in \mathcal{P}'\}}^{\tau_i} \subseteq \bigcup \{P_2^j | P_2^j \in \mathcal{P}'\}.$$

**Definition 4.3.** A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p$ - $M_3$ -space if  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ - $p$ -cushioned collection.

**Definition 4.4.** A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p$ - $m_3$ -space if each point of  $X$  has a  $p$ -cushioned local pair  $p$ -base.

**Theorem 4.5.** If  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a countable  $pMT_2$ -space, then  $X$  is  $p$ - $M_3$ -space.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a countable  $pMT_2$ -space, then there exists a function

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases}$$

satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.1. Now for  $x \in X$ , let

$$\mathcal{V}_x = \{V_i(x) | V_i(x) \in \tau_i\} \cup \{V_j(x) | V_j(x) \in \tau_j\},$$

be a local  $p$ -open base for  $x$ . Define

$$P_1(V(x)) = \begin{cases} P_1^i(V(x)) = X - \overline{\bigcup \{U^j(y, x) | y \in X - V^i(x)\}}^{\tau_i} \\ P_1^j(V(x)) = X - \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in X - V^j(x)\}}^{\tau_j} \end{cases},$$

$$P_2(V(x)) = \begin{cases} P_2^i(V(x)) = V^i(x) \\ P_2^j(V(x)) = V^j(x) \end{cases},$$

and

$$P(V(x)) = \{(P_1^i(V(x)), P_2^i(V(x)))\} \cup \{(P_1^j(V(x)), P_2^j(V(x)))\}$$

also define

$$\mathcal{P}_x(V(x)) = \bigcup \{P(V(x)) | V^i(x), V^j(x) \in \mathcal{V}_x\}, \quad \mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{P}_x(V(x)).$$

Let  $x \in X$  and  $U^i(x)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set, then there exists a  $V^i(x) \in \mathcal{V}_x$  with  $V^i(x) \subseteq U^i(x)$ , similarly for a  $\tau_j$ -open  $U^j(z)$  with  $z \in U^j(z)$ , so there exists  $V^j(z) \in \mathcal{V}_x$  with  $V^j(z) \subseteq U^j(z)$ , clearly

$$x \in P_1^i(V(x)) \subseteq P_2^i(V(x)) \subseteq U^i(x),$$

and

$$z \in P_1^j(V(x)) \subseteq P_2^j(V(x)) \subseteq U^j(z),$$

hence  $\mathcal{P}$  is a pair  $p$ -base for  $X$ .

For  $\mathcal{P}'_x \subseteq \mathcal{P}_x$ , assume

$$y \notin \bigcup \{P_2^i(V(x)) \mid P_2^i(V(x)) \in \mathcal{P}', V^i(x) \in \mathcal{V}'_x \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x\},$$

so

$$y \notin V^i(x) \quad \text{for all } V^i(x) \in \mathcal{V}'_x,$$

and then

$$P_1^i(V(x)) \cap U^j(y, x) = \phi,$$

therefore

$$y \notin \overline{\bigcup \{P_1^i(V(x)) \mid P_1^i(V(x)) \in \mathcal{P}'\}}^{\tau_j},$$

similarly for

$$w \notin \bigcup \{P_2^j(V(x)) \mid P_2^j(V(x)) \in \mathcal{P}', V^j(x) \in \mathcal{V}'_x \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x\},$$

hence  $X$  is  $p - M_3$ -space. □

**Definition 4.6.** A  $p$ -base collection  $\mathcal{H} = \{H^i \mid H^i \in \tau_i\} \cup \{H^j \mid H^j \in \tau_j\}$  of a bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p$ -closure preserving if for  $\mathcal{H}' \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $\overline{\bigcup \{H^i \mid H^i \in \tau_i\}}^{\tau_j} = \bigcup \{H^i \mid H^i \in \tau_i\}$ .

**Definition 4.7.** A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p - M_1$ -space if  $X$  has  $\sigma - p$ -closure preserving.

**Definition 4.8.** A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p - m_1$ -space if each point of  $X$  has a local  $p$ -closure preserving  $p$ -base.

From the pervious definitions, we give the following obvious theorems.

**Theorem 4.9.** Any  $p - m_k$ -countable bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p - M_k$ -spaces for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** *A first countable bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p - M_1$ - space.*

Since our concentration is about  $pMT_2$ -space , we give the following theorems.

**Theorem 4.11.** *Every  $pMT_2$ -space in a bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p - m_3$ - space.*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a  $pMT_2$ -space, there exists a function

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases}$$

satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.1, let  $x \in X$  with local  $p$ -base

$$\mathcal{V} = \{V_i(x) | V_i(x) \in \tau_i\} \cup \{V_j(x) | V_j(x) \in \tau_j\},$$

finally construct  $p$ - cushioned local pair  $p$ -base same as technique in Theorem 4.5. □

The converse of the previous theorem is -in general- not true, but by adding that  $X$  is  $p - T_2$ - space, we can get the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a  $p - T_2$ -space,  $p - m_3$ - space, then  $X$  is  $pMT_2$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{P_x^i = (P_{1,\alpha}^i, P_{2,\alpha}^i)\} \cup \{P_x^j = (P_{1,\alpha}^j, P_{2,\alpha}^j)\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_x}$  be a  $p$ - cushioned pair  $p$ -base for  $x$ . For  $x \neq y \in X$ , define

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) = \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_x} \{P_{1,\alpha}^i | y \notin P_{2,\alpha}^i\} \right) - \overline{\left( \bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta_y} \{P_{1,\beta}^j | x \notin P_{2,\beta}^j\} \right)^{\tau_i}} \\ U^j(x, y) = \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_x} \{P_{1,\alpha}^j | y \notin P_{2,\alpha}^j\} \right) - \overline{\left( \bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta_y} \{P_{1,\beta}^i | x \notin P_{2,\beta}^i\} \right)^{\tau_j}} \end{cases},$$

since  $X$  is a  $p - T_2$ -space, then we have

$$x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_x} \{P_{1,\alpha}^i | y \notin P_{2,\alpha}^i\}, \quad \text{and} \quad x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_x} \{P_{1,\alpha}^j | y \notin P_{2,\alpha}^j\},$$

but  $\mathcal{P}_y$  is  $p$ - cushioned pair  $p$ -base for  $y$ , then

$$x \notin \bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta_y} \{P_{1,\beta}^j | x \notin P_{2,\beta}^j\}, \quad \text{and} \quad x \notin \bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta_y} \{P_{1,\beta}^i | x \notin P_{2,\beta}^i\},$$

hence  $U^i(x, y), U^j(x, y)$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set,  $\tau_j$ -open set of  $x$ , respectively. Also  $U^i(x, y) \cap U^j(y, x) = \phi$ , assume  $z \in U^i(x, y)$  and  $z \in U^j(y, x)$ , then there exists  $\beta \in \Delta_y$  such that

$$z \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta_y} \{P_{1,\beta}^j | x \notin P_{2,\beta}^i\} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta_y} \{P_{1,\beta}^j | x \notin P_{2,\beta}^i\}}^{\tau_i},$$

then means  $z \notin U^i(x, y)$ , therefore  $U^i(x, y) \cap U^j(y, x) = \phi$ , similarly, we can show that  $U^j(x, y) \cap U^i(y, x) = \phi$ .

For the second condition, let  $x \notin \overline{M}^{\tau_i}$ , then for all  $\tau_j$ -open set  $U_x$  contains  $x$  we have  $U_x \cap M = \phi$ , so there exists  $\alpha' \in \Delta_x$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{P_x^i = (P_{1,\alpha}^i, P_{2,\alpha}^i)\} \cup \{P_x^j = (P_{1,\alpha}^j, P_{2,\alpha}^j)\}$  is a  $p$ -cushioned pair  $p$ -base for  $x$ , with  $x \in P_{1,\alpha'}^j \subseteq P_{2,\alpha'}^j \subseteq U_x$  and  $P_{2,\alpha'}^j \cap M = \phi$ . Let  $z \in P_{1,\alpha'}^j$  and  $y \in M$ , so  $y \notin P_{2,\alpha'}^j$ , and  $z \in \overline{\bigcup \{P_{1,\alpha'}^j | y \notin P_{2,\alpha'}^j\}}^{\tau_i}$ , and hence  $z \notin U^i(y, x)$  for all  $y \in M$ , moreover

$$P_{1,\alpha'}^j \cap \left\{ \bigcup U^i(y, x) | y \in M \right\} = \phi,$$

i.e.

$$x \notin \overline{\left\{ \bigcup U^i(y, x) | y \in M \right\}}^{\tau_j},$$

therefore  $X$  is  $pMT_2$ -space.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.13.** Every  $p - T_2$ -space,  $p - m_3$ -space in  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p - T_3$ -space.

**Definition 4.14.** A collection  $\mathcal{B}$  is called  $p$ -quasi base if whenever  $x \in U$  and  $U$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open ( $\tau_j$ -open) there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in \text{Int}_{\tau_j}(B) \subseteq B \subseteq U$  ( $x \in \text{Int}_{\tau_i}(B) \subseteq B \subseteq U$ ).

**Definition 4.15.** A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p - M_2$ -space if  $X$  has  $p$ -quasi  $p$ -closure preserving  $p$ -base.

**Definition 4.16.** A bitopological space  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $p - m_2$ -space if each point of  $X$  has  $p$ -quasi  $p$ -closure preserving local  $p$ -base.

**Theorem 4.17.** Every  $p - T_3$ -space,  $p - m_2$ -space in  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $p - m_3$ -space.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U_{\alpha,x}\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_x}$  be a  $p$ -quasi  $p$ -closure preserving  $p$ -base for  $x$ . Now let

$$\mathcal{P}_x = \{P_x^i = (\text{int}_{\tau_i}(U_{\alpha,x}), \overline{U_{\alpha,x}}^{\tau_j})\} \cup \{P_x^j = (\text{int}_{\tau_j} U_{\alpha,x}, \overline{U_{\alpha,x}}^{\tau_i})\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_x},$$

and let  $U_x$  be a  $\tau_i$ -open contains  $x$ , since  $X$  is  $p-T_3$ -space, there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $V_x$  contains  $x$  with  $x \in V_x \subseteq \overline{V_x}^{\tau_j} \subseteq U_x$ , since  $\mathcal{U}_x$  is a  $p$ -quasi  $p$ -closure preserving  $p$ -base for  $x$ , there exists  $\alpha_1 \in \Delta_x$  such that

$$x \in \text{int}_{\tau_j}(U_{\alpha_1,x}) \subseteq U_{\alpha_1,x} \subseteq V_x,$$

therefore

$$x \in \text{int}_{\tau_j}(U_{\alpha_1,x}) \subseteq \overline{U_{\alpha_1,x}}^{\tau_j} \subseteq U_x.$$

Finally,  $\mathcal{P}_x$  is  $p$ -cushioned for  $x$ , for instance, let

$$\mathcal{P}'_x = \{P_x^{i'} = (\text{int}_{\tau_i}(U_{\alpha,x}), \overline{U_{\alpha,x}}^{\tau_j})\} \cup \{P_x^{j'} = (\text{int}_{\tau_j}(U_{\alpha,x}), \overline{U_{\alpha,x}}^{\tau_i})\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_x, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta'_x \subseteq \Delta_x$$

then

$$\overline{\bigcup \{\text{int}_{\tau_i}(U_{\alpha,x}) \mid \alpha \in \Delta'_x \subseteq \Delta_x\}}^{\tau_j} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup \{U_{\alpha,x} \mid \alpha \in \Delta'_x \subseteq \Delta_x\}}^{\tau_j} = \bigcup \{\overline{U_{\alpha,x}}^{\tau_j} \mid \alpha \in \Delta'_x \subseteq \Delta_x\},$$

hence the result. □

We end this paper by the relation between strongly- $pMT_2$ -space and  $p-m_2$ -space.

**Theorem 4.18.** *If  $X = (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is strongly- $pMT_2$ -space, then  $X$  is  $p-m_2$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $\mathcal{V}_x = \{V_{\alpha,x}^i \mid \alpha \in \Delta, V_{\alpha,x}^i \in \tau_i\} \cup \{V_{\alpha,x}^j \mid \alpha \in \Delta, V_{\alpha,x}^j \in \tau_j\}$  be a local  $p$ -base for  $x$ , since  $X$  is strongly- $pMT_2$ -space, there exists a function

$$U(x, y) = \begin{cases} U^i(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_i \\ U^j(x, y) : X \times X \longrightarrow \tau_j \end{cases},$$

satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.1. For  $V_{\alpha,x}^j \subseteq \mathcal{V}_x$ , define

$$W^* = X - \bigcup \{U^i(y, x) \mid y \in X - V_{\alpha,x}^j\},$$

clearly  $W^*$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open and  $W^* \subseteq V_{\alpha,x}^j$ , let  $\mathcal{W} = \{W^* \mid V_{\alpha,x}^j \in \mathcal{V}_x\}$ , since  $X$  is strongly- $pMT_2$ -space and  $x \notin X - V_{\alpha,x}^j$ , we have

$$x \notin \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) \mid y \in X - V_{\alpha,x}^j\}}^{\tau_j},$$

thus

$$X - \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) \mid y \in X - V_{\alpha,x}^j\}}^{\tau_j} \subseteq X - \bigcup \{U^i(y, x) \mid y \in X - V_{\alpha,x}^j\},$$

and hence

$$x \in X - \overline{\bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in X - V_{\alpha, x}^j\}}^{\tau_j} \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_j} W^*.$$

Let  $x \in U$  and  $U$  is a  $\tau_i$ -open set, there exists  $V \in \mathcal{V}_x$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq U$ , then  $x \in \text{int}_{\tau_j} W^* \subseteq W^* \subseteq U$ , hence  $\mathcal{W}$  is  $p$ -quasi local  $p$ -base for  $x$ , also  $\mathcal{W}$  is a  $p$ -closure preserving, indeed let  $\mathcal{W}' \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ , clearly

$$\bigcup \{\overline{W^*}^{\tau_i} | V_{\alpha, x}^j \in \mathcal{W}'\} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup \{W^* | V_{\alpha, x}^j \in \mathcal{W}'\}}^{\tau_i} = \bigcup \{W^* | V_{\alpha, x}^j \in \mathcal{W}'\},$$

for the other side, assume  $z \notin \bigcup \{\overline{W^*}^{\tau_i} | V_{\alpha, x}^j \in \mathcal{W}'\}$ , so for each  $W^* \in \mathcal{W}'$ ,

$$z \in \bigcup \{U^i(y, x) | y \in X - V_{\alpha, x}^j\},$$

and for each  $W^* \subseteq \mathcal{W}'$ , there exists  $y \in X - V_{\alpha, x}^j$  such that  $z \in U^i(y, z)$ , but  $X$  is strongly- $pMT_2$ -space, then

$$U^i(z, x) \subseteq U^i(y, x) \subseteq \{U^i(y, x) | y \in X - V_{\alpha, x}^j\} \quad \text{for all } W^* \in \mathcal{W}',$$

thus  $U^i(z, x) \cap W^* = \phi$  for all  $W^* \in \mathcal{W}'$ , so

$$U^i(z, x) \cup \left( \bigcup \{W^* | V_{\alpha, x}^j \in \mathcal{W}'\} \right),$$

hence  $z \notin \overline{\bigcup \{W^* | V_{\alpha, x}^j \notin \mathcal{W}'\}}^{\tau_i}$ , therefore  $X$  is  $p - m_2$ -space. □

## Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the Middle East University, Amman, Jordan for the financial support granted to cover the publication fee of this research article.



## References

- [1] F. Abushaheen, H. Hdeib, On  $[a, b]$ -compactness in bitopological spaces, *Int. J. Pure. App. Math.* **110**, (2016), 519–535.
- [2] F. Abushaheen, H. Hdeib,  $p - [a, b]$ -paracompactness in bitopological spaces, *Italian. J. Pure. App. Math.* **42**, (2019), 242–250.
- [3] A. Al-Bsoul, Characterization of monotonically  $T_2$  spaces, *Int. J. Contemp. Sci.* **14**, (2007), 693–700.
- [4] Z. Bin, Some properties of strongly monotonically  $T_2$  spaces, *App. Math. J. Chinese Univ., Ser. B* **20**, (2005), 469–474.
- [5] T. Birsan, Compacité dans les espaces ditopologiques, *st. Univ. Iasi, s.i.a., Matematica*, **15**, (1969), 317–328.
- [6] R. Buck, Some weaker monotone separation and basis properties, *Top. and its App.*, **69**, (1996), 1–12.
- [7] M. Datta, Projective bitopological spaces, *J. Australian Math. Soc.*, **13**, (1972), 327–334.
- [8] R. Engelking, *General Topology, Revised and Completed edition*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [9] P. Fletcher et. al., The comparison of topologies, *Duke Math. J.* **36**, (1969), 325–331.
- [10] J. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **13**, (1963), 71–89.
- [11] L. Reilly et. al., On bitopological compactness, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **9**, (1975), 518–522.
- [12] H. Singh, Weak separation properties as hereditary properties, *Int. J. App. Eng. Research*, **20**, (2018), 14679–14681.