

# On Classes of Janowski Functions of Complex Order Involving a $q$ -Derivative Operator

Musthafa Ibrahim<sup>1</sup>, A. Senguttuvan<sup>2</sup>,  
D. Mohankumar<sup>3</sup>, R. Ganapathy Raman<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Engineering  
University of Buraimi  
Al Buraimi, Sultanate of Oman

<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics and Science  
College of Engineering  
National University of Science and Technology  
Muscat, Sultanate of Oman

<sup>3</sup>P. G. and Research Department of Mathematics  
Pachaiyappa's College (Affiliated to the University of Madras)  
Chennai- 600 030, India

email: musthafa.ibrahim@gmail.com, senkutvan@gmail.com  
dmohankumarmaths@gmail.com, sirgana1@yahoo.co.in

(Received August 3, 2020, Accepted September 7, 2020)

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new class of analytic functions of complex order using  $q$  differential operator. Moreover, we obtain bounds for the coefficients, a sufficient condition and Fekete-Szegö inequalities for the defined class. Furthermore, we give applications for our main results.

## 1 Introduction

We start this paper with a very brief introduction on  $q$ -calculus and the notations which are required for our study. Quantum calculus, often called

---

**Key words and phrases:** Janowski starlike functions, Fekete-Szegö inequality, sufficient conditions, coefficient inequalities,  $q$ -calculus, differential operator.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 30C45.

**ISSN** 1814-0432, 2020, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

$q$ -calculus, is based on the idea of finite difference re-scaling. The  $q$ -derivative is merely a ratio which is given by

$$D_q f(z) = \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q - 1)z}.$$

Note that as limit  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $D_q f(z) = f'(z)$ . Notations and symbols play a very important role in the study of  $q$ -calculus. Throughout this paper, we let

$$[n]_q = \sum_{k=1}^n q^{k-1}, \quad [0]_q = 0, \quad (q \in \mathbb{C})$$

and the  $q$ -shifted factorial by

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0 \\ (1 - a)(1 - aq) \dots (1 - aq^{n-1}), & n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the class of functions having a Taylor series expansion of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{1.1}$$

The Hadamard product or convolution of functions  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n b_n z^n, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Corresponding to a function  $\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(a_i, b_j; q, z)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r; j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ) defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{r,s}(a_i, b_j; q, z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1; q)_{n-1} (a_2; q)_{n-1} \dots (a_r; q)_{n-1}}{(q; q)_{n-1} (b_1; q)_{n-1} \dots (b_s; q)_{n-1}} z^n, \tag{1.2}$$

we now introduce an operator  $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^0(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) &= f(z) * \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(a_i, b_j; q, z). \\ \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^1(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) &= \alpha\beta z^2 D_q^2[f(z) * \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(a_i, b_j; q, z)] + \\ &\quad (\alpha - \beta)z D_q[f(z) * \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(a_i, b_j; q, z)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha + \beta)[f(z) * \mathcal{G}_{r,s}(a_i, b_j; q, z)]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) = \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^{m-1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)). \tag{1.4}$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , then from (1.3) and (1.4) we may easily deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^m \kappa_n c_n z^n, \\ &(m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\} \text{ and } 0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\kappa_n = \frac{(a_1; q)_{n-1} (a_2; q)_{n-1} \dots (a_r; q)_{n-1}}{(q; q)_{n-1} (b_1; q)_{n-1} \dots (b_s; q)_{n-1}}, \quad (|q| < 1).$$

**Remark 1.1.** *The operator  $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)$  was motivated by [8]. In this remark, we list some special cases of the operator  $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f$ .*

1. *If we let  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , then the operator  $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f$  reduces to the operator introduced and studied by Karthikeyan et al. [3].*
2. *If we let  $\beta = 0$  in  $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f$ , then we get the operator introduced by Reddy et al. [7].*

*Several notable classes of operators can be obtained by specializing the parameters involved in  $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)$  (see [2, 4, 9] and references cited therein.)*

The class  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the class of functions of function of the form  $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots$  that are analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  and such that  $Re(p(z)) > 0$  for all  $z$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . We let  $\mathcal{S}^*(\delta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\delta)$  to denote the familiar classes of starlike of order  $\delta$  and convex of order  $\delta$  respectively.

Using the concept of subordination of analytic functions, Ma and Minda [6] introduced the class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$  of functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi$  where  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\phi'(0) > 0$  maps  $\mathcal{U}$  onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to real axis. This class specializes to several classes of univalent functions for suitable choice of  $\phi$ . For instance, the class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}) =: \mathcal{S}^*[A; B]$  where  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$  is the class of the Janowski starlike functions.

Using the operator  $\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)$ , we define  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{m,q}(\gamma; a_1, b_1; A, B)$  to be the class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}_1$  satisfying the inequality

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha,\beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}, \tag{1.6}$$

where  $\prec$  denotes subordination,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are arbitrary fixed numbers,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

**Remark 1.2.** *It can be easily seen that several familiar and new subclasses of univalent functions can be obtained as special cases of the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m, q}(\gamma; a_1, b_1; A, B)$ .*

Henceforth, we let  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_s$  ( $b_j \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots; j = 1, \dots, s$ ) to be real.

## 2 Main Results

### 2.1 Coefficient estimates

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  be in the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m, q}(\gamma; a_1, b_1; A, B)$  and let*

$$\Gamma_n = \left| [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] - [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q] \right|.$$

(a) *If  $\Gamma_2 \leq 0$ , then*

$$|c_j| \leq \frac{(A - B) |\gamma|}{[1 + (\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q] \kappa_j}. \quad (2.7)$$

(b) *If  $\Gamma_n \geq 0$ , then*

$$|c_j| \leq \frac{1}{[1 + (\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^m \kappa_n} \prod_{n=2}^j \frac{|(A - B)\gamma - (\alpha\beta[n - 1]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) ([n - 2]_q)B|}{\{(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q\}}. \quad (2.8)$$

(c) *If  $\Gamma_k \geq 0$  and  $\Gamma_{k+1} \leq 0$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots, j - 2$ ,*

$$|c_j| \leq \frac{1}{[1 + (\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q] \kappa_n} \times \left\{ \prod_{n=2}^j \frac{|(A - B)\gamma - (\alpha\beta[n - 1]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) ([n - 2]_q)B|}{\{(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q\}} \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

*The bounds in (2.7) and (2.8) are sharp for all admissible  $A, B, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and for each  $j$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m, q}(\gamma; a_1, b_1; A, B)$ . Then there exists an analytic function  $w(z)$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)} - 1 \right) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} \tag{2.10}$$

Simplifying (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^j (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^m [(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] \kappa_n c_n z^n \\ & + \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} d_n z^n = \left\{ (A - B)\gamma z + \sum_{n=2}^{j-1} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^m \right. \\ & \quad \left. [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] \kappa_n c_n z^n \right\} w(z), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

for certain coefficients  $d_n$ . Let  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $r < 1$ . Applying the Parseval's formula on both sides of the above inequality and letting  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^j (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^{2m} [(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q]^2 \kappa_n^2 |c_n|^2 \\ & + \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} |d_n|^2 \leq (A - B)^2 |\gamma|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{j-1} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^{2m} \\ & \quad \left| [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] \right|^2 \kappa_n^2 |c_n|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By some simplification, we obtain for  $j \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + (\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^{2m} [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^2 \kappa_j^2 |c_j|^2 \\ & \leq (A - B)^2 |\gamma|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{j-1} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^{2m} \\ & \left\{ \left| [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] \right|^2 - [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^2 \right\} \kappa_n^2 |c_n|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

For  $j = 2$ , it follows from (2.12) that

$$|c_2| \leq \frac{(A - B) |\gamma|}{[1 + \alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)]^m [\alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)] \kappa_2}. \tag{2.13}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n - 1]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 2]_q] \right| \geq \\ & \left| [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] \right| - |B| \geq [n - 2]_q, \end{aligned}$$

if  $\Gamma_n \geq 0$  then  $\Gamma_{n-1} \geq 0$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ . Again, if  $\Gamma_n \leq 0$  then  $\Gamma_{n+1} \leq 0$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n + 1]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n]_q] \right| \leq \\ & \left| [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] \right| + |B| \leq [n]_q, \end{aligned}$$

If  $\Gamma_2 \leq 0$ , then from the above discussion we can conclude that  $\Gamma_n \leq 0$  for all  $n > 2$ . It follows from (2.13) that

$$|c_j| \leq \frac{(A - B) |\gamma|}{[1 + (\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q] \kappa_j^2}. \tag{2.14}$$

If  $\Gamma_{n-1} \geq 0$ , then from the above observation  $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \dots, \Gamma_{j-2} \geq 0$ . From (2.13), we infer that the inequality (2.8) is true for  $j = 2$ . We establish (2.8) by mathematical induction. Suppose (2.8) is valid for  $n = 2, 3, \dots, (j - 1)$ . Then it follows from (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + (\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^{2m} [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^2 \kappa_j^2 |c_j|^2 \\ & \leq (A - B)^2 |\gamma|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{j-1} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^{2m} \\ & \left\{ \left| [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] \right|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^2 \right\} \kappa_n^2 |c_n|^2 \\ & \leq (A - B)^2 |\gamma|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{j-1} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^{2m} \\ & \left\{ \left| [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] \right|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^2 \right\} \kappa_n^2 \times \left\{ \frac{1}{[1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q]^{2m} \kappa_n^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \prod_{j=2}^n \frac{|(A - B)\gamma - (\alpha\beta[j - 1]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) ([j - 2]_q)B|^2}{\{(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q\}^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$|c_j| \leq \frac{1}{[1 + (\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^m \kappa_n} \prod_{n=2}^j \frac{|(A - B)\gamma - (\alpha\beta[n - 1]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) ([n - 2]_q)B|}{\{(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q\}},$$

which completes the proof of (2.8).

Now, assume that  $\Gamma_n \geq 0$  and  $\Gamma_{n+1} \leq 0$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots, j - 2$ . Then  $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \dots, \Gamma_{n-1} \geq 0$  and  $\Gamma_{n+2}, \Gamma_{n+3}, \dots, \Gamma_{j-2} \leq 0$ . Then (2.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & [1 + (\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^{2m} [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^2 \kappa_j^2 |c_j|^2 \\ & \leq (A - B)^2 |\gamma|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^l (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^{2m} \\ & \left\{ |[(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q]|^2 - [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^2 \right\} \kappa_n^2 |c_n|^2 \\ & + \sum_{n=l+1}^{j-1} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^{2m} \\ & \left\{ |[(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q]|^2 - [(\alpha\beta[j]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [j - 1]_q]^2 \right\} \kappa_n^2 |c_n|^2 \\ & \leq (A - B)^2 |\gamma|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^l (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^{2m} \\ & \left\{ |[(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q]|^2 \right\} \kappa_n^2 |c_n|^2 \end{aligned}$$

On substituting upper estimates for  $c_2, c_3, \dots, c_l$  obtained above and simplifying, we obtain (2.9).

Also, the bounds in (2.7) are sharp for the functions  $f_k(z)$  given by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q) f_k(z) = \begin{cases} z(1 + Bz)^{\frac{(A-B)b}{B\lambda(k-1)}} & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ z \exp\left(\frac{Ab}{\lambda(k-1)} z^{k-1}\right) & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases}$$

The bounds in (2.8) are sharp for the functions  $f(z)$  given by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q) f = \begin{cases} z(1 + Bz)^{\frac{(A-B)b}{B}} & \text{if } B \neq 0, \\ z \exp(Abz) & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases}$$

□

**Remark 2.2.** If we let  $r = 2, s = 1, a_1 = b_1, a_2 = q, \alpha = 1, \beta = 0$ , and  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  in Theorem 2.1, then we get the result due to Attiya [1].

### 2.2 Fekete-Szegő Problem.

We use the following lemmas to prove the results in this subsection:

**Lemma 2.3.** [5] *If  $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$  is a function with positive real part, then for each complex number  $\mu$*

$$|p_2 - \mu p_1^2| \leq 2 \max(1, |2\mu - 1|) \tag{2.15}$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}, \quad p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m, q}(\gamma; a_1, b_1; A, B)$ , then for  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |c_3 - \mu c_2^2| \leq & \frac{(A - B) |\gamma|}{[1 + (\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q] |\kappa_3|} \times \\ & \max \left\{ 1; \left| B - \frac{2\gamma(A - B)}{[\alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)] \kappa_2} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{2(A - B)\gamma\mu [1 + (\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q] \kappa_3}{[1 + \alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)]^{2m} [\alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)]^2 \kappa_2^2} \right| \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

*Proof.* As  $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m, q}(\gamma; a_1, b_1; A, B)$ , by (1.6) we have

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)} - 1 \right) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}.$$

Let

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$$

Then  $Re(h(z)) > 0$  and  $h(0) = 1$ . Hence,

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)} - 1 \right) = \frac{1 - A + h(z)(1 + A)}{1 - B + h(z)(1 + B)}. \tag{2.17}$$



From (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) + \frac{1}{\gamma} \{[1 + \alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)]^m [\alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)] \kappa_2 c_2\} z \\ & + \frac{1}{\gamma} \{[1 + (\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q] \kappa_3 c_3 \\ & - [1 + \alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)]^{2m} [\alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)] \kappa_2^2 c_2^2\} z^2 + \dots \\ & = 1 + \frac{p_1(A - B)}{2} z + \frac{(A - B)}{2} \left(p_2 - p_1^2 \left(\frac{1 + B}{2}\right)\right) z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Equating the coefficients at  $z$  and  $z^2$  on both sides of the above equation, we get

$$c_2 = \frac{p_1 \gamma (A - B)}{[1 + \alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)]^m [\alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)] \kappa_2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_3 = & \frac{1}{[1 + (\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q] \kappa_3} \\ & \left[ \frac{(A - B)\gamma}{2} \left(p_2 - p_1^2 \left(\frac{1 + B}{2}\right)\right) \right] \\ & + \frac{p_1^2 \gamma^2 (A - B)^2}{[1 + (\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q] [\alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)] \kappa_2 \kappa_3}. \end{aligned}$$

On computation, we have

$$c_3 - \mu c_2^2 = \frac{(A - B)\gamma}{2 [1 + (\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q] \kappa_3} (p_2 - \delta p_1^2). \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta = & \left( \frac{1 + B}{2} - \frac{2\gamma(A - B)}{[\alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)] \kappa_2} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2(A - B)\gamma\mu [1 + (\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q]^m [(\alpha\beta[3]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [2]_q] \kappa_3}{[1 + \alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)]^{2m} [\alpha\beta[2]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)]^2 \kappa_2^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

On rearranging the terms and taking modulus on both sides, the result follows on the application of the Lemma2.3.

□

### 2.3 A sufficient condition for a function to be in

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m, q}(\gamma; a_1, b_1; A, B)$$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) and let*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^m \{(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q + |(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q|\} \kappa_n | c_n | \leq (A - B) |\gamma| . \tag{2.20}$$

holds, then  $f(z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m, q}(\gamma; a_1, b_1; A, B)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the inequality holds. Then we have for  $z \in \mathcal{U}$

$$\begin{aligned} & | \mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) - \mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) | - |(A - B)\gamma \mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) - \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad B[\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) - \mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)] | \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^m [(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q \kappa_n c_n z^n \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - (A - B)\gamma z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + (\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q)^m [(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[n]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [n - 1]_q] \kappa_n c_n z^n \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + (\alpha\beta[k]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [k - 1]_q)^m \{(\alpha\beta[k]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [k - 1]_q \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + |(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[k]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [k - 1]_q|\} \kappa_k | c_k | r^k - (A - B) |\gamma| r . \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & | \mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) - \mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) | - |(A - B)\gamma \mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) - \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad B[\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z) - \mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)] | \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + (\alpha\beta[k]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [k - 1]_q)^m \{(\alpha\beta[k]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [k - 1]_q \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + |(A - B)\gamma - B(\alpha\beta[k]_q + q(\alpha - \beta)) [k - 1]_q|\} \kappa_k | c_k | - (A - B) |\gamma| \leq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

$$\left| B \left[ \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)} - 1 \right] - (A - B)\gamma \right|$$

Letting

$$w(z) = \frac{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)} - 1}{B \left[ \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)} - 1 \right] - (A - B)\gamma},$$

then  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $w(z)$  is analytic in  $|z| < 1$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$ . Hence we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m+1}(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha, \beta}^m(a_1, b_1; q)f(z)} = \frac{1 + [B + \gamma(A - B)]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$

which shows that  $f(z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{m, q}(\gamma; a_1, b_1; A, B)$ . □

For  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\alpha = \lambda$ ,  $a_i = q^{\alpha_i}$ ,  $b_j = q^{\beta_j}$ ,  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\beta_j \neq 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ ) and  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  in Theorem 2.5, we have the following result.

**Corollary 2.6.** [10] Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) and let

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + (n-1)\lambda]^m \{ (n-1)+ | (A-B)\gamma - B(n-1) | \} \lambda \Gamma_n | c_n | \leq (A-B) | \gamma |$$

$$\left( \text{where } \Gamma_n = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{n-1}(\alpha_2)_{n-1} \dots (\alpha_r)_{n-1}}{(\beta_1)_{n-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{n-1} (n-1)!} \right)$$

holds. Then  $f(z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda}^m(b; \alpha_1, \beta_1; A, B)$ .

If we let  $r = 2$ ,  $s = 1$ ,  $a_1 = b_1$ ,  $a_2 = q$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$ , and  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  in Theorem 2.5, then we get the following result:

**Corollary 2.7.** [1] Let the function  $f(z)$  be defined by (1.1) and let

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^m \{ (n-1)+ | (A-B)\gamma - B(n-1) | \} | c_n | \leq (A-B) | \gamma |$$

hold. Then  $f(z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}^m(\gamma; A, B)$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank Dr. K. R. Karthikeyan, College of Engineering, National University of Science and Technology, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman for his valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] A. A. Attiya, On a generalization class of bounded starlike functions of complex order, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **187**, (2007), no. 1, 62–67.
- [2] M. Darus, A new look at  $q$ -hypergeometric functions, *TWMS J. Appl. Eng. Math.*, **4**, (2014), no. 1, 16–19.
- [3] K. R. Karthikeyan, A. Selvam, P. Sooriya Kala, On a class of analytic function defined using differential operator, *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform.*, no. 31 (2012), 163–178.
- [4] K. R. Karthikeyan, M. Ibrahim, S. Srinivasan, Fractional class of analytic functions defined using  $q$ -differential operator, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **15**, (2018), no. 1, Art. 9, 15 pp.
- [5] F. R. Keogh, E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **20**, (1969), 8–12.
- [6] W. C. Ma, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*, 157–169, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [7] K. A. Reddy, K. R. Karthikeyan, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Inequalities for the Taylor coefficients of spirallike functions involving  $q$ -differential operator, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **12**, (2019), no. 3, 846–856.
- [8] D. Răducanu, On a subclass of univalent functions defined by a generalized differential operator, *Math. Rep. (Bucur.)*, **13(63)** (2011), no. 2, 197–203.
- [9] C. Selvaraj, K. R. Karthikeyan, Differential sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions, *Math. Commun.*, **13**, (2008), no. 2, 311–319.
- [10] C. Selvaraj, K. R. Karthikeyan, Certain classes of analytic functions of complex order involving a family of generalized differential operators, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **2**, (2008), no. 4, 449–458.