

Sufficient conditions for class C_α

Olubunmi A. Fadipe-Joseph¹, M. O. Oluwayemi²

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Ilorin
Ilorin, Nigeria

²Department of Mathematics
Landmark University
Omu-Aran, Nigeria

email: famelov@unilorin.edu.ng, oluwayemimattthew@gmail.com

(Received March 25, 2020, Accepted October 8, 2020)

Abstract

In this work, a certain class C_α is defined and its coefficient bounds established. Moreover, initial coefficients of the class C_α related to sigmoid functions and its Fekete-Szego functional are obtained. We also find an upper bound for the second Hankel determinant $|H_2(1)|$ for this class.

1 Preliminaries and results

Let A denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + a_4z^4 + \dots \quad (1.1)$$

(with the normalized conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$).

The class $S^*(\gamma)$ (or $C(\gamma)$) of starlike (or convex) functions of order γ , $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ is defined by

Key words and phrases: Toeplitz determinant, Caratheodory function, sigmoid function, coefficient bounds, Fekete-Szego functional.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 30C45.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2021, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \gamma, \quad (1.2)$$

or

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \gamma. \quad (1.3)$$

In particular, $S^*(0) = S^*$ is a starlike function and $C(0) = C$ is a convex function. Let P be the set of all analytic functions of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + c_4z^4 + \cdots = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (1.4)$$

satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$, $z \in U$. Then, $|c_n| \leq 2$ for every $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Various work on coefficient bounds estimate for univalent functions have been done in recent time. The work of [3], [4], [5], [7] and [8] in this direction are worth mentioning.

In [1], the authors established that the modified sigmoid function belongs to the class of Caratheodory function. The series of the function was given as follows:

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{2}{1+e^{-z}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}z - \frac{1}{24}z^3 + \frac{1}{240}z^5 - \frac{17}{40320}z^7 + \dots \quad (1.5)$$

See also [2] for details.

In this work, coefficient bounds for a class of univalent functions is investigated.

Lemma 1. [5] Let $p \in P$. Then the power series for $p(z)$ converges in D to a function in P if and only if, for $n \in N$, the Toeplitz determinants

$$D_n = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \\ \overline{c_1} & 2 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \overline{c_n} & \overline{c_{n-1}} & \overline{c_{n-2}} & \cdots & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.6)$$

are all nonnegative. They are strictly positive except for $p(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \rho_k \rho_0 (e^{it_k} z)$, $\rho_k > 0$, t_k real and $t_k \neq t_j$ for $k \neq j$, where $p_0(z) = (1+z)(1-z)$; in this case, $D_n > 0$ for $n < m-1$ and $D_n = 0$ for $n \leq m$. For $n = 2$

$$D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & c_1 & c_2 \\ \overline{c_1} & 2 & c_1 \\ \overline{c_2} & c_1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = 8 + 2c_1^2 \operatorname{Re} c_2 - 2|c_2|^2 - 4c_1^2 \geq 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2), \quad (1.7)$$

for some x , $|x| \leq 1$. When $n = 3$,

$$D_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ c_1 & 2 & c_1 & c_2 \\ \overline{c_2} & c_1 & 2 & c_1 \\ \overline{c_3} & \overline{c_2} & c_1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)y, \quad (1.8)$$

for some y , with $|y| \leq 1$ and some x with $|x| \leq 1$. When $n = 4$,

$$8c_4 = c_1^4 + (4 - c_1^2)x[c_1^2(x^2 - 3x + 3) + 4x] - 4(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)[c_1(x - 1)y + \overline{x}y^2 - (1 - |y|^2)z], \quad (1.9)$$

for some x, y, z , with $|x| \leq 1$, $|y| \leq 1$, $|z| \leq 1$.

Lemma 2. [6] Let $p \in P$. Then,

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2, & \nu < 0 \\ 2, & 0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\ 4\nu - 2 & \nu > 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. [6] Let $p \in P$. Then,

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max(1, |2\nu - 1|)$$

The inequalities are sharp.

Definition. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $f(z)$ defined by (1.1). The univalent function $f(z) \in A$ belongs to class C_α in the unit disk if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ f \in S : \frac{\{(zf'(z))'\}^\alpha}{f'(z)} > 0, \quad z \in U \right\}. \quad (1.10)$$

We have $C_1 \equiv C$; that is, for $\alpha = 1$, (1.10) reduces to (1.3) for $\gamma = 0$. The family of convex functions in univalent functions is currently an active area of research by function theorists.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in C_\alpha$ and $\Phi \in P$ given by (1.5). Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{4(2\alpha - 1)},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)}.$$

Moreover,

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \left| \frac{8\alpha^2 - (16 + 9\lambda)\alpha + 3\lambda + 4}{48(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right|.$$

Proof Let $f \in C_\alpha$. Then there exists $\Phi \in P$ such that $\frac{(f'(z) + zf''(z))^\alpha}{f'(z)} \prec \Phi(z)$. Thus,

$$(f'(z) + zf''(z))^\alpha = f'(z)\Phi(z) \quad (1.11)$$

$$(f'(z) + zf''(z))^\alpha = (1 + 2a_2z + 3a_3z^2 + 4a_4z^3 + \dots + 2a_2z + 6a_3z^2 + 12a_4z^3 + \dots)^\alpha$$

$$(1 + 4a_2z + 9a_3z^2 + 16a_4z^3 + 25a_5z^4 + \dots)^\alpha = [1 + (4a_2z + 9a_3z^2)]^\alpha$$

so that

$$(f'(z) + zf''(z))^\alpha$$

$$= 1 + 4\alpha a_2z + [9\alpha a_3 + \alpha(\alpha - 1)8a_2^2]z^2 + [\alpha(\alpha - 1)36a_2a_3 + \alpha(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)\frac{32}{3}a_2^3]z^3 + \dots \quad (1.12)$$

Simplifying, we have

$$f'(z)\Phi(z) = 1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + 2a_2\right)z + (a_2 + 3a_3)z^2 + \left(4a_4 + \frac{3}{2}a_3 - \frac{1}{24}\right)z^3 + \dots \quad (1.13)$$

Substituting (1.13) and (1.12) into (1.11) upon expansion and comparing the coefficients, we get

$$4\alpha a_2 = 2a_2 + \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow (4\alpha - 2)a_2 = \frac{1}{2}; \quad |a_2| = \frac{1}{4(2\alpha - 1)} \quad (1.14)$$

$$9\alpha a_3 + 8\alpha(\alpha - 1)a_2^2 = a_2 + 3a_3 \Rightarrow (9\alpha - 3)a_3 = a_2 - 8\alpha(\alpha - 1)a_2^2$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1}{12(3\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)^2}. \quad (1.15)$$

From (1.14) and (1.15), there exists $\lambda \in R$ such that

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| = \left| \frac{2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1}{12(3\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)^2} - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{4(2\alpha - 1)} \right)^2 \right| = \left| \frac{2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1}{12(3\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)^2} - \frac{\lambda}{16(2\alpha - 1)^2} \right|$$

which implies that

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{8\alpha^2 - (16 + 9\lambda)\alpha + 3\lambda + 4}{48(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)}.$$

Corollary 1. Let $f \in C_\alpha$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\Phi \in P$ be given by (1.5). Then,

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \left| \frac{8\alpha^2 - 25\alpha + 7}{48(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right|.$$

Corollary 2. Suppose $f \in C_\alpha$, $\lambda = 0$ and $\Phi \in P$ be given by (1.5). Then,

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)}$$

which yields (1.15).

Corollary 3. Let $f \in C_1$, $\lambda = 0$ and $\Phi \in P$ be given by (1.5). Then, $|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{24}$.

Theorem 2. Let $f \in C_\alpha$ and $p \in P$ be given by (1.4). Then,

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{c_1}{(2\alpha - 1)} \right\}, \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \frac{c_2}{3\alpha - 1} - \frac{(2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)c_1^2}{(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$|a_4| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{c_3}{(4\alpha - 1)} - \frac{(6\alpha^2 - 11\alpha + 2)c_1c_2}{(4\alpha - 1)(3\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)} + \frac{(24\alpha^4 - 80\alpha^3 + 84\alpha^2 - 28\alpha + 3)c_1^3}{3(4\alpha - 1)(3\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)^3} \right\}.$$

Moreover,

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2}{3(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)}.$$

Proof: Let $f \in C_\alpha$. Then there exists $p \in P$ such that $\frac{(f'(z)+zf''(z))^\alpha}{f'(z)} \prec p(z)$ such that

$$(f'(z) + zf''(z))^\alpha = f'(z)p(z). \tag{1.16}$$

Eq. (1.12) gives the left hand side of (1.16) and using (1.1) and (1.4) in the right hand side of (1.16),

$$f'(z)p(z) = 1+(c_1 + 2a_2)z+(c_2 + 2c_1a_2 + 3a_3)z^2+(c_3 + 2c_2a_2 + 3c_1a_3 + 4a_4)z^3+\dots \tag{1.17}$$

Substituting (1.12) and (1.17) into (1.16) upon expansion and comparing the coefficients, we have the following results

$$4\alpha a_2 = 2a_2 + c_1 \Rightarrow (4\alpha - 2)a_2 = c_1; \quad a_2 = \frac{c_1}{2(2\alpha - 1)} \tag{1.18}$$

$$9\alpha a_3 + 8\alpha(\alpha - 1)a_2^2 = c_2 + 2c_1a_2 + 3a_3; \quad 9\alpha a_3 - 3a_3 = c_2 + 2c_1a_2 - 8\alpha(\alpha - 1)a_2^2$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \frac{c_2}{3\alpha - 1} - \frac{(2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)c_1^2}{(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right\} \tag{1.19}$$

$$16\alpha a_4 + 36\alpha(\alpha - 1)a_2a_3 + \frac{32}{3}\alpha(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)a_2^3 = c_3 + 2c_2a_2 + 3c_1a_3 + 4a_4$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{c_3}{(4\alpha - 1)} - \frac{(6\alpha^2 - 11\alpha + 2)c_1c_2}{(2\alpha - 1)(3\alpha - 1)(4\alpha - 1)} + \frac{(24\alpha^4 - 80\alpha^3 + 84\alpha^2 - 28\alpha + 3)c_1^3}{3(2\alpha - 1)^3(3\alpha - 1)(4\alpha - 1)} \right\}. \tag{1.20}$$

From (1.18) and (1.19),

$$|a_3 - a_2^2|$$

$$= \left| \frac{4(2\alpha - 1)^2c_2 - 4(2\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)c_1^2 - 3(3\alpha - 1)c_1^2}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right|.$$

Using (1.7) of Lemma 1 in the above equation,

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| = \left| \frac{(8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2)[c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)] - (8\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 1)c_1^2}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right|. \tag{1.21}$$

Since $t_1 = t \in [0, 2]$, replacing $|x|$ by μ in the above relation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - a_2^2| &= \left| \frac{(8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2)[c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)] - (8\alpha^2 - 7\alpha + 1)c_1^2}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{[8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2 - 8\alpha^2 + 7\alpha - 1]c^2}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} + \frac{(8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2)(4 - c^2)\mu}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right| \\ |a_3 - a_2^2| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right) |(1 - \alpha)c^2 + (8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2)(4 - c^2)\mu| \\ F(c, \mu) &= \left(\frac{1}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right) |(1 - \alpha)c^2 + (8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2)(4 - c^2)\mu|, \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

where $0 \leq \mu = |x| \leq 1$ and $0 \leq c \leq 2$.

$$F(c, \mu) = F(c, 1) = Q(c) = \left(\frac{1}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right) |(1 - \alpha)c^2 + (8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2)(4 - c^2)|$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu} = \left(\frac{1}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right) |(8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2)(4 - c^2)|. \tag{1.23}$$

Let $\mu \in (0, 1)$. Fix $c \in (0, 2)$. For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $F'(c, \mu) > 0$ using (1.23). Thus, $F(c, \mu)$ is increasing with no maximum value in the interior of the interval. Moreover, for a fixed $c \in [0, 2]$, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq \mu \leq 1} F(c, \mu) = F(c, 1) = Q(c). \tag{1.24}$$

In view of (1.24), simplifying (1.22), we get

$$Q(c) = \left(\frac{1}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right) |(8\alpha^2 - 8\alpha + 2)(4 - c^2)| \tag{1.25}$$

$$Q'(c) = \left(\frac{1}{3(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right) |(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)(c - 4)| \tag{1.26}$$

$$Q''(c) = \left(\frac{-4}{3(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} \right) |4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1| \quad (1.27)$$

$$Q_{max} = Q(0) = \frac{8(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} = \frac{2(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)}{3(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)}. \quad (1.28)$$

Thus,

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{8(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)}{12(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)} = \frac{2(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)}{3(2\alpha - 1)^2(3\alpha - 1)}$$

as required.

Corollary 4. Let $f \in C_1$. Then $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3}$.

Theorem 3. Let $f \in C_\alpha$ and $p \in P$. Then, there exists a complex number λ such that

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{8\alpha - 2 + 3\lambda(3\alpha - 1)}{3(3\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)^2}, & \lambda < \sigma_1, \\ \frac{2}{3(3\alpha - 1)}, & \sigma_1 \leq \lambda \leq \sigma_2, \\ \frac{2 - 8\alpha + 3\lambda(3\alpha - 1)}{3(3\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)^2} & \lambda > \sigma_2. \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_1 = \frac{8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4}{9\alpha - 3}$ and $\sigma_2 = \frac{8\alpha^2}{9\alpha - 3}$.

Proof Let $f \in C_\alpha$ and $p \in P$. Then, there exists $\lambda \in R$ such that from (1.18) and (1.19), we have that

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| = \frac{1}{3(3\alpha - 1)} |c_2 - \nu c_1^2|, \quad (1.29)$$

where $\nu = \frac{8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda}{4(2\alpha - 1)^2}$. Therefore, from (1.29) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{3(3\alpha - 1)} \right) [-4\nu + 2] &= \frac{1}{3(3\alpha - 1)} \left\{ -4 \left(\frac{8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda}{4(2\alpha - 1)^2} \right) + 2 \right\}; \quad \nu < 0 \\ &= \frac{-8\alpha^2 + 16\alpha - 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda + 2(4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 1)}{3(3\alpha - 1)(2\alpha - 1)^2} \\ &\Rightarrow \nu = \frac{8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda}{4(2\alpha - 1)^2} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda < 0$; $(9\alpha - 3)\lambda < -(8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4)$

$$\lambda < \frac{16\alpha - 8\alpha^2 - 4}{9\alpha - 3}. \quad (1.30)$$

For $\frac{2}{3(3\alpha-1)}$, consider the inequality $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$.

If $0 \leq \nu$, then $0 \leq 8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda$ which implies that $(9\alpha - 3)\lambda \leq 16\alpha - 8\alpha^2 - 4$ and thus,

$$\lambda \geq \frac{16\alpha - 8\alpha^2 - 4}{9\alpha - 3}. \quad (1.31)$$

If $\nu \leq 1$, then $8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda \leq 4(2\alpha - 1)^2 = 16\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4$. Therefore, $\lambda(9\alpha - 3) \leq 8\alpha^2$ and

$$\frac{8\alpha^2}{9\alpha - 3} \geq \lambda. \quad (1.32)$$

Therefore, from (1.31) and (1.32), we have

$$\frac{16\alpha - 8\alpha^2 - 4}{9\alpha + 3} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{8\alpha^2}{9\alpha - 3}. \quad (1.33)$$

Finally,

$$\frac{4\nu - 2}{3(3\alpha - 1)} = \frac{1}{3(3\alpha - 1)} \left\{ 4 \left(\frac{8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda}{4(2\alpha - 1)^2} \right) - 2 \right\}; \quad \nu > 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} 8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda &> 4(2\alpha - 1)^2 = 16\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 \\ (9\alpha - 3)\lambda &> 16\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 - 8\alpha^2 + 16\alpha - 4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda > \frac{8\alpha^2}{9\alpha + 3}. \quad (1.34)$$

The result follows from (1.30), (1.33) and (1.34).

Theorem 4. Let $f \in C_\alpha$ and $p \in P$. Then, there exists $\lambda \in R$ such that

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{2}{3(3\alpha - 1)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{8\alpha + 3\lambda(1 - 3\alpha) - 2}{2(2\alpha - 1)^2} \right| \right\}.$$

Proof Let $f \in C_\alpha$ and $p \in P$ defined by (1.4). Then, from (1.30),

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| = \frac{1}{3(3\alpha - 1)} |c_2 - \nu c_1^2|,$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{8\alpha^2 - 16\alpha + 4 + 9\alpha\lambda - 3\lambda}{4(2\alpha - 1)^2}. \quad (1.35)$$

The result follows from Lemma 3.

Corollary 5. Let $f \in C_1$. Then, $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3} \max\{1, |3 - 3\lambda|\}$.

Corollary 6. Let $f \in C_1$ and $\lambda = 1$. Then, $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3}$ which agrees with Corollary 4.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to acknowledge their respective institutions.

References

- [1] O. A. Fadipe-Joseph, A. T. Oladipo, A. U. Ezeafulukwe, Modified Sigmoid function in univalent theory, *International Journal of Mathematics Science and Engineering Applications*, **7**, no. 5, (2013), 313–317.
- [2] O. A. Fadipe-Joseph, B. O. Moses, M. O. Oluwayemi, Certain New Classes of analytic functions defined by using sigmoid function, *Advances in Mathematics Scientific Journal*, **5**, no. 1, (2016), 83–89.
- [3] D. V. Krishna, B. Venkateswarlu, T. RamRaddy, Third Hankel determinant for bounded turning functions of order alpha, *Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society*, **34**, (2015), 121–127.
- [4] N. Magesh, S. Altinkaya, S. Yalcin, Construction of Toeplitz matrices whose elements are the coefficients of univalent functions associated with q -derivative operator, *arXiv:1708.03600v 1 [maths.CV] Aug. 11, 2017*.
- [5] T. Panigrahi, J. Sokol, Coefficient inequalities for a class of analytic functions associated with the lemniscate of Bernoulli, *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica SPM*: www.spm.uem.br/bspm doi:10.5269/bspm.v37i4.32701, **37**, no. 4, (2019), 83–95.
- [6] D. K. Thomas, N. Tuneski, A. Vasudevarao, Univalent functions, A premier De Druyter Studies in Mathematics, **69**, (2018), Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin/Boston: www.degruyter.com.
- [7] A. Vasudevarao, Fekete-Szego problem for certain subclasses of univalent functions, *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, **52**, (2015), 47.
- [8] T. Yavuz, Second Hankel determinant problem for a certain subclass of univalent functions, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **9**, no. 10, (2015), 493–498.