

# Structural Properties and Submonoids of Generalized Cohypersubstitutions

Nagornchat Chansuriya<sup>1</sup>, Sarawut Phuapong<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Science, Energy and Environment  
King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok  
(Rayong Campus) Rayong 21120, Thailand

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science and Agricultural Technology  
Rajamangala University of Technology Lanna  
Chiang Mai 50300, Thailand

email: nagornchat.c@sciee.kmutnb.ac.th, Phuapong.sa@gmail.com

(Received December 15, 2020, Accepted February 13, 2021)

## Abstract

Generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$  are mappings which send the  $n_i$ -ary cooperations symbols to coterms of type  $\tau$ . We define the operations  $+_{CG}$  and  $\oplus_{CG}$  on the set  $Cohyp_G(\tau)$  and consider some submonoids of the monoid  $\underline{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$ . Finally, we give some structural properties and the relationship among submonoids.

## 1 Introduction

The topic cohypersubstitution of type  $\tau$  in universal algebra has gained interest among many authors. In 2009, Denecke and Seangsura [2] initially introduced and used the main tool in the study of cohyperidentities. They defined coalgebras, coidentities, cohyperidentities and applied all the concepts to construct the monoid of cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ . In 2013,

---

**Key words and phrases:** generalized cohypersubstitutions, left seminear-ring, submonoid, sub-left semonear-ring.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 08A05, 08A30, 08A40, 16Y99.

**ISSN** 1814-0432, 2021, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

Jermjitpornchai and Seangsurua [4] generalized the concepts in [2] by studying generalized copenhypersubstitutions. They introduced the coterms involving generalized superpositions, discovered some algebraic-structural properties, and constructed the monoid of generalized copenhypersubstitutions of type  $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ . The structural properties and special elements of the monoid of generalized copenhypersubstitutions of type  $\tau = (2), \tau = (3)$  and  $\tau = (n)$  has since been studied by many other authors. In this paper we focus on the generalized copenhypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$  and define the operation on  $Cohyp_G(\tau)$ , and give some algebraic-structural properties of the set of all generalized copenhypersubstitutions. In addition, we focus on some submonoid of the monoid  $Cohyp_G(\tau)$ , and study some structural properties and the relationship among submonoids.

## 2 Generalized Copenhypersubstitutions

In this section we provide the basic concept of the monoid of all generalized copenhypersubstitutions which is very useful in this paper.

Let  $A$  be a non-empty set and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define the union of  $n$  disjoint copies of  $A$  by  $A^{\sqcup n} := \underline{n} \times A$ , where  $\underline{n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . This is called *the  $n$ -th copower of  $A$* . An element  $(i, a)$  in this copower corresponds to the element  $a$  in the  $i$ -th copy of  $A$ , where  $i \in \underline{n}$ . For some natural number  $n$ , a mapping  $f^A : A \rightarrow A^{\sqcup n}$  is a co-operation on  $A$ ;  $n$  is called *the arity of the co-operation  $f^A$* . Every  $n$ -ary co-operation  $f^A$  on the set  $A$  can be uniquely expressed as the pair of mappings  $(f_1^A, f_2^A)$ , where  $f_1^A : A \rightarrow \underline{n}$  gives the labeling used by  $f^A$  of mapping elements to copies of  $A$ , and  $f_2^A : A \rightarrow A$  shows what element of  $A$  any element is mapped to, so  $f^A(a) = (f_1^A(a), f_2^A(a))$ . We denote the set of all  $n$ -ary co-operations defined on  $A$  by  $cO_A^{(n)} = \{f^A : A \rightarrow A^{\sqcup n}\}$ .

Let  $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  be an indexed set of co-operation symbols which  $f_i$  has arity  $n_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Let  $\bigcup \{e_j^n \mid n \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$  be a set of symbols which disjoint from  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  such that  $e_j^n$  has arity  $n$  for each  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ . The *coterms* of type  $\tau$  are defined as follows:

- (i) For every  $i \in I$  the co-operation symbol  $f_i$  is an  $n_i$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ .
- (ii) For every  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$  the symbol  $e_j^n$  is an  $n$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ .
- (iii) If  $t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}$  are  $n_i$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ , then for every  $i \in I$ ,  $f_i[t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}]$  is an  $n_i$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ , and if  $t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}$  are  $n$ -ary coterms of

type  $\tau$ , then  $e_j^n[t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}]$  is an  $m$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$  for every  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ .

Denoted by  $CT_\tau^{(n)}$  the set of all  $n$ -ary coterms of type  $\tau$ , and  $CT_\tau := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} CT_\tau^{(n)}$  the set of all coterms of type  $\tau$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . A *generalized superposition* of a coterms  $S^m : CT_\tau^m \times CT_\tau \rightarrow CT_\tau$  defined inductively by the following steps:

- (i) If  $t = e_i^n$  and  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , then  $S^m(e_i^n, t_0, \dots, t_{m-1}) = t_i$ , where  $t_0, \dots, t_{m-1} \in CT_\tau$ .
- (ii) If  $t = e_i^n$  and  $0 < m \leq i \leq n-1$ , then  $S^m(e_i^n, t_0, \dots, t_{m-1}) = e_i^n$ , where  $t_0, \dots, t_{m-1} \in CT_\tau$ .
- (iii) If  $t = f_i[s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}]$ , then  $S^m(t, t_1, \dots, t_m) = f_i(S^m(s_1, t_1, \dots, t_m), \dots, S^m(s_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_m))$ , where  $S^m(s_1, t_1, \dots, t_m), \dots, S^m(s_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_m) \in CT_\tau$ .

The above definition can be written as the following forms:

- (i) If  $t = e_i^n$  and  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , then  $e_i^n[t_0, \dots, t_{m-1}] = t_i$ , where  $t_0, \dots, t_{m-1} \in CT_\tau$ .
- (ii) If  $t = e_i^n$  and  $0 < m \leq i \leq n-1$ , then  $e_i^n[t_0, \dots, t_{m-1}] = e_i^n$ , where  $t_0, \dots, t_{m-1} \in CT_\tau$ .
- (iii) If  $t = f_i[s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}]$ , then  $(f_i[s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}])(t_1, \dots, t_m) = f_i(s_1[t_1, \dots, t_m], \dots, s_{n_i}[t_1, \dots, t_m])$ , where  $s_1[t_1, \dots, t_m], \dots, s_{n_i}[t_1, \dots, t_m] \in CT_\tau$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [1] For arbitrary coterms  $t, t_0, \dots, t_{m-1} \in CT_\tau$ ,

$$t[s_0[t_0, \dots, t_{m-1}], \dots, s_{n_i-1}[t_0, \dots, t_{m-1}]] = (t[s_0, \dots, s_{n_i-1}])(t_0, \dots, t_{m-1}).$$

A *generalized cohypersubstitution* of type  $\tau$  is a mapping  $\sigma : \{f_i \mid i \in I\} \rightarrow CT_\tau$ . The extension of  $\sigma$  is a mapping  $\hat{\sigma} : CT_\tau \rightarrow CT_\tau$  which is inductively defined by the following steps:

- (i)  $\hat{\sigma}(e_j^n) := e_j^n$  for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,
- (ii)  $\hat{\sigma}(f_i) := \sigma(f_i)$  for every  $i \in I$ ,
- (iii)  $\hat{\sigma}(f_i[t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}]) := \sigma(f_i)[\hat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}[t_{n_i}]]$  for  $t_1, \dots, t_{n_i} \in CT_\tau^{(n)}$ .

Denoted by  $Cohyp_G(\tau)$  the set of all generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [4] *If  $t, t_1, \dots, t_n \in CT_\tau$  and  $\sigma \in Cohyp_G(\tau)$ , then*

$$\hat{\sigma}(t[t_1, \dots, t_n]) = \hat{\sigma}(t)[\hat{\sigma}(t_1), \dots, \hat{\sigma}(t_n)].$$

**Proposition 2.3.** [1] *For arbitrary coterms  $t, t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Cohyp_G(\tau)$ ,  $(\hat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2) = \hat{\sigma}_1 \circ \hat{\sigma}_2$ .*

Define a binary operation  $\circ_{CG} : Cohyp_G(\tau) \times Cohyp_G(\tau) \rightarrow Cohyp_G(\tau)$  on the set of all generalized cohypersubstitutions,  $Cohyp_G(\tau)$ , by  $\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2 := \hat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2$  for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Cohyp_G(\tau)$  where  $\circ$  is the usual composition of mappings. Let  $\sigma_{id}$  be the generalized cohypersubstitution such that  $\sigma_{id}(f_i) := f_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Then  $\sigma_{id}$  is an identity element in  $Cohyp_G(\tau)$ . So,  $\overline{Cohyp_G(\tau)} := (Cohyp_G(\tau), \circ_{CG}, \sigma_{id})$  is a monoid and called *the monoid of generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$* . The algebraic structural-properties of the monoid  $\overline{Cohyp_G(\tau)}$ , see [4].

Throughout this paper, we use the following notations:

$\sigma_t :=$  the generalized cohypersubstitution  $\sigma$  of type  $\tau$  which maps  $f$  to the cotermin  $t$ ,

$e_j^n :=$  the injection symbol for all  $0 \leq j \leq n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$E :=$  the set of all injection symbols i.e.  $E := \{e_j^n \mid n, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,

$E(t) :=$  the set of all injection symbols which occur in the cotermin  $t$ .

### 3 Algebraic-structural Properties of Generalized Cohypersubstitutions

**Definition 3.1.** *A nonempty set  $R$  together with two binary operations, denoted by  $+$  and  $\cdot$  respectively, is said to be a left(right) seminear-ring if  $(R, +)$  and  $(R, \cdot)$  are semigroups and satisfy the left (right) distributive law; i.e., for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ,  $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$  ( $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$ ).*

Now, we define the binary operation  $+_{CG}$  on the set  $Cohyp_G(\tau)$  by

$$(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) := (\sigma_2(f_i)) \underbrace{[\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \in CT(\tau),$$

for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Cohyp_G(\tau)$ . Then we have the following propositions:

**Proposition 3.2.** For any  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$  and  $i \in I$ ,

$$\sigma_1 +_{CG} (\sigma_2 +_{CG} \sigma_3) = (\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2) +_{CG} \sigma_3.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$ . We consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 +_{CG} (\sigma_2 +_{CG} \sigma_3))(f_i) &= ((\sigma_2 +_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i)) \underbrace{[\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= (\sigma_3(f_i)) \underbrace{[\sigma_2(f_i), \dots, \sigma_2(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \underbrace{[\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= (\sigma_3(f_i)) \underbrace{[\sigma_2(f_i) \underbrace{[\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}}, \dots, \sigma_2(f_i) \underbrace{[\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}}]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= (\sigma_3(f_i)) \underbrace{[(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i), \dots, (\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= ((\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2) +_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i). \end{aligned}$$

□

Then  $(\text{Cohyp}_G(\tau), +_{CG})$  is a semigroup.

**Proposition 3.3.** For any  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$  and  $i \in I$ ,

$$\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} (\sigma_2 +_{CG} \sigma_3) = (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2) +_{CG} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} (\sigma_2 +_{CG} \sigma_3))(f_i) &= \hat{\sigma}_1((\sigma_2 +_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i)) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_1((\sigma_3)(f_i) \underbrace{[\sigma_2(f_i), \dots, \sigma_2(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}}) \\ &= (\hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_3)(f_i)) \underbrace{[\hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i))]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= ((\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i)) \underbrace{[(\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i), \dots, (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= ((\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2) +_{CG} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3))(f_i). \end{aligned}$$

□

For any generalized cohypersubstitutions  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_3$ , the right distributive law; i.e.,  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2) \circ_{CG} \sigma_3 = (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3) +_{CG} (\sigma_2 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3)$ , is not true as the following example shows:

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\tau = (2)$ ; that is, there is a binary cooperation symbol  $f$ , and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$  such that  $\sigma_1(f) = f[e_0^2, f[e_3^2, e_1^2]]$ ,  $\sigma_2(f) = f[f[e_1^2, e_4^2], e_2^2]$ , and  $\sigma_3(f) = f[e_1^2, e_2^2]$ . So,

$$((\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2) \circ_{CG} \sigma_3)(f) = f[f[f[e_1^2, f[e_3^2, e_2^2]], e_4^2], e_2^2]$$

and

$$((\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3) +_{CG} (\sigma_2 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3))(f) = f[f[e_2^2, e_4^2], e_2^2].$$

Thus  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2) \circ_{CG} \sigma_3 \neq (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3) +_{CG} (\sigma_2 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3)$ .

Then we obtain the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.5.**  $\text{Cohyp}_G^{+_{CG}}(\tau) := (\text{Cohyp}_G(\tau), \circ_{CG}, +_{CG})$  is a left seminear-ring.

*Proof.* Since  $(\text{Cohyp}_G(\tau), \circ_{CG})$  is a monoid, the proof directly follows from Propositions 3.2 and 3.3. □

Next, we define another binary operation  $\oplus_{CG}$  on the set  $\text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$  by

$$(\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) := (\sigma_1(f_i)) \underbrace{[\sigma_2(f_i), \dots, \sigma_2(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \in CT(\tau),$$

for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$ . Then we have the following.

**Proposition 3.6.** For any  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$  and  $i \in I$ ,

$$\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} (\sigma_2 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_3) = (\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_2) \oplus_{CG} \sigma_3.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_2) \oplus_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i) &= ((\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i)) \underbrace{[\sigma_3(f_i), \dots, \sigma_3(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= (\sigma_1(f_i)) \underbrace{[\sigma_2(f_i), \dots, \sigma_2(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \underbrace{[\sigma_3(f_i), \dots, \sigma_3(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= (\sigma_1(f_i)) [\sigma_2(f_i) \underbrace{[\sigma_3(f_i), \dots, \sigma_3(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}}], \dots, \\ &\quad \sigma_2(f_i) \underbrace{[\sigma_3(f_i), \dots, \sigma_3(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= (\sigma_1(f_i)) \underbrace{[(\sigma_2 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i), \dots, (\sigma_2 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= (\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} (\sigma_2 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_3))(f_i). \end{aligned}$$

□

Then  $(\text{Cohyp}_G(\tau), \oplus_{CG})$  is a semigroup.

**Proposition 3.7.** For any  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$  and  $i \in I$ ,

$$\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} (\sigma_2 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_3) = (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2) \oplus_{CG} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} (\sigma_2 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_3))(f_i) &= \hat{\sigma}_1((\sigma_2 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i)) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_1((\sigma_2)(f_i) \underbrace{[\sigma_3(f_i), \dots, \sigma_3(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}}) \\ &= (\hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2)(f_i)) \underbrace{[\hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_3(f_i)), \dots, \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_3(f_i))]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= ((\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i)) \underbrace{[(\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i), \dots, (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3)(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\ &= ((\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2) \oplus_{CG} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3))(f_i). \end{aligned}$$

□

However, the equation  $(\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_2) \circ_{CG} \sigma_3 = (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3) \oplus_{CG} (\sigma_2 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3)$  is not true for all  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$  as the following example shows:

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\tau = (2)$ ; that is, there is a binary operation symbol  $f$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in \text{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$  such that  $\sigma_1(f) = f[e_1^2, e_2^2]$ ,  $\sigma_2(f) = f[e_0^2, f[e_3^2, e_1^2]]$ , and  $\sigma_3(f) = f[f[e_1^2, e_0^2], e_2^2]$ . So

$$((\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_2) \circ_{CG} \sigma_3)(f) = f[f[f[f[e_1^2, f[e_3^2, e_0^2]]]f[e_3^2, e_2^2]], e_2^2]$$

and

$$((\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3) \oplus_{CG} (\sigma_2 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3))(f) = f[e_2^2, e_2^2].$$

Thus  $(\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_2) \circ_{CG} \sigma_3 \neq (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3) \oplus_{CG} (\sigma_2 \circ_{CG} \sigma_3)$ .

So, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.9.**  $\text{Cohyp}_G^{\oplus CG}(\tau) := (\text{Cohyp}_G(\tau), \circ_{CG}, \oplus_{CG})$  is a left seminearring.

*Proof.* Since  $(\text{Cohyp}_G(\tau), \circ_{CG})$  is a monoid, the proof directly follows from Propositions 3.6 and 3.7. □

## 4 Submonoids of Generalized Cohypersubstitutions

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ ,  $n_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  be a type with a cooperation symbol  $f_i$  of the arity  $n_i$ , for each  $i \in I$ .

A generalized cohypersubstitution  $\sigma$  of type  $\tau$  is called a **projection generalized cohypersubstitution** if the cotermin  $\sigma(f_i)$  is the injection symbol for each  $i \in I$ . Let  $P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  be the set of all projection generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ .

A generalized cohypersubstitution  $\sigma$  of type  $\tau$  is called a **dual generalized cohypersubstitution** if the cotermin  $\sigma(f_i) = f[e_{\pi(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]$ , where  $\pi$  is a permutation of the set  $J = \{0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1\}$ . Let  $D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  be the set of all such dual generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ .

A generalized cohypersubstitution  $\sigma$  of type  $\tau$  is called **leftmost** if for every  $i \in I$ , the first injection symbol occurs in  $\hat{\sigma}(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}])$  is  $e_0^{n_i}$ . Let  $leftmost_{inj}(t)$  be the first injection symbol (from the left) which occur in the cotermin  $t$  and  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  be the set of all leftmost generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ .

A generalized cohypersubstitution  $\sigma$  of type  $\tau$  is called **rightmost** if, for every  $i \in I$ , the last injection symbol occur in  $\hat{\sigma}(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}])$  is  $e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}$ . Let  $rightmost_{inj}(t)$  be the last injection symbol which occur in the cotermin  $t$  and  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  be the set of all rightmost generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ .

A generalized cohypersubstitution  $\sigma$  of type  $\tau$  is called **outermost** if for every  $i \in I$ , the first injection symbol occurs in  $\hat{\sigma}(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}])$  is  $e_0^{n_i}$  and the last injection symbol is  $e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}$ . Let  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  be the set of all outermost generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ . Note that  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) = Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cap Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ .

A generalized cohypersubstitution  $\sigma$  of type  $\tau$  is called **regular** if, for every  $i \in I$ , each of injection symbols  $e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}$  occurs in  $\hat{\sigma}(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}])$ . Let  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  be the set of all regular generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ .

A generalized cohypersubstitution  $\sigma$  of type  $\tau$  is called **pre-generalized cohypersubstitution** if the cotermin  $\sigma(f_i)$  is not the injection symbol. Let  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  be the set of all pre-generalized cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $\tau$  be a type of generalized cohypersubstitution. The sets  $P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$ ,  $D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  and  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  are submonoids of  $\underline{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$ .



*Proof.* Obviously  $\sigma_{id}$  belongs to all of the sets. We only show that all of the sets are closed under the binary operation  $\circ_{CG}$ .

Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{0\}$ . Consider the possible four cases as follows:

**Case 1.** If  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  are not  $\sigma_{id}$ , then both  $\sigma_1(f_i)$  and  $\sigma_2(f_i)$  are injection symbols for each  $i \in I$ . Thus  $(\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_1(e_j^{n_i}) = e_j^{n_i} \in E$ .

**Case 2.** If  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_{id}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) &= (\sigma_{id} \circ_{CG} \sigma_{id})(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_{id}(\sigma_{id}(f_i)) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_{id}(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}]) \\ &= (\sigma_{id}(f_i))[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}] \\ &= (f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}])[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}] \\ &= f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}] = \sigma_{id}(f_i). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 3.** If  $\sigma_1 \in P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  and  $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{id}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) &= (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_{id})(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_{id}(f_i)) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_1(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}]) \\ &= (\sigma_1(f_i))[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}]. \end{aligned}$$

So, if  $\sigma_1(f_i) = e_j^{n_i}; 0 \leq j \leq n_i - 1$ , then  $(\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) = e_j^{n_i}$  and if  $\sigma_1(f_i) = e_k^{n_i}; k \geq n_i$ , then  $(\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) = e_k^{n_i}$ .

**Case 4.** If  $\sigma_2 \in P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  and  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{id}$ , then  $(\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) = (\sigma_{id} \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) = \hat{\sigma}_{id}(\sigma_2(f_i)) = \hat{\sigma}_{id}(e_j^{n_i}) = e_j^{n_i} \in E$ . Hence  $\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2 \in P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$ .

Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ . Then  $\sigma_1(f_i) = f_i[e_{\pi(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]$  and  $\sigma_2(f_i) = f_i[e_{\pi'(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi'(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]$ , where  $\pi, \pi'$  are permutations of the set  $J = \{0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1\}$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) &= \hat{\sigma}_1(\sigma_2(f_i)) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_1(f_i[e_{\pi'(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi'(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]) \\ &= (\sigma_1(f_i))[e_{\pi'(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi'(n_i-1)}^{n_i}] \\ &= (f_i[e_{\pi(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi(n_i-1)}^{n_i}])[e_{\pi'(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi'(n_i-1)}^{n_i}] \\ &= f_i[e_{\pi'(\pi(0))}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi'(\pi(n_i-1))}^{n_i}] \\ &= f_i[e_{\pi' \circ \pi(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi' \circ \pi(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2 \in D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ .

Let  $\sigma \in \text{Out}_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  and  $t \in \text{CT}(\tau)$ . We will prove by induction on the complexity of the cotermin  $t$  that the first and last injection symbols occurring

in  $\hat{\sigma}(t)$  agree with the first and last injection symbols, respectively, occurring in  $t$ . If  $t = e_j^{n_i}$  is an injection symbol, then  $\hat{\sigma}(t) = \hat{\sigma}(e_j^{n_i}) = e_j^{n_i}$ . If  $t = f_i[t_0, \dots, t_{n_i-1}]$  is a composed coterms where the first and last injection symbol occurring in  $\hat{\sigma}(t_l)$  agree with the first and last injection symbol occurring in  $t_l$ ;  $0 \leq l \leq n_i - 1$ , respectively. Suppose that the first injection symbol in  $\hat{\sigma}(t_0)$  is  $e_0^{n_i}$  and the last injection symbol in  $\hat{\sigma}(t_{n_i-1})$  is  $e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}$ . Then the first and last injection symbols in  $t$  is  $e_0^{n_i}$  and  $e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}$ , respectively. Since  $\sigma \in Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , the first and last injection symbol in  $\hat{\sigma}(t) = (\sigma(f_i))[\hat{\sigma}(t_0), \dots, \hat{\sigma}(t_{n_i-1})]$  is  $e_0^{n_i}$  and  $e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}$ , respectively.

Now, we can show that  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  and  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  are closed under the operation  $\circ_{CG}$ . Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  be generalized cohypersubstitutions, both either leftmost, rightmost or outermost. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}]) &= (\hat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2)(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}]) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_1(\hat{\sigma}_2(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}])), \end{aligned}$$

and it follows from the previous reasoning that this product has the corresponding property.

Let  $\sigma \in Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  and  $t \in CT_\tau$ . We will prove by induction on the complexity of the coterms  $t$  that the injection symbols occurring in  $t$  and  $\hat{\sigma}(t)$  are the same. If  $t = e_j^{n_i}$  is an injection symbol, then  $\hat{\sigma}(t) = \hat{\sigma}(e_j^{n_i}) = e_j^{n_i}$ . If  $t = f_i[t_0, \dots, t_{n_i-1}]$ , where the injection symbol occurring in  $\hat{\sigma}(t_l)$  and  $t_l$ ;  $0 \leq l \leq n_i - 1$  are the same. Since  $\hat{\sigma}(t) = (\sigma(f_i))[\hat{\sigma}(t_0), \dots, \hat{\sigma}(t_{n_i-1})]$  and  $\sigma \in Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , the injection symbols occurring in  $t$  and  $\hat{\sigma}(t)$  are the same. So, if  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , then  $(\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}]) = \hat{\sigma}_1(\hat{\sigma}_2(f_i[e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{n_i}]))$ . It follows from the previous reasoning that this product has the corresponding property.

Finally, let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ . It is clear that  $\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2$  is again a pre-generalized cohypersubstitution.

Therefore, the sets  $P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$ ,  $D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , and  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  are submonoids of  $\underline{Cohyp}_G(\tau)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *For any type  $\tau$ , the following properties hold:*

- (i)  $D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \subset Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,
- (ii)  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \subset Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,
- (iii)  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \subset Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** For any type  $\tau$ , the sets  $P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$ ,  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , and  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  are subsemigroups of  $(Cohyp_G(\tau), +_{CG})$ .

*Proof.* We will prove that the sets  $P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$ ,  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , and  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  are closed under the operation  $+_{CG}$ .

Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ . Then  $\sigma_1(f_i)$  and  $\sigma_2(f_i)$  are injection symbols for each  $i \in I$ . Since  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) := (\sigma_2(f_i))[\underbrace{\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)}_{n_i\text{-terms}}]$  and both

of the coterms  $\sigma_1(f_i)$  and  $\sigma_2(f_i)$  are injection symbols, this implies that the coterms  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i)$  is an injection symbol. So  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) \in P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ .

Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ . Then  $leftmost_{inj}(\sigma_1(f_i)) = leftmost_{inj}(\sigma_2(f_i)) = e_0^{n_i}$ . By the definition of  $+_{CG}$ , we have  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) := (\sigma_2(f_i))[\underbrace{\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)}_{n_i\text{-terms}}]$ . Since  $leftmost_{inj}(\sigma_1(f_i)) =$

$leftmost_{inj}(\sigma_2(f_i)) = e_0^{n_i}$ , if we substitute in the coterms  $\sigma_2(f_i)$  by a coterms  $\sigma_1(f_i)$ , then we also have  $leftmost_{inj}((\sigma_2(f_i))[\underbrace{\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)}_{n_i\text{-terms}}]) = e_0^{n_i}$ . So,

$\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2 \in Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ .

Similarly, we can show that  $\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2 \in Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  and  $\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2 \in Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ .

Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ . Then every injection symbols  $e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{inj}$  occurs in  $\sigma_1(f_i)$  and  $\sigma_2(f_i)$ . Since  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) := (\sigma_2(f_i))[\underbrace{\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)}_{n_i\text{-terms}}]$  and

each of injection symbols  $e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{inj}$  occurs in  $\sigma_1(f_i)$  and  $\sigma_2(f_i)$ , then every injection symbols  $e_0^{n_i}, \dots, e_{n_i-1}^{inj}$  are in  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i)$ . Thus  $\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2 \in Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ .

Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ . Then both  $\sigma_1(f_i)$  and  $\sigma_2(f_i)$  are not injection symbols. Since  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) := (\sigma_2(f_i))[\underbrace{\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)}_{n_i\text{-terms}}]$  and  $\sigma_1(f_i)$  and

$\sigma_2(f_i)$  are not injection symbols, this implies that  $(\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i)$  is not an injection symbol. Hence  $\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2 \in Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ .

Therefore,  $P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$ ,  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , and  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  are subsemigroups of  $(Cohyp_G(\tau), +_{CG})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** Let  $\tau$  be a type of generalized cohypersubstitution. The set  $D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  is not a subsemigroup of  $(Cohyp_G(\tau), +_{CG})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ . Then  $\sigma_1(f_i) = f_i[e_{\pi(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]$  and  $\sigma_2(f_i) = f_i[e_{\pi'(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi'(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]$  where  $\pi, \pi'$  are permutations of the set  $J = \{0, \dots, n_i -$

1}. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\sigma_1 +_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) \\
 = & (\sigma_2(f_i)) \underbrace{[\sigma_1(f_i), \dots, \sigma_1(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\
 = & (f_i[e_{\pi'(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi'(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]) \underbrace{[f_i[e_{\pi(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi(n_i-1)}^{n_i}], \dots, f_i[e_{\pi(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]]}_{n_i\text{-terms}} \\
 = & f_i[f_i[e_{\pi(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi(n_i-1)}^{n_i}], \dots, f_i[e_{\pi(0)}^{n_i}, \dots, e_{\pi(n_i-1)}^{n_i}]].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\sigma_1 \circ_{CG} \sigma_2 \notin D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ . Therefore,  $D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  is not a subsemigroup of  $(Cohyp_G(\tau), +_{CG})$ . □

**Proposition 4.6.** *For any type  $\tau$ , the set  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  is a maximal subsemigroup of  $(Cohyp_G(\tau), +_{CG})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof directly follows from Propositions 4.3, 4.4, and 4.5. □

**Proposition 4.7.** *For any type  $\tau$ , the sets  $P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$ ,  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , and  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  are subsemigroups of  $(Cohyp_G(\tau), \oplus_{CG})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.4 by considering  $(\sigma_1 \oplus_{CG} \sigma_2)(f_i) := (\sigma_1(f_i)) \underbrace{[\sigma_2(f_i), \dots, \sigma_2(f_i)]}_{n_i\text{-terms}}$ . □

**Proposition 4.8.** *Let  $\tau$  be a type of generalized cohypersubstitution. The set  $D_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  is not a subsemigroup of  $(Cohyp_G(\tau), \oplus_{CG})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.5. □

**Proposition 4.9.** *For any type  $\tau$ , the set  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  is a maximal subsemigroup of  $(Cohyp_G(\tau), \oplus_{CG})$ .*

*Proof.* The proof directly follows from Propositions 4.3, 4.7, and 4.8. □

Now, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $\tau$  be a type of generalized cohypersubstitution. The sets  $P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$ ,  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , and  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  are sub-left semilinear-ring of  $Cohyp_G^{+CG}(\tau)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof directly follows from Propositions 4.2 and 4.4. □

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $\tau$  be a type of generalized cohypersubstitution. The sets  $P_{CG}^{inj}(\tau) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}$ ,  $Left_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Right_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Out_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ ,  $Reg_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$ , and  $Pre_{CG}^{inj}(\tau)$  are sub-left seminear-ring of  $\text{Cohyp}_G^{\oplus CG}(\tau)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof directly follows from Propositions 4.2 and 4.7. □

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and their useful comments.

## References

- [1] J. Boonsol, Linear Cohypersubstitutions and Generalized Linear Cohypersubstitutions of type  $\tau = (n)$ , M. Sc. Thesis, Mahasarakham University, 2019.
- [2] K. Denecke, K. Saengsura, Separation of clones of cooperations by cohyperidentities, *Discrete Mathematics*, **309**, (2009), 772–783.  
doi: 10.1016/j.dise.2008.01.043
- [3] K. Denecke, S. L. Wismath, *Universal Algebra and Coalgebra*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 2009).
- [4] S. Jermjitpornchai, N. Saengsura, Generalized Cohypersubstitutions of Type  $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$ , *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **86**, no. 4, (2013), 745–755.  
doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v86i4.12>
- [5] S. Leeratanavalee, Structural Properties of Generalized Hypersubstitutions, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, **44**, no. 2, (2004), 261–267.
- [6] S. Leeratanavalee, Submonoids of Generalized Hypersubstitutions, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, **XL**, no. 1, (2007), 13–22.  
doi: <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2007-0103>.
- [7] N. Saengsura, S. Jermjitpornchai, Idempotent and Regular Generalized Cohypersubstitutions of Type  $\tau = (2)$ , *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **86**, no. 4, (2013), 757–766.  
doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v86i4.13>