

Maximal Intra-regular Submonoids and Relationship between Some Regular Submonoids of $Hyp_G(n)$

Ampika Boonmee

Faculty of Science at Sriracha
Kasetsart University, Sriracha Campus,
Chonburi, 20230, Thailand

email: ampika.boo@ku.th

(Received May 17, 2021, Accepted July 5, 2021)

Abstract

A generalized hypersubstitution of type τ is a mapping from the set of any operation symbols of type τ to the set of all terms which does not necessarily preserve the arity. The set of all generalized hypersubstitutions of type τ with a binary operation defined on this set forms a monoid. In this paper, we characterize the set of all intra-regular elements of the monoid of all generalized hypersubstitutions of type τ . Moreover, we determine all maximal intra-regular submonoids of this monoid. Furthermore, we show that all maximal intra-regular submonoids and all maximal completely regular submonoids of this monoid are the same.

1 Introduction

Let S be a semigroup and let a be an element of S . An element a is called a *regular element* of S if there is an element b of S such that $a = aba$, and a is called a *completely regular element* of S if a is regular such that $a = aba$ and $ab = ba$ for some $b \in S$. We see that a completely regular element is a regular element, but a regular element need not to be a completely regular

Key words and phrases: generalized hypersubstitution, regular element, completely regular, intra-regular element.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 08A99, 20M10, 20M17.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2022, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

element. So the set of all completely regular elements of S is a subset of the set of all regular elements of S .

Let a be an element of a semigroup S . Then

a is called *left regular* iff $\exists b \in S$ such that $a = ba^2$ ($a \in Sa^2$),

a is called *right regular* iff $\exists b \in S$ such that $a = a^2b$ ($a \in a^2S$),

a is called *intra-regular* iff $\exists b, c \in S$ such that $a = ba^2c$ ($a \in Sa^2S$).

A semigroup S is said to be a *regular semigroup* if every element of S is regular and a regular semigroup with identity is called a *regular monoid*. A *completely regular* [*left regular*, *right regular*, *intra-regular*] *monoid* can be defined in a similar manner.

In semigroup theory, we know that an element a of a semigroup S is completely regular if and only if a is both left regular and right regular. If an element a is either left regular or right regular, then a need not be completely regular. If an element a of S is both left regular and right regular such that $a = ba^2$ and $a = a^2c$ for some $b, c \in S$, then $a = baa = baa^2c$ for some $ba, c \in S$, and then a is intra-regular. It follows that every completely regular element is an intra-regular element. On the other hand, an intra-regular element need not be a completely regular element. Therefore, the set of all completely regular elements of a semigroup S is a subset of the set of all intra-regular elements of a semigroup S . The main result of this paper is to characterize the set of all intra-regular elements of the monoid of all generalized hypersubstitutions of type $\tau = (n)$. In general, we know that the set of all intra-regular elements of the monoid is not its submonoid. In this paper, we determine all maximal intra-regular submonoids of the monoid of all generalized hypersubstitutions of type $\tau = (n)$. Moreover, we show that all maximal intra-regular submonoids and all maximal completely regular submonoids of this monoid are the same.

2 Monoid of all Generalized Hypersubstitutions

In this section, we recall the concept of the monoid of all generalized hypersubstitutions of type τ and introduce some notations and some theorems which will be used throughout this paper.

The concept of a generalized hypersubstitution of type τ was introduced by Leeratanavalee and Denecke [6]. A generalized hypersubstitution of type

τ is a mapping σ which maps any operation symbol f_i to the term $\sigma(f_i)$ which does not necessarily preserve the arity. Each generalized hypersubstitution of type τ can be extended to a mapping defined on the set of all terms of type τ . Leeratanavalee and Denecke used the extension of a generalized hypersubstitution to define a binary operation on the set of all generalized hypersubstitutions of type τ and showed that the set of all generalized hypersubstitutions of type τ together with this binary operation and the identity generalized hypersubstitution forms the monoid.

With $n \geq 1$, define $X_n := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ as an n -element set of variables and $X := \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ as a countably infinite set of variables. Let $\tau = (n_i)_{i \in I}$, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, be a type with operation symbols f_i for each $i \in I$ and let $W_\tau(X)$ be the set of all terms of type τ built up by operation symbols from $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ and variables from $X := \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. A generalized hypersubstitutions of type τ is a mapping $\sigma : \{f_i : i \in I\} \rightarrow W_\tau(X)$ which does not necessarily preserve the arity. The set of all generalized hypersubstitutions of type τ is denoted by $Hyp_G(\tau)$. To define a binary operation on $Hyp_G(\tau)$, first we introduce the concept of a generalized superposition of term $S^m : W_\tau(X)^{m+1} \rightarrow W_\tau(X)$, which is defined inductively as follows:

For each $t_1, \dots, t_m \in W_\tau(X)$,

- (i) $S^m(x_j, t_1, \dots, t_m) = t_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,
- (ii) $S^m(x_j, t_1, \dots, t_m) = x_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j > m$,
- (iii) if $t = f_i(s_1, \dots, s_{n_i}) \in W_\tau(X)$, then
 $S^m(t, t_1, \dots, t_m) := f_i(S^m(s_1, t_1, \dots, t_m), \dots, S^m(s_{n_i}, t_1, \dots, t_m))$.

Each generalized hypersubstitution σ can be extended to a mapping $\widehat{\sigma} : W_\tau(X) \rightarrow W_\tau(X)$ which is defined by the following steps:

- (i) $\widehat{\sigma}[x] := x$, for all $x \in X$,
- (ii) for each n_i -ary operation symbol f_i and $f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i}) \in W_\tau(X)$,

$$\widehat{\sigma}[f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{n_i})] := S^{n_i}(\sigma(f_i), \widehat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}[t_{n_i}]),$$

where $\widehat{\sigma}[t_j]$ are already defined for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$.

Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in Hyp_G(\tau)$ and let \circ denote the usual composition of mappings. Define a binary operation of \circ_G on the set $Hyp_G(\tau)$ by $\sigma_1 \circ_G \sigma_2 := \widehat{\sigma}_1 \circ \sigma_2$. Leeratanavalee and Denecke [6] showed that $(Hyp_G(\tau), \circ_G, \sigma_{id})$ is a monoid,

where σ_{id} is a generalized hypersubstitution which maps each n_i -ary operation symbol f_i to the term $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i})$.

In this paper, we study the monoid of all generalized hypersubstitutions of type $\tau = (n)$. So, we fix the type $\tau = (n)$; i.e., we only have one n -ary operation symbol, say f . Let $t \in W_{(n)}(X)$ and

$\sigma_t :=$ the generalized hypersubstitution σ of type $\tau = (n)$ which maps f to the term t ,

$\sigma_t^2 :=$ the generalized hypersubstitution $\sigma_t \circ_G \sigma_t(f)$ of type $\tau = (n)$,

$var(t) :=$ the set of all variables occurring in the term t .

For each $t \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$ where $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ for some $t_1, \dots, t_n \in W_{(n)}(X)$, let $\pi_{i_l} : W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X \rightarrow W_{(n)}(X)$ with $\pi_{i_l}(t) = \pi_{i_l}(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = t_{i_l}$. Maps π_{i_l} are defined for $i_l = 1, \dots, n$. Let $x_j \in var(t)$ and let $x_j^{(l)}$ be a variable x_j occurring in the l^{th} order of t (from the left). We denote the sequence of $x_j^{(l)}$ in t by $seq^t(x_j^{(l)})$ and denote the depth of $x_j^{(l)}$ in t by $depth^t(x_j^{(l)})$. If $x_j^{(l)} = \pi_{i_m} \circ \dots \circ \pi_{i_1}(t)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$seq^t(x_j^{(l)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \quad \text{and} \quad depth^t(x_j^{(l)}) = m.$$

We denote the set of all a sequences of x_j in term t by $seq^t(x_j)$; i.e.,

$$seq^t(x_j) = \{seq^t(x_j^{(l)}) \mid l \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. [2] *Let $t, s \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$ and $x_i \in var(t)$. Let $x_i^{(j)}$ be a variable x_i occurring in the j^{th} order of t (from the left) such that $seq^t(x_i^{(j)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ for some $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $x_i^{(j)} \in var(\hat{\sigma}_s[t])$ if and only if $x_{i_k} \in var(s)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m$. Let $x_i^{(j,h)}$ be a variable $x_i^{(j)}$ occurring in the h^{th} order of $\hat{\sigma}_s[t]$ (from the left). Then*

$$seq^{\hat{\sigma}_s[t]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) = (a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m}),$$

where a_{i_k} is a sequence of natural number k_1, \dots, k_z such that $(k_1, \dots, k_z) \in seq^s(x_{i_k})$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Moreover,

$$depth^{\hat{\sigma}_s[t]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) = depth^s(x_{i_1}^{l_1}) + \dots + depth^s(x_{i_m}^{l_m}),$$

for some $l_1, \dots, l_m \in \mathbb{N}$ where $x_{i_k}^{l_k}$ is a variable x_{i_k} occurring in the l_k^{th} order of s (from the left) for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

3 Main Results

Let $\sigma_t \in Hyp_G(n)$ and

$$R_1 := \{\sigma_{x_i} \mid x_i \in X\};$$

$$R_2 := \{\sigma_t | t \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X \text{ and } \text{var}(t) \cap X_n = \emptyset\};$$

$R_3 := \{\sigma_t | t \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X \text{ such that } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \text{ where } t_{i_1} = x_{j_1}, \dots, t_{i_m} = x_{j_m} \text{ for some } i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and for distinct } j_1, \dots, j_m \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } \text{var}(t) \cap X_n = \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}\}\};$

$CR(R_3) := \{\sigma_t | t \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X \text{ such that } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n). \text{ Then there exist } t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_m} \in \{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \text{ such that } t_{i_1} = x_{\pi(i_1)}, \dots, t_{i_m} = x_{\pi(i_m)}, \text{ where } \pi \text{ is a bijective map on } \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \text{ and } \text{var}(t) \cap X_n = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\}\}.$

It is clear that $CR(R_3) \subset R_3$. In 2010, Puninagool and Leeratanavalee [7] showed that $R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3$ is the set of all regular elements in $Hyp_G(n)$. In 2013, Boonmee and Leeratanavalee [1] showed that $CR(Hyp_G(n)) := R_1 \cup R_2 \cup CR(R_3)$ is the set of all completely regular elements in $Hyp_G(n)$. In general, we know that $CR(Hyp_G(n))$ is a subset of the set all intra-regular elements in $Hyp_G(n)$.

In this section, we will show that every $\sigma_t \in Hyp_G(n) \setminus CR(Hyp_G(n))$ is not intra-regular. So $CR(Hyp_G(n))$ is the set of all intra-regular elements in $Hyp_G(n)$.

From now on, we construct some tools which will be used in this section.

Definition 3.1. Let $t \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(m)}$ as the set of all distinct variables $x_i \in \text{var}(t) \cap X_n$ such that $\text{depth}^t(x_i^{(l)}) = m$, where $x_i^{(l)}$ is a variable x_i occurring in the l^{th} order of t (from the left) for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$; i.e.,

$$\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(m)} = \{x_i \in \text{var}(t) \cap X_n | \text{depth}^t(x_i^{(l)}) = m \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Example 3.2. Let $\tau = (4)$ and

$$t = f(x_2, f(x_5, x_1, f(x_3, x_1, x_5, x_1), x_6), x_4, f(f(x_1, x_2, x_6, x_7), x_3, x_1, x_7)).$$

Then $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \{x_2, x_4\}$, $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(2)} = \{x_1, x_3\}$, $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(3)} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(m)} = \emptyset$ if $m \geq 4$.

Let $\tau = (3)$ and

$$s = f(f(x_3, x_4, f(x_3, x_5, x_2)), x_5, f(x_1, x_5, x_3)).$$

Then $\text{var}(s)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \emptyset$, $\text{var}(s)_{X_n}^{d(2)} = \{x_1, x_3\}$, $\text{var}(s)_{X_n}^{d(3)} = \{x_2, x_3\}$ and $\text{var}(s)_{X_n}^{d(m)} = \emptyset$ if $m \geq 4$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $t, s \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$. Then $|\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[s])_{X_n}^{d(1)}| \leq |\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$.

Proof. Let $t, s \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$, where $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and $s = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$. If $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \emptyset$, then $\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[s])_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \emptyset$. So $|\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}| = 0 = |\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[s])_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. If $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} \neq \emptyset$, then there are $t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_m} \in \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ such that $t_{i_1} = x_{j_1}, \dots, t_{i_m} = x_{j_m}$, where $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}\}$. Then $|\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}| = m$. Consider $(\sigma_t \circ_G \sigma_s)(f) = \widehat{\sigma}_t[s] = f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$, where $u_i = S^n(t_i, \widehat{\sigma}_t[s_1], \widehat{\sigma}_t[s_2], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_t[s_n])$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[s])_{X_n}^{d(1)} \subseteq \{u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_m}\}$. So $|\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[s])_{X_n}^{d(1)}| \leq m$. Therefore, $|\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[s])_{X_n}^{d(1)}| \leq |\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$, where there exist $t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_m} \in \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ such that $t_{i_1} = x_{j_1}, \dots, t_{i_m} = x_{j_m}$ and $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}\}$. If there exists $i_l \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ such that $x_{i_l} \notin \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$, then σ_t is not intra-regular.*

Proof. Using the hypothesis, $\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[t])_{X_n}^{d(1)} \subseteq \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}\}$ and $|\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[t])_{X_n}^{d(1)}| \leq |\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. First, we show that if $x_{j_l} \notin \text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[t])_{X_n}^{d(1)}$, then we have $|\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[t])_{X_n}^{d(1)}| < |\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. Consider $(\sigma_t \circ_G \sigma_t)(f) = \widehat{\sigma}_t[t] = f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$, where $u_i = S^n(t_i, \widehat{\sigma}_t[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_t[t_n])$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $x_{j_l} \in \text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[t])_{X_n}^{d(1)}$, then $u_k = x_{j_l}$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. So there exists $k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ such that $t_k = x_{i_l}$ and so $x_{i_l} \in \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$, which contradicts $x_{i_l} \notin \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. Hence $x_{j_l} \notin \text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[t])_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. Then $\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[t])_{X_n}^{d(1)} \subset \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. Therefore, $|\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[t])_{X_n}^{d(1)}| < |\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. Next, we show that $\sigma_t \neq \sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v$ for all $\sigma_u, \sigma_v \in \text{Hyp}_G(n)$. Obviously, if $\sigma_u \in R_1 \cup R_2$ or $\sigma_v \in R_1 \cup R_2$, then $\sigma_t \neq \sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v$. Let $\sigma_u, \sigma_v \in \text{Hyp}_G(n) \setminus (R_1 \cup R_2)$. Then $\text{var}(\sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v)_{X_n}^{d(1)} \subseteq \text{var}(\sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. So $|\text{var}(\sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v)_{X_n}^{d(1)}| \leq |\text{var}(\sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v)_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $|\text{var}(\sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v)_{X_n}^{d(1)}| \leq |\text{var}(\sigma_t^2)_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. Hence $|\text{var}(\sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v)_{X_n}^{d(1)}| < |\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. So $\sigma_t \neq \sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v$. It follows that σ_t is not intra-regular. \square

Theorem 3.5. *If $\sigma_t \in R_3 \setminus CR(R_3)$, then σ_t is not intra-regular.*

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.4. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $t, s \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$ and $x_j \in \text{var}(t)$. Fix a variable $x_j^{(l)}$ occurring in the l^{th} order of t (from the left) for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{seg}^t(x_j^{(l)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ for some $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $x_j^{(l)} \in \text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_s[t])$ and let $x_j^{(l,h)}$ be a variable $x_j^{(l)}$ occurring in the h^{th} order of $\widehat{\sigma}_s[t]$ (from the left) for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$. If there is $i_k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ such that $x_{i_k} \notin \text{var}(s)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$, then $\text{depth}^{\widehat{\sigma}_s[t]}(x_j^{(l,h)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_j^{(l)})$.*

Proof. Assume that the hypothesis holds. Then $\text{depth}^t(x_j^{(l)}) = m$. By Theorem 2.1, we have $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}, \dots, x_{i_m} \in \text{var}(s)$ and

$$\text{depth}^{\widehat{\sigma}_s[t]}(x_j^{(l,h)}) = \text{depth}^s(x_{i_1}^{q_1}) + \dots + \text{depth}^s(x_{i_k}^{q_k}) + \dots + \text{depth}^s(x_{i_m}^{q_m})$$

for some $q_1, \dots, q_k, \dots, q_m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $x_{i_p}^{q_p}$ is a variable x_{i_p} occurring in the q_p^{th} order of s (from the left) for all $p \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since $x_{i_k} \notin \text{var}(s)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$, we have $\text{depth}^s(x_{i_k}^{q_k}) > 1$. Then $\text{depth}^{\widehat{\sigma}_s[t]}(x_j^{(l,h)}) > m$. Therefore $\text{depth}^{\widehat{\sigma}_s[t]}(x_j^{(l,h)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_j^{(l)})$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$ and $x_j \in (\text{var}(t) \cap X_n) \setminus \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. For each $x_j^{(l)} \in \text{var}(t_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x_i \notin \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$, where $x_j^{(l)}$ is a variable occurring in the l^{th} order of t (from the left) for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_j^{(l)} \in \text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}_t[t])$ and $x_j^{(l,h)}$ is a variable occurring in the h^{th} order of $\widehat{\sigma}_t[t]$ (from the left) for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\text{depth}^{\widehat{\sigma}_t[t]}(x_j^{(l,h)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_j^{(l)})$.*

Let $t \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$, where $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Consider

$$I_1^t = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} | t_i \in X \setminus X_n\},$$

$$I_2^t = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} | t_i \in X_n\}, \quad I_3^t = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} | t_i \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X\}.$$

Clearly, I_1^t , I_2^t and I_3^t are partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Theorem 3.8. *If $\sigma_t \in \text{Hyp}_G(n) \setminus (R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3)$, then σ_t is not intra-regular.*

Proof. Let $\sigma_t \in \text{Hyp}_G(n) \setminus (R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3)$. Then $t \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$. Assume that $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in W_{(n)}(X)$. Suppose that σ_t is not intra-regular. Then there exist $\sigma_u, \sigma_v \in \text{Hyp}_G(n) \setminus (R_1 \cup R_2)$ such that $\sigma_t = \sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_t^2 \circ_G \sigma_v$. (*)

Consider

$$u = f(u_1, \dots, u_n),$$

$$v = f(v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

$$w = (\sigma_t \circ_G \sigma_t)(f) = f(w_1, \dots, w_n), \text{ where } w_i = S^n(t_i, \widehat{\sigma}_t[t_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_t[t_n]),$$

$$s = (\sigma_w \circ_G \sigma_v)(f) = f(s_1, \dots, s_n), \text{ where } s_i = S^n(w_i, \widehat{\sigma}_w[v_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_w[v_n]),$$

$$r = (\sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_s)(f) = f(r_1, \dots, r_n), \text{ where } r_i = S^n(u_i, \widehat{\sigma}_u[s_1], \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_u[s_n])$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since $\sigma_t \notin R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3$, there exists $t_i \in W_{(n)}(X) \setminus X$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\text{var}(t_i) \cap X_n \neq \emptyset$. Then $I_3^t \neq \emptyset$.

Case I: I_1^t and I_2^t are non-empty sets. Since $I_2^t \neq \emptyset$, $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\}$. Using Theorem 3.4 and the fact that σ_t is intra-regular, there exist $t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_m} \in \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ such that $t_{i_1} = x_{\pi(i_1)}, \dots, t_{i_m} = x_{\pi(i_m)}$, where π is a bijective map on $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$. Then $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \subseteq I_2^t$.

Since $w = \widehat{\sigma}_t[t] = f(w_1, \dots, w_n)$, so $w_{i_1} = x_{\pi_w(i_1)}, \dots, w_{i_m} = x_{\pi_w(i_m)}$ where $\pi_w = \pi \circ \pi$ is a bijective map on $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ and so $\text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \text{var}(w)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. It is clear that $I_1^t = I_1^w$, $I_2^t = I_2^w$ and $I_3^t = I_3^w$. By (*), we have

$v_{i_1} = x_{\pi_v(i_1)}, \dots, v_{i_m} = x_{\pi_v(i_m)}$ and $u_{i_1} = x_{\pi_u(i_1)}, \dots, u_{i_m} = x_{\pi_u(i_m)}$, where π_v and π_u are bijective maps on $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$. So $\{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\}$ is a subset of $\text{var}(v)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$ and $\text{var}(u)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. Since $s = \widehat{\sigma}_w[v] = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$, we have $s_{i_1} = x_{\pi_s(i_1)}, \dots, s_{i_m} = x_{\pi_s(i_m)}$, where $\pi_s = \pi_w \circ \pi_v$ is a bijective map on $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ and so $\text{var}(s)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \text{var}(w)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$. Then $I_1^s = I_1^w = I_1^t$, $I_2^s = I_2^w = I_2^t$ and $I_3^s = I_3^w = I_3^t$. Since $\sigma_t \notin R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3$, we have $(\text{var}(t) \cap X_n) \setminus \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $x_j^{(l)} \in (\text{var}(t) \cap X_n) \setminus \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$, where $x_j^{(l)}$ is a variable occurring in the l^{th} order of t (from the left) for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}^t(x_j^{(l)}) &= \min\{\text{depth}^t(x_p^{(q)}) \mid x_p^{(q)} \in (\text{var}(t) \cap X_n) \setminus \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)} \text{ where,} \\ &\quad x_p^{(q)} \text{ is a variable } x_p \text{ occurring in the } q^{\text{th}} \text{ order of } t \text{ (from} \\ &\quad \text{the left) for some } l \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (**) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_t = \sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_w \circ_G \sigma_v$ and $x_j \in (\text{var}(t) \cap X_n) \setminus \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\}$, we have $x_j \in (\text{var}(v) \cap X_n) \setminus \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\}$. Let $x_j^{(l_v)}$ be a variable x_j occurring in the l_v^{th} order of v (from the left) for some $l_v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{seq}^v(x_j^{(l_v)}) = (a_1, \dots, a_z)$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_z \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where $x_j^{(l_v)} \in \text{var}(\sigma_u \circ_G \sigma_w \circ_G \sigma_v) = \text{var}(r)$. Then $x_j^{(l_v)} \in \text{var}(\sigma_w \circ_G \sigma_v) = \text{var}(s)$. Let $x_j^{(l_v, l_s)}$ be a variable $x_j^{(l_v)}$ occurring in the l_s^{th} order of s (from the left) for some $l_s \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $x_j^{(l_v, l_s, l_r)}$ be a variable $x_j^{(l_v, l_s)}$ occurring in the l_r^{th} order of r (from the left) for some $l_r \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 2.1, there exist $x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_z} \in \text{var}(w) \cap X_n$ such that

$$\text{depth}^s(x_j^{(l_v, l_s)}) = \text{depth}^w(x_{a_1}^{(b_1)}) + \dots + \text{depth}^w(x_{a_z}^{(b_z)}) \quad (***)$$

for some $b_1, \dots, b_z \in \mathbb{N}$, where $x_{a_k}^{(b_k)}$ is a variable x_{a_k} occurring in the b_k^{th} order of w (from the left) for all $k \in \{1, \dots, z\}$. Since $x_j^{(l_v)} \notin \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\}$ and $v_{i_1} = x_{\pi_v(i_1)}, \dots, v_{i_m} = x_{\pi_v(i_m)}$, we have $x_j^{(l_v)} \in \text{var}(v_{a_1})$ such that $a_1 \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ and so $x_{a_1}^{(b_1)} \notin \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\} = \text{var}(w)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. Since $x_{a_1}^{(b_1)} \in (\text{var}(w) \cap X_n) \setminus \text{var}(w)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$ and $w = \widehat{\sigma}_t[t]$, we have $x_{a_1}^{(b_1)} \in (\text{var}(t) \cap X_n) \setminus \text{var}(t)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. Let $x_{a_1}^{(b_{1t})}$ be a variable $x_{a_1}^{(b_1)}$ occurring in the b_{1t}^{th} order of t (from the left) for some $b_{1t} \in \mathbb{N}$. By Corollary 3.7 and (**), we have $\text{depth}^w(x_{a_1}^{(b_1)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_{a_1}^{(b_{1t})}) \geq \text{depth}^t(x_j^{(l)})$. By (***), we have $\text{depth}^s(x_j^{(l_v, l_s)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_j^{(l)})$. So $\text{depth}^r(x_j^{(l_v, l_s, l_r)}) \geq \text{depth}^s(x_j^{(l_v, l_s)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_j^{(l)})$. It follows that every

$x_j^{(h)} \in \text{var}(r)$, where $x_j^{(h)}$ is a variable x_j occurring in the h^{th} order of r (from the left) for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $\text{depth}^r(x_j^{(h)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_j^{(l)})$, which contradicts (*) and (**).

Case II: I_1^t or I_2^t is empty. We skip the proof as it is similar to Case I.

Therefore, σ_t is not intra-regular. \square

Theorem 3.9. $CR(\text{Hyp}_G(n))$ is the set of all intra-regular elements in $\text{Hyp}_G(n)$

Proof. Use Theorems 3.5 and 3.8. \square

Definition 3.10. [8] Let $t \in W_{(n)}(X)$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. An i -most(t) is defined inductively as follows:

- (i) if t is a variable, then i -most(t) = t ,
- (ii) if $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, then i -most(t) = i -most(t_i).

Let $\sigma_t \in \text{Hyp}_G(n)$ and let $\emptyset \neq I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Consider

$CR_1(R_3) := \{\sigma_t | t = f(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), \text{ where } \pi \text{ is a bijective map on } \{1, \dots, n\}\}$,

$E := \{\sigma_t | t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n), \text{ where } t_{i_1} = x_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_m} = x_{i_m} \text{ for some } i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } \text{var}(t) \cap X_n = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\} \text{ and if } x_{i_l} \in \text{var}(t_k) \text{ for some } l \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ and } k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}, \text{ then } j\text{-most}(t_k) \neq x_{i_l} \text{ for all } j \neq i_l\}$,

$CR_I(R_3) := \{\sigma_t | t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n), \text{ where } t_i = x_{\pi(i)} \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ and } \pi \text{ is a bijective map on } I, \text{ var}(t) \cap X_n = \{x_{\pi(i)} | i \in I\}\}$,

$CR'_I(R_3) := \{\sigma_t | t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n), \text{ where } t_i = x_{\pi(i)}; \pi(i) \in I \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ and } t_k = x_{\pi(k)} \text{ for all } k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I \text{ and } \pi \text{ is a bijective map on } \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ and define

$$(MCR)_{\text{Hyp}_G(n)} := R_1 \cup R_2 \cup CR_1(R_3),$$

$$(MCR_1)_{\text{Hyp}_G(n)} := R_1 \cup R_2 \cup E,$$

$$(MCR_I)_{\text{Hyp}_G(n)} := R_1 \cup R_2 \cup CR_I(R_3) \cup CR'_I(R_3) \cup \{\sigma_{id}\}.$$

In 2019, Kunama and Leeratanavalee [5] showed that $(MCR)_{\text{Hyp}_G(n)}$, $(MCR_1)_{\text{Hyp}_G(n)}$ and $(MCR_I)_{\text{Hyp}_G(n)}$ are all maximal completely regular submonoids of $\text{Hyp}_G(n)$.

Theorem 3.11. $(MCR)_{\text{Hyp}_G(n)}$, $(MCR_1)_{\text{Hyp}_G(n)}$ and $(MCR_I)_{\text{Hyp}_G(n)}$ are all maximal intra-regular submonoids of $\text{Hyp}_G(n)$.

Proof. Use Theorem 3.9 and [5]. \square

Acknowledgment. This research was partially supported by Kasetsart University Research and Development Institute, Thailand.

References

- [1] A. Boonmee, S. Leeratanavalee, All Completely Regular Elements in $Hyp_G(n)$, *Discussiones Mathematicae General Algebra and Applications*, **33**, (2013), 211–219.
- [2] A. Boonmee, S. Leeratanavalee, All Intra-Regular Elements in $Hyp_G(2)$, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Mathematica*, **11**, no. 1, (2019), 29–39.
- [3] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1995.
- [4] M. Petrich, N. R. Reilly, *Completely Regular Semigroups*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1999.
- [5] P. Kunama, S. Leeratanavalee, All Maximal Completely Regular Submonoids of Type $HYp_G(n)$, *East-West J. of Mathematics*, **21**, no. 2, (2019), 182–192.
- [6] S. Leeratanavalee, K. Denecke, Generalized Hypersubstitutions and Strongly Solid Varieties, *General Algebra and Applications*, Proc. of the “59 th Workshop on General Algebra”, “15 th Conference for Young Algebraists Potsdam”, Shaker Verlag, (2000), 135–145.
- [7] W. Puninagool, S. Leeratanavalee, The Monoid of Generalized Hypersubstitutions of type $\tau = (n)$, *Discussiones Mathematicae General Algebra and Applications*, **30**, (2010), 173–191.
- [8] W. Wongpinit, S. Leeratanavalee, All maximal Idempotent Submonoids of $Hyp_G(n)$, *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications*, **10**, (2015), 41–48.