

All intra-regular and relationship between some regular submonoids of $\text{Relhyp}((m), (n))$

Pornpimol Kunama, Sorasak Leeratanavalee

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Chiang Mai University
Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand

email: pornpimol5331@gmail.com, sorasak.l@cmu.ac.th

(Received June 15, 2021, Accepted July 13, 2021)

Abstract

An algebraic system is a structure consisting of a nonempty set together with a sequence of operations and a sequence of relations on it. A relational hypersubstitution for algebraic systems is a mapping which maps any operation to a term and maps any relation to a relational term preserving its arities. The set of all such relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems forms a monoid. In this paper, we characterize the set of all intra-regular elements of the monoid of all relational hypersubstitutions of type $((m), (n))$ and show that the set of all intra-regular elements, set of all left (right) regular elements and the set of all completely regular elements of $\text{Relhyp}((m), (n))$ are the same.

1 Introduction

First, we recall the definition of some special elements in a semigroup. Let a be an element of a semigroup S . Then a is called *regular* if $a = axa$ for some $x \in S$, a is called a *completely regular* of S if a is regular such that $a = axa$ and $ax = xa$ for some $x \in S$, and a is called an *intra-regular* if and only if

Key words and phrases: Hypersubstitutions, relational hypersubstitutions, intra-regular elements, algebraic systems.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 20M07, 20M17, 08B15.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2022, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

$a = xa^2y$ for some $x, y \in S$. We see that a completely regular element is an intra-regular element, but an intra-regular element need not be a completely regular element. So the set of all completely regular elements of S is a subset of the set of all intra-regular elements of S . In general, we know that the set of all intra-regular elements of the monoid is not its submonoid. In 2008, Denecke and Phusanga [5] introduced the concept of a hypersubstitution for algebraic systems of type (τ, τ') which is a mapping that assigns an operation to a term and assigns a relation to a formula which preserves the arities. The set of all hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type (τ, τ') is denoted by $Hyp(\tau, \tau')$. Denecke and Phusanga defined an associative operation \circ_h on this set and proved that $(Hyp(\tau, \tau'), \circ_h, \sigma_{id})$ forms a monoid where σ_{id} is an identity hypersubstitution for algebraic systems (for additional details see [5, 9, 10]). The concept of algebraic systems was first introduced by Mal'cev [8].

Definition 1.1. Let I and J be indexed sets. An algebraic system of type (τ, τ') is a triplet $(A, (f_i^A)_{i \in I}, (\gamma_j^A)_{j \in J})$ consisting of a nonempty set A , a sequence $(f_i^A)_{i \in I}$ of operations defined on A and a sequence $(\gamma_j^A)_{j \in J}$ of relations on A , where $\tau = (m_i)_{i \in I}$ is a sequence of the arity of each operation f_i^A and $\tau' = (n_j)_{j \in J}$ is a sequence of the arity of each relation γ_j^A . The pair (τ, τ') is called the type of an algebraic system.

In 2018, Lekkoksung and Phusanga [7] improved this concept and introduced the concept of a relational hypersubstitution for algebraic systems of type (τ, τ') . The new concept generalizes the notion of a hypersubstitution (for universal algebras) of type τ in a canonical way. Since any hypersubstitution (for universal algebras) of type τ assigns an m_i -ary operation symbol f_i to an m_i -ary term for $i \in I$, it seems quite natural that any hypersubstitution for algebraic systems of type (τ, τ') assigns an m_i -ary operation f_i^A to an m_i -ary term for $i \in I$ and assigns an n_j -ary relation γ_j^A to an n_j -ary relational term for $j \in J$. Such mappings are called the relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type (τ, τ') . The set of all relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems together with a binary operation defined on this set and the identity element forms a monoid. In 2020, Daengsaen and Leeratanavalee [3] characterized all completely regular elements of the monoid of all relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $(\tau, \tau') = ((m), (n))$. In this paper, we determine all intra-regular elements of this monoid and show that the set of all intra-regular elements and the set of all completely regular elements of the monoid of all relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $(\tau, \tau') = ((m), (n))$ are the

same.

2 The monoid of relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems

In this section, we introduce the concept of the monoid of all relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type $((m), (n))$. To define the concept of relational hypersubstitution for algebraic systems, we first introduce the concept of terms and relational terms.

Let $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ be a set of m_i -ary operation symbols indexed by the set I , where $m_i \in \mathbb{N}^+$. The set $X := \{x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$ is a countably infinite set of symbols called variables. For each $m \geq 1$, let $X_m := \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. We call the sequence $\tau := (m_i)_{i \in I}$ of arities of f_i , the type. An m -ary term of type τ is defined inductively in the following steps:

- (i) Every variable $x_k \in X_m$ is an m -ary term of type τ .
- (ii) If t_1, \dots, t_{m_i} are m_i -ary terms of type τ and f_i is an m_i -ary operation symbol, then $f_i(t_1, \dots, t_{m_i})$ is an m -ary term of type τ .

Let $W_\tau(X_m)$ be the set of all m -ary terms of type τ which contains x_1, \dots, x_m and is closed under finite application of (ii) and let $W_\tau(X) := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^+} W_\tau(X_m)$ be the set of all terms of type τ .

An n -ary relational term of type (τ, τ') is defined as follows:

Definition 2.1. ([10]) Let J be an indexed set. If $j \in J$ and t_1, \dots, t_{n_j} are n_j -ary terms of type τ and γ_j is an n_j -ary relation symbol, then $\gamma_j(t_1, \dots, t_{n_j})$ is an n -ary relational term of type (τ, τ') .

Let $\gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X_n)$ be the set of all n -ary relational terms of type (τ, τ') and let $\gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X_n)$ be the set of all relational terms of type (τ, τ') .

A relational hypersubstitution for algebraic systems of type $(\tau, \tau') = ((m_i)_{i \in I}, (n_j)_{j \in J})$ is a mapping

$$\sigma : \{f_i : i \in I\} \cup \{\gamma_j : j \in J\} \rightarrow W_\tau(X_{m_i}) \cup \gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X_{n_j})$$

with $\sigma(f_i) \in W_\tau(X_{m_i})$ and $\sigma(\gamma_j) \in \gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X_{n_j})$. The set of all relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems of type (τ, τ') is denoted by $Relhyp(\tau, \tau')$.

Definition 2.2. ([10]) For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $t, t_1, \dots, t_{n_j} \in W_\tau(X_m), s_1, \dots, s_m \in W_\tau(X_n)$ and $F = \gamma_j(t_1, \dots, t_{n_j}) \in \gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X_m)$, a superposition partial operation

$$R_n^m : (W_\tau(X_m) \cup \gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X_m)) \times (W_\tau(X_n))^m \multimap W_\tau(X_n) \cup \gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X_n)$$

is defined by the following steps:

- (i) $R_n^m(t, s_1, \dots, s_m) := S_n^m(t, s_1, \dots, s_m)$,
- (ii) $R_n^m(F, s_1, \dots, s_m) := \gamma_j(S_n^m(t_1, s_1, \dots, s_m), \dots, S_n^m(t_{n_j}, s_1, \dots, s_m))$.

Every relational hypersubstitution for algebraic systems σ can be extended to a mapping $\hat{\sigma} : W_\tau(X) \cup \gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X) \rightarrow W_\tau(X) \cup \gamma\mathcal{F}_{(\tau, \tau')}(X)$ defined by the following steps:

- (i) $\hat{\sigma}[x_i] := x_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) $\hat{\sigma}[f_i(t_1 \dots, t_{m_i})] := S_m^{m_i}(\sigma(f_i), \hat{\sigma}[t_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}[t_{m_i}])$,
where $i \in I$ and $t_1, \dots, t_{m_i} \in W_\tau(X_m)$; i.e., any occurrence of the variable x_k in $\sigma(f_i)$ is replaced by the term $\hat{\sigma}[t_k]$, $1 \leq k \leq m_i$,
- (iii) $\hat{\sigma}[\gamma_j(s_1 \dots, s_{n_j})] := R_n^{n_j}(\sigma(\gamma_j), \hat{\sigma}[s_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}[s_{n_j}])$, where $j \in J$ and $s_1, \dots, s_{n_j} \in W_\tau(X_n)$; i.e., any occurrence of the variable x_k in $\sigma(\gamma_j)$ is replaced by the term $\hat{\sigma}[s_k]$, $1 \leq k \leq n_j$.

We define a binary operation \circ_r on $Relhyp(\tau, \tau')$ by $\sigma \circ_r \alpha := \hat{\sigma} \circ \alpha$, where \circ is the usual composition of mappings and $\sigma, \alpha \in Relhyp(\tau, \tau')$. Let σ_{id} be the relational hypersubstitution which maps each m_i -ary operation symbol f_i to the term $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_{m_i})$ and maps each n_j -ary relation symbol γ_j to the relational term $\gamma_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n_j})$. Lekkoksung and Phusanga [7] proved that $(Relhyp(\tau, \tau'), \circ_r, \sigma_{id})$ is a monoid.

In 2015, Wongpinit and Leeratanavalee [11] introduced the concept of the i -most of terms as follows:

Definition 2.3. ([11]) For a type $\tau = (m)$ with an m -ary operation symbol f , $t \in W_{(m)}(X)$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. An i -most(t) is defined inductively by the following steps.

- (i) If t is a variable, then i -most(t) = t .
- (ii) If $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in W_{(m)}(X)$, then i -most(t) := i -most(t_i).

Example 1. Let $\tau = (3)$ be a type, $t = f(f(x_3, x_1, x_2), x_2, f(x_2, x_1, x_1))$. Then $1\text{-most}(t) = 1\text{-most}(f(x_3, x_1, x_2)) = x_3$, $2\text{-most}(t) = 2\text{-most}(x_2) = x_2$ and $3\text{-most}(t) = 3\text{-most}(f(x_2, x_1, x_1)) = x_1$.

Let $(\tau, \tau') = ((m), (n))$ be a type with an m -ary operation symbol f and an n -ary relation symbol γ . Let $t \in W_{(m)}(X_m)$ and $F \in \gamma F_{((m), (n))}(X_n)$. We use the following notations:

$\sigma_{t,F}$:= the relational hypersubstitution of type $(\tau, \tau') = ((m), (n))$ with maps f to a term $t \in W_{(m)}(X_m)$ and maps γ to a relational term $F \in \gamma F_{((m), (n))}(X_n)$,

$var(t)$:= the set of all variables occurring in the term t ,

$var(F)$:= the set of all variables occurring in the relational term F ,

$vb^t(x)$:= the total number of x -variable occurring in the term t ,

$vb^F(x)$:= the total number of x -variable occurring in the relational term F .

Example 2. Let $(\tau, \tau') = ((3), (2))$ where $t = f(x_3, f(x_1, x_2, x_1), f(x_3, x_1, x_2)) \in W_{(3)}(X_3)$ and $F = \gamma(f(x_2, f(x_1, x_2, x_1), x_1), x_1) \in \gamma F_{((3), (2))}(X_2)$. Then $var(t) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $vb^t(x_1) = 3, vb^t(x_2) = 2, vb^t(x_3) = 2$
 $var(F) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $vb^F(x_1) = 4, vb^F(x_2) = 2$.

2.1 Sequence of relational terms

In this section, we construct some tools which are used to characterize all intra-regular elements in $Relhyp((m), (n))$. These tools are called the sequence of a relational term and the depth of a relational term, respectively

Definition 2.4. ([1]) Let $t \in W_{(m)}(X_m) \setminus X$ where $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ for some $t_1, \dots, t_m \in W_{(m)}(X_m)$. Let $x_j^{(l)}$ be variable x_j occurring in the l^{th} component of t (from the left). For $i_l = 1, \dots, m$, let $\pi_{i_l} : W_{(m)}(X_m) \setminus X \rightarrow W_{(m)}(X_m)$ be defined by $\pi_{i_l}(t) = \pi_{i_l}(f(t_1, \dots, t_m)) = t_{i_l}$. The sequence and the depth of $x_j^{(l)}$ in t are denoted by $seq^t(x_j^{(l)})$ and $depth^t(x_j^{(l)})$, respectively. If $x_j^{(l)} = \pi_{i_k} \circ \dots \circ \pi_{i_1}(t)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we define

$$seq^t(x_j^{(l)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \text{ and } depth^t(x_j^{(l)}) = k.$$

Definition 2.5. Let $F \in \gamma F_{((m), (n))}(X_n)$ where $F = \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ for some $s_1, \dots, s_n \in W_{(n)}(X_n)$. Let $x_j^{(l)}$ be variable x_j occurring in the l^{th} component of F (from the left). For $i_l = 1, \dots, n$, let $\varphi_{i_l} : \gamma F_{((m), (n))}(X_n) \rightarrow W_{(n)}(X_n)$ be defined by $\varphi_{i_l}(F) = \varphi_{i_l}(\gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n)) = s_{i_l}$. For $i_k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $\phi_{i_k} : W_{(n)}(X_n) \setminus X \rightarrow W_{(n)}(X_n)$ be defined by $\phi_{i_k}(f(t_1, \dots, t_m)) = t_{i_k}$. The

sequence and the depth of $x_j^{(l)}$ in F are denoted by $seq^F(x_j^{(l)})$ and $depth^F(x_j^{(l)})$, respectively. If $x_j^{(l)} = \phi_{i_k} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_2} \circ \varphi_{i_1}(F)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we define

$$seq^F(x_j^{(l)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \text{ and } depth^F(x_j^{(l)}) = k.$$

Example 3. Let $(\tau, \tau') = ((3), (2))$ and let $t \in W_{(3)}(X_3)$, $F \in \gamma F_{((3), (2))}(X_2)$ where $F = \gamma(f(x_2, f(x_1, x_2, x_1), x_1), x_1)$ and $t = f(x_2, f(x_3, f(x_1, f(x_2, x_3, x_1), x_2), x_1), f(f(x_3, x_2, f(x_2, x_3, x_1)), x_1, x_3)))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} seq^t(x_1^{(1)}) &= (2, 2, 1) \text{ and } depth^t(x_1^{(1)}) = 3 \\ seq^t(x_1^{(2)}) &= (2, 2, 2, 3) \text{ and } depth^t(x_1^{(2)}) = 4 \\ seq^t(x_1^{(3)}) &= (2, 3) \text{ and } depth^t(x_1^{(3)}) = 2 \\ seq^t(x_1^{(4)}) &= (3, 1, 3, 3) \text{ and } depth^t(x_1^{(4)}) = 4 \\ seq^t(x_1^{(5)}) &= (3, 2) \text{ and } depth^t(x_1^{(5)}) = 2 \\ seq^F(x_1^{(1)}) &= (1, 2, 1) \text{ and } depth^t(x_1^{(1)}) = 3 \\ seq^F(x_1^{(2)}) &= (1, 2, 3) \text{ and } depth^t(x_1^{(2)}) = 3 \\ seq^F(x_1^{(3)}) &= (1, 3) \text{ and } depth^t(x_1^{(3)}) = 2 \\ seq^F(x_1^{(4)}) &= (2) \text{ and } depth^t(x_1^{(4)}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.6. ([2]) Let $t \in W_{(m)}(X_m) \setminus X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Let $x_i^{(j)}$ be variable x_i occurring in the j^{th} component of t (from the left). Let $var(t)_{X_m}^{d(k)}$ denote the set of all distinct variables $x_i \in var(t)$ such that $depth^t(x_j^{(l)}) = k$; i.e.,

$$var(t)_{X_m}^{d(k)} = \{x_i \in var(t) \mid depth^t(x_j^{(l)}) = k \exists l \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$$

Definition 2.7. Let $F \in \gamma F_{((m), (n))}(X_n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Let $x_i^{(j)}$ be variable x_i occurring in the j^{th} component of t (from the left). Let $var(F)_{X_n}^{d(k)}$ denote the set of all distinct variables $x_i \in var(F)$ such that $depth^F(x_j^{(l)}) = k$; i.e.,

$$var(F)_{X_n}^{d(k)} = \{x_i \in var(F) \mid depth^F(x_j^{(l)}) = k \exists l \in \mathbb{N}^+\}$$

Example 4. Let $(\tau, \tau') = ((3), (2))$ and $t \in W_{(3)}(X_3)$, $F \in \gamma F_{((3), (2))}(X_2)$ where $t = f(x_2, f(x_3, f(x_1, f(x_2, x_3, x_1), x_2), x_1), f(f(x_3, x_2, f(x_2, x_3, x_1), x_1, x_3)))$ and $F = \gamma(f(x_2, f(x_1, x_2, x_1), x_1), x_1)$. Then $var(t)_{X_3}^{d(1)} = \{x_2\}$, $var(t)_{X_3}^{d(2)} = \{x_1, x_3\}$, $var(t)_{X_3}^{d(3)} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $var(t)_{X_3}^{d(4)} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, $var(t)_{X_3}^{d(n)} = \emptyset$ if $n \geq 5$ and $var(F)_{X_2}^{d(1)} = \{x_1\}$, $var(F)_{X_2}^{d(2)} = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $var(F)_{X_2}^{d(3)} = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $var(F)_{X_2}^{d(n)} = \emptyset$ if $n \geq 4$.

3 All Intra-regular Elements in $Relhyp((m), (n))$

Let $\sigma_{t,F} \in Relhyp((m), (n))$. We use the following notations:

$CR(R_x) := \{\sigma_{t,F} \mid t = x_i \in X_m \text{ and } F = \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n) \text{ with } var(F) = \{x_{b_1}, \dots, x_{b_l}\} \subseteq X_n \text{ such that } i - most(s_{b_j}) = x_{\phi(b_j)} \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, l \text{ where } \phi \text{ is a bijective on } \{b_1, \dots, b_l\}\}$;

$CR(R_t) := \{\sigma_{t,F} \mid t = f(t_1, \dots, t_m) \text{ and } F = \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n) \text{ with } var(t) = \{x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_k}\} \text{ and } var(F) = \{x_{b_1}, \dots, x_{b_l}\} \text{ such that } t_{a_i} = x_{\pi a_i} \text{ and } s_{b_j} = x_{\phi(b_j)} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, l \text{ where } \pi \text{ is a bijective on } \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \text{ and } \phi \text{ is a bijective on } \{b_1, \dots, b_l\}\}$.

In 2020, Daengsaen and Leeratanavalee [3] showed that $CR(Relhyp((m), (n))) := CR(R_x) \cup CR(R_t)$ is the set of all completely regular elements in $Relhyp((m), (n))$. In general, $CR(Relhyp((m), (n)))$ is a subset of the set all intra-regular elements in $Relhyp((m), (n))$. In this section, we show that every $\sigma_{t,F} \in Relhyp((m), (n)) \setminus CR(Relhyp((m), (n)))$ is not intra-regular. So $CR(Relhyp((m), (n)))$ is the set of all intra-regular elements in $Relhyp((m), (n))$.

From now on, we construct some tools which will be used in this section.

Theorem 3.1. ([1]) Let $t, s \in W_{(m)}(X_m) \setminus X$ and $x_i \in var(t)$. Let $x_i^{(j)}$ be variable x_i occurring in the j^{th} component of t (from the left) such that $seq^t(x_i^{(j)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ for some $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then $x_i^{(j)} \in var(\hat{\sigma}_s[t])$ if and only if $x_{i_k} \in var(s)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$; i.e.,

$$seq^{\hat{\sigma}_s[t]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) = (a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n})$$

where (a_{i_k}) is a sequence of k_1, \dots, k_z such that $(k_1, \dots, k_z) \in seq^s(x_{i_k})$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Moreover,

$$depth^{\hat{\sigma}_s[t]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) = depth^s(x_{i_1}^{(l_1)}) + \dots + depth^s(x_{i_n}^{(l_n)}),$$

where $x_{i_k}^{(l_k)}$ be variable x_{i_k} occurring in the l_k^{th} component of s (from the left) for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $F, H \in \gamma F_{((m),(n))}(X_n)$ and $x_i \in var(F)$. Let $x_i^{(j)}$ be variable x_i occurring in the j^{th} component of F (from the left) such that $seq^F(x_i^{(j)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ for some $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $x_i^{(j)} \in var(\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F])$ if and only if $x_{i_k} \in var(H) \cup var(u)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m$; i.e.,

$$seq^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) = (a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m})$$

where (a_{i_k}) is a sequence of p_1, \dots, p_g such that $(p_1, \dots, p_g) \in \text{seq}^H(x_{i_k})$ or (a_{i_l}) is a sequence of q_1, \dots, q_r such that $(q_1, \dots, q_r) \in \text{seq}^u(x_{i_l})$ for all $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Moreover,

$$\text{depth}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) = \text{depth}^H(x_{i_1}^{(l_1)}) + \dots + \text{depth}^u(x_{i_m}^{(l_m)}),$$

for some $l_1, \dots, l_m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $F = \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $\text{seq}^F(x_i^{(j)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$. Let us proceed by mathematical induction on m . If $(i_1) \in \text{seq}^F(x_i)$, then $\phi_{i_1}(F) = s_{i_1} = x_i$, where $s_{i_1} \in \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$. Hence $\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[s_{i_1}] = x_i$. Consider

$$(\sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F})(\gamma) = \hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F] = R_n^n(H, \hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[s_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[s_n]).$$

By $x_{i_1} \in \text{var}(H) \cup \text{var}(u)$, $x_i = \hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F] \in \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F])$ and there is $(a_{i_1}) \in \text{seq}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i)$ where (a_{i_1}) is a sequence of natural number p_1, \dots, p_g such that $(p_1, \dots, p_g) \in \text{seq}^H(x_{i_1})$. Let m be a natural number and assume that for $G \in \gamma F_{((m),(n))}(X_n)$, $x_i \in \text{var}(G)$ and $(l_1, \dots, l_d) \in \text{seq}^G(x_i)$. Then $x_i \in \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[G])$ and $(a_{l_1}, \dots, a_{l_d}) \in \text{seq}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[G]}(x_i)$ where (a_{l_j}) is a sequence of j_1, \dots, j_{g^*} such that $(j_1, \dots, j_{g^*}) \in \text{seq}^H(x_{l_j})$ or is a sequence of z_1, \dots, z_{r^*} such that $(z_1, \dots, z_{r^*}) \in \text{seq}^u(x_{l_j})$ for all $j, z \in \{1, \dots, y\}$, for all natural numbers $y < m$. If $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \text{seq}^F(x_i)$, then $x_i = \pi_{i_m} \circ \dots \circ \pi_{i_2} \circ \phi_{i_1}(F) = \pi_{i_m} \circ \dots \circ \pi_{i_2}(s_{i_1})$; i.e. $x_i \in \text{var}(s_{i_1})$ and $(i_2, \dots, i_m) \in \text{seq}^{s_{i_1}}(x_i)$. By the assumption, we get $x_i \in \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[s_{i_1}])$ and there is $(a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_m}) \in \text{seq}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[s_{i_1}]}(x_i)$ where (a_{i_k}) is a sequence of p_1, \dots, p_g such that $\text{seq}^H(x_{i_k}) = (p_1, \dots, p_g)$ or (a_{i_l}) is a sequence of q_1, \dots, q_r such that $\text{seq}^u(x_{i_l}) = (q_1, \dots, q_r)$. Since $x_{i_l} \in \text{var}(H) \cup \text{var}(u)$ and $\text{seq}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[s_{i_1}])$. Hence $x_i \in \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F])$ and there is $(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m}) \in \text{seq}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i)$ where (a_{i_j}) is a sequence of p_1, \dots, p_g such that $(p_1, \dots, p_g) \in \text{seq}^H(x_{i_j})$ or (a_{i_j}) is a sequence of q_1, \dots, q_r such that $(q_1, \dots, q_r) \in \text{seq}^u(x_{i_j})$.

Conversely, assume $x_i^{(j,h)} \in \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F])$ and $\text{seq}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) = (a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m})$, where (a_{i_l}) is a sequence of p_1, \dots, p_g such that $(p_1, \dots, p_g) = \text{seq}^H(x_{i_k}^{(l_k)})$ or (a_{i_l}) is a sequence of q_1, \dots, q_r such that $(q_1, \dots, q_r) = \text{seq}^u(x_{i_k}^{(l_k)})$ for some $l_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then $vb^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i^{(j)}) = vb^H(x_{i_1}) \times \dots \times vb^u(x_{i_m})$. Suppose that $x_{i_k} \notin \text{var}(H) \cup \text{var}(u)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq m$. Then $vb^H(x_{i_z}) = 0$ or $vb^u(x_{i_z}) = 0$; i.e., $vb^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i^{(j)}) = 0$, which contradicts the assumption. Consequently, $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m} \in \text{var}(H) \cup \text{var}(u)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. ([2]) Let $t, s \in W_{(m)}(X_m) \setminus (X)$. Then $\left| \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_t[s])_{X_m}^{d(1)} \right| \leq \left| \text{var}(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)} \right|$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $F, H \in \gamma F_{((m),(n))}(X_n)$. Then $\left| \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[H])_{X_n}^{d(1)} \right| \leq \left| \text{var}(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)} \right|$.

Proof. Let $F, H \in \gamma F_{((m),(n))}(X_n)$, where $F = \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n)$, $H = \gamma(h_1, \dots, h_n)$. If $\text{var}(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \emptyset$, then $\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[H])_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \emptyset$. So $|\text{var}(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)}| = 0 = |\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[H])_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. If $\text{var}(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)} \neq \emptyset$, then there are $s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_m} \in \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ such that $s_{i_1} = x_{j_1}, \dots, s_{i_m} = x_{j_m}$ where $\text{var}(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)} = \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}\}$. Then $|\text{var}(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)}| = m$. Consider $(\sigma_{t,F} \circ_r \sigma_{u,H})(\gamma) = \hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[H] = \gamma(w_1, \dots, w_n)$, where $w_i = R_n^n(s_i, \hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[h_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[h_n])$ for all $i = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[H])_{X_n}^{d(1)} \subset \{w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_m}\}$. So $|\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[H])_{X_n}^{d(1)}| \leq m$. Therefore, $|\text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[H])_{X_n}^{d(1)}| \leq |\text{var}(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)}|$. \square

Theorem 3.5. ([2]) Let $t, s \in W_{(m)}(X_m) \setminus X$ and $x_i \in \text{var}(t)$. Let $x_i^{(j)}$ be a variable x_i occurring in the j^{th} component of t (from the left) such that $\text{seq}^t(x_i^{(j)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$. Let $x_i^{(j)} \in \text{var}(\sigma_{s,H}[t])$ and $x_i^{(j,h)}$ be a variable $x_i^{(j)}$ occurring in the h^{th} component of $\hat{\sigma}_s[t]$ (from the left). If there is $i_k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ such that $x_{i_k} \notin \text{var}(s)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$, then $\text{depth}^{\hat{\sigma}_s[t]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_i^{(j)})$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $F, H \in \gamma F_{((m),(n))}(X_n)$ and $x_i \in \text{var}(F)$. Let $x_i^{(j)}$ be a variable x_i occurring in the j^{th} component of F (from the left) such that $\text{seq}^F(x_i^{(j)}) = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$. Let $x_i^{(j)} \in \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{s,H}[F])$ and $x_i^{(j,h)}$ be a variable $x_i^{(j)}$ occurring in the h^{th} component of $\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]$ (from the left). If there is $i_k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ such that $x_{i_k} \notin \text{var}(H)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$ or $x_{i_k} \notin \text{var}(u)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$, then $\text{depth}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) \geq \text{depth}^F(x_i^{(j)})$.

Proof. We have $\text{depth}^F(x_i^{(j)}) = m$. Also $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}, \dots, x_{i_m} \in \text{var}(H) \cup \text{var}(u)$ and

$$\text{depth}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) = \text{depth}^H(x_{i_1}^{(q_1)}) + \dots + \text{depth}^u(x_{i_m}^{(q_m)}),$$

where $x_{i_p}^{(q_p)}$ is a variable x_{i_p} occurring in the q_p^{th} component of H (from the left) or is a variable x_{i_p} occurring in the q_p^{th} component of u (from the left). Since $x_{i_k} \notin \text{var}(H)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$ or $x_{i_k} \notin \text{var}(u)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$, we have $\text{depth}^H(x_{i_k}^{(q_k)}) \geq 1$ or $\text{depth}^u(x_{i_k}^{(q_k)}) \geq 1$. Hence $\text{depth}^{\hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[F]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) \geq m$. \square

Corollary 3.7. ([2]) Let $t \in W_{(m)}(X_m) \setminus X$ and $x_i \in \text{var}(t) \setminus \text{var}(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$. For each $x_i^{(j)} \in \text{var}(t_l)$ for some $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $x_i \notin \text{var}(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$ where $x_i^{(j)}$ is a variable x_i occurring in the j^{th} component of t (from the left), if $x_i^{(j)} \in \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_t[t])$ and denote $x_i^{(j,h)}$ be a variable $x_i^{(j)}$ occurring in the h^{th} component of $\hat{\sigma}_t[t]$ (from the left), then $\text{depth}^{\hat{\sigma}_t[t]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_i^{(j)})$.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $F \in \gamma F_{((m),(n))}(X_n)$ and $x_i \in \text{var}(F) \setminus \text{var}(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$. For each $x_i^{(j)} \in \text{var}(s_l)$ for some $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x_i \notin \text{var}(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$ where $x_i^{(j)}$ be a variable x_i occurring in the j^{th} component of F (from the left), if $x_i^{(j)} \in \text{var}(\hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[F])$ and denote $x_i^{(j,h)}$ be a variable $x_i^{(j)}$ occurring in the h^{th} component of $\hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[F]$ (from the left), then $\text{depth}^{\hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[F]}(x_i^{(j,h)}) \geq \text{depth}^F(x_i^{(j)})$.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let $t = x_i$ and $F = \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \gamma F_{((m),(n))}(X_n)$ with $\text{var}(F) = \{x_{b_1}, \dots, x_{b_l}\}$. Then $\sigma_{t,F}$ is intra-regular if and only if $\sigma_{t,F} \in CR(R_x)$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma_{t,F}$ be an intra-regular. There exist $\sigma_{u,H}, \sigma_{v,G} \in \text{Relhyp}((m)(n))$ such that $\sigma_{t,F} = \sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G}$ where $u, v \in W_{(m)}(X_m)$ and $H = \gamma(h_1, \dots, h_n), G = \gamma(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \text{Relhyp}((m)(n))$. The proof is by contradiction. Assuming that $\sigma_{t,F} \notin CR(R_x)$, there exists $x_{b_j} \in \text{var}(F)$ such that $i - \text{most}(s_{b_k}) \neq x_{\phi(b_j)}$ for all $k = \{1, \dots, l\}$, where ϕ is bijective on $\{b_1, \dots, b_l\}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{t,F} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F})(\gamma) &= \hat{\sigma}_{x_i,F}[\sigma_{x_i,F}(\gamma)] \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_{x_i,F}[F] \\ &= R_n^n(F, i - \text{most}(s_1), \dots, i - \text{most}(s_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since we know that every variable $x_{b_k} \in \text{var}(F)$ must be replaced by $i - \text{most}(s_{b_k})$ but $x_{\phi(b_j)} \notin \{i - \text{most}(s_{b_k}) : k = 1, \dots, l\}$, $x_{b_j} \notin \text{var}((\sigma_{t,F} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F})(\gamma))$. This implies that $|\text{var}((\sigma_{t,F} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F})(\gamma))| < |\text{var}(F)|$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})(\gamma) &= \hat{\sigma}_{t,F}^2[\sigma_{v,G}(\gamma)] \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_{x_i,F}^2[G] \\ &= R_n^n(\sigma_{x_i,F}^2, i - \text{most}(g_1), \dots, i - \text{most}(g_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since every variable $x_{b_k} \in \sigma_{t,F}^2(\gamma)$ is replaced by $i - \text{most}(g_{b_k})$, $|\text{var}((\sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})(\gamma))| = |\{i - \text{most}(g_{b_k}) : x_{b_k} \in \text{var}(\sigma_{t,F}^2(\gamma))\}| \leq |\text{var}(\sigma_{t,F}^2(\gamma))| < |\text{var}(F)|$. Since $F = \sigma_{t,F}(\gamma) = (\sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})(\gamma)$ and $\text{var}(F) = \text{var}((\sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})(\gamma)) \subseteq \text{var}((\sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})(\gamma))$, $|\text{var}(F)| \leq |\text{var}((\sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})(\gamma))| < |\text{var}(F)|$, this is contradiction. Conversely, let $\sigma_{t,F} \in CR(R_x)$. Then $\sigma_{t,F}$ is completely regular. It follows that $\sigma_{t,F}$ is intra-regular. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in W_{(m)}(X_m)$ with $\text{var}(t) = \{x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_k}\}$ and $F = \gamma(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \gamma F_{((m),(n))}(X_n)$ with $\text{var}(F) = \{x_{b_1}, \dots, x_{b_l}\}$. Then $\sigma_{t,F}$ is intra-regular if and only if $\sigma_{t,F} \in CR(R_t)$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma_{t,F}$ be an intra-regular. There exist $\sigma_{u,H}, \sigma_{v,G} \in Relhyp((m)(n))$ such that

$$\sigma_{t,F} = \sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $u = f(u_1, \dots, u_m), v = f(v_1, \dots, v_m) \in W_{(m)}(X_m)$ and $H = \gamma(h_1, \dots, h_n), G = \gamma(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \gamma F_{((m)(n))}(X_n)$. Consider

$$(\sigma_{t,F} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F})(f) = f(w_1, \dots, w_m) \text{ where } w_i = S_m^m(t_i, \hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[t_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[t_m]),$$

$$(\sigma_{w,F} \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})(f) = f(\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_m) \text{ where } \tilde{w}_i = S_m^m(w_i, \hat{\sigma}_{w,F}[v_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}_{w,F}[v_m]),$$

$$(\sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{\tilde{w},F})(f) = f(z_1, \dots, z_m) \text{ where } z_i = S_m^m(u_i, \hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[\tilde{w}_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[\tilde{w}_m])$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and

$$(\sigma_{t,F} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F})(\gamma) = f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \text{ where } q_j = R_n^n(s_j, \hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[s_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[s_n]),$$

$$(\sigma_{t,Q} \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})(\gamma) = \gamma(\tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_n) \text{ where } \tilde{q}_j = R_n^n(q_j, \hat{\sigma}_{t,Q}[g_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}_{t,Q}[g_n]),$$

$$(\sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,\tilde{Q}})(\gamma) = \gamma(z'_1, \dots, z'_n) \text{ where } z'_j = R_n^n(h_j, \hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[\tilde{q}_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}_{u,H}[\tilde{q}_n])$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Assuming that $\sigma_{t,F} \notin CR(R_t)$, we consider four cases:

- (1) $t_{a'_1} = x_{a_1}, \dots, t_{a'_k} = x_{a_k}$ such that $x_{a'_i} \notin var(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$,
- (2) $var(t) = \{x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_k}\}$ such that $var(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)} \subset var(t)$,
- (3) $s_{b'_1} = x_{b_1}, \dots, s_{b'_l} = x_{b_l}$ such that $x_{b'_j} \notin var(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)}$,
- (4) $var(F) = \{x_{b_1}, \dots, x_{b_l}\}$ such that $var(F)_{X_n}^{d(1)} \subset var(F)$,

Case 1: We will show that $x_{a'_i} \notin var(\hat{\sigma}_{t,H}[t])_{X_m}^{d(1)}$. Consider

$$(\sigma_{t,F} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F})(f) = f(w_1, \dots, w_m) \text{ where } w_i = S_m^m(t_i, \hat{\sigma}_{t,H}[t_1], \dots, \hat{\sigma}_{t,H}[t_m]).$$

If $x_{a'_i} \in var(\hat{\sigma}_{t,H}[t])_{X_m}^{d(1)}$, then $w_k = x_{a'_i}$. So there exists $l \in \{a'_1, \dots, a'_k\}$ such that $t_l = x_{a'_i}$ and $x_{a'_i} \in var(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$ which contradicts to $x_{a'_i} \notin var(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$. Hence $var(\hat{\sigma}_{t,H}[t])_{X_m}^{d(1)} \subset var(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$ and so $|var(\hat{\sigma}_{t,H}[t])_{X_m}^{d(1)}| < |var(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)}|$. Next, we

will show that $\sigma_{t,F} \neq \sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G}$. So $\text{var}(\sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})_{X_m}^{d(1)} \subseteq \text{var}(\sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})_{X_m}^{d(1)}$ and we have that $|\text{var}(\sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})_{X_m}^{d(1)}| \leq |\text{var}(\sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})_{X_m}^{d(1)}|$. By Lemma, we get $|\text{var}(\sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})_{X_m}^{d(1)}| \leq |\text{var}(\sigma_{t,F}^2)_{X_m}^{d(1)}|$. So $|\text{var}(\sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G})_{X_m}^{d(1)}| \leq |\text{var}(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)}|$ which contradicts (1). Hence $\sigma_{t,F} \neq \sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G}$.

Case 2: There exists at least one element of $\text{var}(t)$ which is not an element of the set $\text{var}(t)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$, say $x_{a_i}^{(l)}$ where $x_{a_i}^{(l)}$ is a variable x_{a_i} occurring in the l^{th} component of t (from the left). Let $x_{a_i}^{(l_v)}$ be a variable x_{a_i} occurring in the l_v^{th} component of v (from the left), $x_{a_i}^{(l_{\tilde{w}})}$ be a variable $x_{a_i}^{(l_{\tilde{w}})}$ occurring in the $l_{\tilde{w}}^{\text{th}}$ component of \tilde{w} (from the left) and $x_{a_i}^{(l_v, l_{\tilde{w}}, l_z)}$ be a variable $x_{a_i}^{(l_v, l_{\tilde{w}})}$ occurring in the l_z^{th} component of z (from the left). There exist $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r} \in \text{var}(w)$ such that

$$\text{depth}^{\tilde{w}}(x_{a_i}^{(l_v, l_{\tilde{w}})}) = \text{depth}^w(x_{d_1}^{(b_1)}) + \dots + \text{depth}^w(x_{d_r}^{(b_r)}), \quad (3.2)$$

where $x_{d_k}^{(b_k)}$ is a variable x_{d_k} occurring in the b_k^{th} component of w (from the left). Since $x_{d_1}^{(b_1)} \in \text{var}(w) \setminus \text{var}(w)_{X_m}^{d(1)}$ and $w = \hat{\sigma}_{t,F}[t]$, by Corollary 1, we have $\text{depth}^w(x_{d_1}^{(b_1)}) > \text{depth}^t(x_{a_i}^{(l)})$. By (2), $\text{depth}^{\tilde{w}}(x_{a_i}^{(l_v, l_{\tilde{w}})}) > \text{depth}^t(x_{a_i}^{(l)})$. So $\text{depth}^z(x_{a_i}^{(l_v, l_{\tilde{w}}, l_z)}) \geq \text{depth}^z(x_{a_i}^{(l_v, l_{\tilde{w}})}) > \text{depth}^t(x_{a_i}^{(l)})$ which contradicts (1). Hence $\sigma_{t,F} \neq \sigma_{u,H} \circ_r \sigma_{t,F}^2 \circ_r \sigma_{v,G}$. The proofs of cases 3 and 4 are similar to cases 1 and 2, respectively. Therefore, $\sigma_{t,F} \in CR(R_t)$. Conversely, since the set of all completely regular elements is a subset of the set of all intra-regular elements, $\sigma_{t,F}$ is intra-regular. \square

The following results now follow easily.

Corollary 3.11. *$CR(\text{Relhyp}((m), (n)))$ is the set of all intra-regular elements in $\text{Relhyp}((m), (n))$.*

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\sigma_{t,F} \in \text{Relhyp}((m), (n))$. Then the following statement are equivalent:*

- (i) $\sigma_{t,F}$ is completely regular in $\text{Relhyp}((m), (n))$;
- (i) $\sigma_{t,F}$ is left regular in $\text{Relhyp}((m), (n))$;
- (i) $\sigma_{t,F}$ is right regular in $\text{Relhyp}((m), (n))$;
- (i) $\sigma_{t,F}$ is intra-regular in $\text{Relhyp}((m), (n))$.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand.

References

- [1] A. Boonmee, S. Leeratanavalee, All intra-regular generalize hypersubstitutions fo type (2), *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae Mathematica*, **11**, no. 1, (2019), 29–39.
- [2] A. Boonmee, Maximal Intra-regular Submonoids and Relationship between Some Regular Submonoids of $Hyp_G(n)$, *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **17**, no.1, (2022), 41–50.
- [3] J. Daengsaen, S. Leeratanavalee, All completely-regular, left-right regular elements of relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems, (preprint).
- [4] K. Denecke, D. Lau, R. Pöschel, D. Schweigert, Hyperidentities, hyperequational classes and clone congruences, *Contributions to General Algebra 7*, Verlag Hölder-Pichler-Tempsky, Wien, (1991), 97–118.
- [5] K. Denecke, D. Phusanga, Hyperformulas and solid algebraic systems, *Studia Logica*, **90**, no. 2, (2008), 263–286.
- [6] K. Denecke, S. L. Wismath, *Hyperidentities and Clones*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, The Netherlands, (2000).
- [7] N. Lekkoksung, D. Phusanga, The order of linear relational hypersubstitutions for algebraic systems, *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, (2020), 83–91.
- [8] A. I. Mal'cev, *Algebraic Systems*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [9] D. Phusanga, *Derived Algebraic Systems*, Ph.D. Thesis, Potsdam, (2013).
- [10] D. Phusanga, Some varieties of algebraic systems of type $((m), (n))$, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, **12**, no. 1, (2019), 1950005.
- [11] W. Wongpinit, S. Leeratanavalee, All maximal idempotent submonoids of $Hyp_G(n)$, *Surveys in Mathematics and its Application*, **10**, (2015), 41–48.