

A note on almost α -ideals in semigroups

Nuchanat Tiprachot¹, Nareupanat Lekkoksung²

¹Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Khon Kaen University
Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand

²Division of Mathematics
Faculty of Engineering
Rajamangala University of Technology Isan
Khon Kaen Campus
Khon Kaen 40000, Thailand

email: nuchanatt@kkumail.com, nareupanat.le@gmail.com

(Received July 31, 2021, Accepted August 27, 2021)

Abstract

The notion of α -ideals in semigroups is a generalization of (m, n) -ideals, where m and n are nonnegative integers. This notion was introduced by Miccoli and Pondělíček in 1989. In this paper, we define the notion of almost α -ideals in semigroups. Moreover, we provide some properties of this concept and present a characterization of semigroups having no proper almost α -ideal.

1 Introduction

The notion of (m, n) -ideals in semigroups, defined by Lajos [4], is a generalized concept of bi-ideals. Later on, this idea was extended to the concept of α -ideal [5] in semigroups by Miccoli and Pondělíček. In 1990, Catino [2] studied the concept of α -ideals in semigroups and characterized semigroups

Key words and phrases: Almost ideals, almost α -ideals.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 20M10, 08A72.

Corresponding author: Nareupanat Lekkoksung.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2022, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

in which any α -ideal is complete.

The concept of ideals in semigroups was generalized to the notion of almost ideals [3] in 1980 by Grošek and Satko who described all semigroups having no proper almost ideals. This result was studied in other algebraic systems; ternary semigroups and semihypergroups in 2019 and 2020, respectively (see [7, 8]). In 1981, Bogdanović [1] introduced the notion of almost bi-ideals in semigroups. In 2018, Wattanatropop et al. [9] gave the notion of quasi-almost-ideal in semigroups and studied some of its properties. Simuen et al. [6] defined almost quasi- Γ -ideals in Γ -semigroups and provided some interesting basic properties.

In this paper, we define the notion of almost α -ideals in semigroups. It turns out that almost α -ideals is a generalization of almost ideals. Moreover, we present interesting properties of this concept.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we denote the set of all nonnegative integers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and the set of all natural numbers $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ by \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{N}^+ , respectively. Let $\{0, 1\}^*$ be the free monoid over the set $\{0, 1\}$. We denote the length of $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$ by $|\alpha|$.

A *semigroup* $\langle S; \circ \rangle$ is an algebra of type (2) in which the binary operation \circ satisfies the associative law. For any elements $x, y \in S$, we simply write $x \circ y$ as xy . Moreover, we denote a semigroup $\langle S; \circ \rangle$ by \mathbf{S} .

Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup. For any subsets A and B of S , we define

$$AB := \{ab : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}.$$

We note that $AB := \emptyset$ if A or B is empty. If $A = \{a\}$ or $B = \{b\}$, we write AB as aB or Ab , respectively.

We now recall some important terminologies about α -ideals in semigroups (More information about α -ideals in semigroups can be found in [5]).

Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup, and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$. We define $f_\alpha^S: \mathcal{P}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ by $f_\alpha^S(A) := \emptyset$ if α is the empty word, and if $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n$ is not the empty word, then $f_\alpha^S(A) := A_1 \cdots A_n$, where

$$A_i := \begin{cases} A & \text{if } a_i \neq 0, \\ S & \text{if } a_i = 0, \end{cases}$$

for any $A \subseteq S$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

By the above definitions, we obtain the following useful lemma:

Lemma 2.1 ([5]). *Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup, and A and B be nonempty subsets of S . Then for any $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$, we have $f_\alpha^S(A) \subseteq f_\alpha^S(B)$ whenever $A \subseteq B$.*

Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup. A nonempty subset A of S is said to be a *sub-semigroup* of \mathbf{S} if $AA \subseteq A$. A subsemigroup A of \mathbf{S} is called an α -ideal of \mathbf{S} if $f_\alpha^S(A) \subseteq A$. It is not difficult to see that:

1. any left ideal of \mathbf{S} is a 01-ideal of \mathbf{S} ;
2. any right ideal of \mathbf{S} is a 10-ideal of \mathbf{S} ;
3. any bi-ideal of \mathbf{S} is a 101-ideal of \mathbf{S} ;
4. any interior ideal of \mathbf{S} is a 010-ideal of \mathbf{S} ;
5. any (m, n) -ideal of \mathbf{S} , where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a $1^m 01^n$ -ideal of \mathbf{S} .

To define the concept of almost α -ideals in semigroups, we need to modify the operator f_α^S as follows:

Let $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n \in \{0, 1\}^*$ such that $|\alpha| = n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define the set $0(\alpha) := \{j \in \mathbb{N}^+ : a_j = 0\}$. It is clear that if α is the empty word or $\alpha = 1^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, then $0(\alpha)$ is empty.

Suppose that $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n \in \{0, 1\}^*$ such that $|\alpha| = n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $0(\alpha) = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$. Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup. For any nonempty subsets S_1, \dots, S_m of S , we define $f_\alpha^{(S_1, \dots, S_m)} : \mathcal{P}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ by

$$f_\alpha^{(S_1, \dots, S_m)}(A) := A_1 \cdots A_n,$$

for all $A \subseteq S$, where

$$A_i := \begin{cases} A & \text{if } a_i = 1, \\ S_j & \text{if } a_i = 0 \text{ and } i = i_j, \end{cases}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For any subset A of S , it is clear that $f_\alpha^{(S_1, \dots, S_m)}(A) \subseteq f_\alpha^S(A)$, for all subsets S_1, \dots, S_m of S . We note that the set $0(\alpha)$ may be empty. If for any $1 \leq j \leq m$, $S_j = \{s_j\}$ is a singleton set, then we usually write $f_\alpha^{(S_1, \dots, S_m)}(A)$ as $f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(A)$.

3 Almost α -ideals

In what follows, we assume that $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^* \setminus \{1\}^*$ with $|\alpha| = n \geq 2$ and the cardinality of $0(\alpha)$ is m such that $m < n$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Now, we are ready to define our concept.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup. A nonempty subset A of S is said to be an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} if $f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(A) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for any $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$.

Remark 3.2. Every almost left (resp., right, bi-, interior, (m, n) -) ideal is an almost 01- (resp., 10-, 101-, 010-, $1^m 01^n$ -) ideal, respectively.

Proposition 3.3. Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup. Then any α -ideal of \mathbf{S} is an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} .

Proof. Suppose that A is an α -ideal of \mathbf{S} . Let $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$. Since $f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(A) \subseteq f_\alpha^S(A) \subseteq A$, we have $f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(A) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. This shows that A is an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} . \square

The converse of Proposition 3.3 does not hold in general as shown in the following example:

Example 3.4. Consider the semigroup \mathbf{S} defined by the following Cayley table:

o	a	b	c
a	a	b	c
b	b	c	a
c	c	a	b

Suppose that $A = \{b, c\}$. Then A is an almost 0101-ideal of \mathbf{S} since $s_1 A s_2 A \cap A = S \cap A \neq \emptyset$, for any $s_1, s_2 \in S$. On the other hand, it is not difficult to calculate that A is not a 0101-ideal of \mathbf{S} since $SASA = S \not\subseteq A$.

The relation between an almost α -ideal and a subset containing such almost α -ideal is presented as follows:

Theorem 3.5. Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup, and A be an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} . Then for any subset B of S containing A is also an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} .

Proof. For any $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\emptyset \neq f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(A) \cap A \subseteq f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(B) \cap B$$

This means that B is an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} . \square

As a consequence, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 3.6. *Any union of almost α -ideals in a semigroup \mathbf{S} is also an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} .*

Proof. Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be a collection of almost α -ideals of \mathbf{S} . Since $A_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ and A_i is an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} for all $i \in I$, by Theorem 3.5, $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ is an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} . \square

By Example 3.4, we can calculate that $A = \{a, b\}$ and $B = \{b, c\}$ are almost 0101-ideal of \mathbf{S} . But $\{b\} = A \cap B$ is not an almost 0101-ideal of \mathbf{S} since $a\{b\}b\{b\} \cap \{b\} = \{a\} \cap \{b\} = \emptyset$. This shows that the intersection of two almost α -ideals may not be an almost α -ideal if it is not empty.

The following result provides a characterization of semigroups having no proper almost α -ideal.

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathbf{S} be a semigroup such that $|S| > 1$ and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^* \setminus \{1\}^*$, where $|\alpha| = n \geq 2$ and $|0(\alpha)| = m$ such that $m < n$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then \mathbf{S} has no proper almost α -ideal if and only if for any proper subset I of S there exists a subset $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ of S (depending on the set I) such that $f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(S \setminus I) = I$.*

Proof. Assume that \mathbf{S} has no proper almost α -ideal. Let I be a proper subset of S . Then $S \setminus I$ is not an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} . This implies that there exist $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$ with $f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(S \setminus I) \cap (S \setminus I) = \emptyset$. This means that $f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(S \setminus I) = I$.

Conversely, suppose that \mathbf{S} contains a proper almost α -ideal J . Let $K \subseteq S \setminus J$ be a nonempty set. By our assumption, $f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(S \setminus K) = K$, for some $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$. That is, $f_\alpha^{(s_1, \dots, s_m)}(S \setminus K) \cap (S \setminus K) = \emptyset$. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.5, we have $S \setminus K$ is an almost α -ideal of \mathbf{S} since $S \setminus K$ contains an almost α -ideal J of \mathbf{S} . This implies that $f_\alpha^{(t_1, \dots, t_m)}(S \setminus K) \cap (S \setminus K) \neq \emptyset$ for any $t_1, \dots, t_m \in S$. This contradicts the existence of $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$. Therefore, \mathbf{S} has no proper almost α -ideal. \square

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we introduced the notion of almost α -ideals as a generalization of left almost ideals, right almost ideals, almost bi-ideals, and almost interior ideals in semigroups. Moreover, We discussed some properties of almost α -ideals. Furthermore, we characterized semigroups having no proper almost α -ideal. For future work, we plan to define the notion of almost fuzzy α -ideals in semigroups and investigate some of their properties.

References

- [1] S. Bogdanović, Semigroups in which some bi-ideal is a group, *Review of Research Faculty of Science-University of Novi Sad*, **11**, (1981), 261–266.
- [2] F. Catino, On complete α -ideals in semigroups, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **40**, no. 1, (1990), 155–158.
- [3] O. Grošek, L. Satko, A new notion in the theory of semigroup, *Semigroup Forum*, **20**, (1980), 233–240.
- [4] S. Lajos, Generalized ideals in semigroups, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **22**, (1961), 217–222.
- [5] M. M. Miccoli, B. Pondělíček, On α -ideals and generalized α -ideals in semigroups, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **39**, no. 3, (1989), 522–527.
- [6] A. Simuen, K. Wattanatripop, R. Chinram, Characterizing Almost Quasi- Γ -ideals and Fuzzy Almost Quasi- Γ -ideals of Γ -semigroups, *Comm. Math. App.*, **11**, no. 2, (2020), 233–240.
- [7] S. Suebsung, T. Kaewnoi, R. Chinram, A note on almost hyperideals in semihypergroups, *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, **15**, no. 1, (2020), 127–133.
- [8] S. Suebsung, K. Wattanatripop, R. Chinram, A-ideals and fuzzy A-ideals of ternary semigroups, *Songklanakarin J. Sci. Tech.*, **41**, no. 2, (2019), 299–304.
- [9] K. Wattanatripop, R. Chinram, T. Changphas, Quasi-A-ideals and fuzzy A-ideals in semigroups, *J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptography*, **21**, no. 5, (2018), 1131–1138.