

Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem at infinity

Hasan Almeffeh¹, Rami AlAhmad^{1,2}

¹Department of Mathematics
Yarmouk University
Irbid, Jordan

²Math and Natural Sciences Department
Faculty of Engineering
Higher Colleges of Technology
Ras Alkhaimah, UAE

email: almeffeh@yu.edu.jo, rami_thenat@yu.edu.jo

(Received July 24, 2021, Accepted August 30, 2021)

Abstract

We consider a Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem yielding the behavior at infinity of solutions of Dirichlet problem for general quasilinear second order elliptic partial differential equation in a domain which has a structure of infinity many connected components.

1 Introduction

In 1908, Edvard Phragmen and Emst Lindelöf introduced their famous Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem. Lancaster [6] used the barriers found by Serrin [7] to obtain Phragmen-Lindelöf theorems in Slabs where their conclusions hold for solutions in certain domains. We obtain a new Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem filling the "gap" in the works of Jin and Lancaster [3, 4] and in the existing Literature on the asymptotic behavior at infinity of solutions of the Elliptic Dirichet problem.

Key words and phrases: Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 35B53.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2022, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. For each $M > 0$, let S_M denote the set

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n / |x_n| < M\} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n / x_n \in I_M\},$$

where $I_M = (-M, M)$.

Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n contained in S_M . Suppose (a_{ij}) is an $n \times n$ (symmetric) positive semidefinite matrix of functions in $C^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ with trace one (see for example [3] and [7]). Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_n(X, z, p) = 1 \text{ for } (X, z, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.1)$$

Let b be a function in $C^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $b(X, z, p)$ is nonincreasing in z and let Q be the nonhyperbolic quasilinear second-order operator defined by

$$Qu(X) = \sum a_{ij}(X, u(X), Du(X)) Diju(X) + b(X, u(X), Du(X)). \quad (1.2)$$

Consider the Dirichlet problem of finding a function $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ which satisfies

$$Qf = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$f = \phi \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (1.4)$$

We wish to establish a special "Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem at infinity", for solutions of (1.3) and (1.4) when the domain Ω has a specific form. A typical type of such theorem is the conclusion that if Ω is an unbounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 , $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ is a bounded harmonic function, Ω contains the region between two rays, and $f(x)$ converges to a as $|x|$ tends to infinity with x between the two rays.

Similar theorems in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, for solutions of many elliptic and degenerate elliptic equations have appeared in the Literature.

We will consider our domain Ω to be unbounded and let $T(\Omega)$ denote the set of directions $\omega \in S^{n-2}$ at infinity of the set $\pi(\Omega) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} / (\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega \text{ for some } y \in I_M\}$; that is,

$$T(\Omega) = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{r \geq N} \{\omega \in S^{n-2} / r\omega \in \pi(\Omega)\}}. \quad (1.5)$$

Notice that $\omega \in T(\Omega)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{(x_j, y_j)\}$ in Ω with $|x_j| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{x_j}{|x_j|} \rightarrow \omega$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

In various papers, Jin and Lancaster have obtained "Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem at infinity" which show that solution $f(x, y)$ of (1.3) and (1.4) converges at infinity in the direction $\omega \in T(\Omega)$ with the solution $K_\omega(y)$ of an ordinary differential equation. For example, in [5, Theorem 2.2], the following theorem was proven:

Let $M > 0$, $\Omega \subset S_n$, and $\omega \in T(\Omega)$. Suppose that

1. $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4);
2. For some open subset O of S^{n-2} with $\omega \in O$, there exists a function $E \in C^0(O \times I_M \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $E(\frac{x}{|x|}, y, z, q)$ is nonincreasing in z and

$$\frac{b(x, y, z, p, q)}{a_{nn}(x, y, z, p, q)} \rightarrow E(\sigma, y, z, q). \tag{1.6}$$

as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ with $\frac{x_j}{|x_j|} \rightarrow \sigma$ and $p \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $|y| \rightarrow M, \sigma \in O$, and $z, q \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. There exists a function k mapping $\overline{I_M} \times T$ into \mathbb{R} such that

$$\phi(x, y) \rightarrow k(y, \omega) \tag{1.7}$$

uniformly as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{x_j}{|x_j|} \rightarrow \omega$ for $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega$ and, for each $\alpha > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta_{\alpha, \omega} > 0$ and functions k_1, k_2 in $C^1(\overline{I_M}) \cap C^2(I_M)$ such that for each $y \in I_M$

$$|k_1(y) - k(y, \omega)| \leq \alpha, \tag{1.8}$$

$$|k_2(y) - k(y, \omega)| \leq \alpha, \tag{1.9}$$

$$k_1''(y) + E(\omega, y, k_1(y), k_1'(y)) \geq \delta, \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$k_2''(y) + E(\omega, y, k_2(y), k_2'(y)) \leq -\delta \tag{1.11}$$

4. There exists $L \geq 0$ and a positive continuous function σ_1 on $[1, \infty)$ such that

$$a_{nn}(\mathbf{x}, y, z, \mathbf{p}, q) \geq \sigma_1(|p|^2 + q^2), \tag{1.12}$$

where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $y, z, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x| \geq L$ and $|y| < M$.

5. Q satisfies (1.1) and then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_j, y_j) - k(y_j, \omega)| = 0 \tag{1.13}$$

uniformly for sequences $\{(x_j, y_j)\}$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ with $|x_j| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{x_j}{|x_j|} \rightarrow \omega$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

In [5, Example 5.4], Jin and Lancaster considered an example in which the hypotheses of this theorem are not satisfied in certain directions in $T(\Omega)$ and behavior at infinity of the solution f in such a direction is unclear.

In this example, $\Omega = U \times I_M$ where U is a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 which contains the first quadrant of the plane and whose boundary oscillates sinusoidally in the second and fourth quadrants. The conclusion in [5, Theorem 2.2] holds for directions in the first quadrant.

In our work, the first step toward understanding the behavior at infinity of solutions of (1.3) and (1.4) in directions in which Ω contains infinitely many "voids" are taken. We find here that a "Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorem at infinity" continues to hold but that the solution $f(x, y)$ of (1.3) and (1.4) converges at infinity in the direction $\omega \in T(\Omega)$ to the solution $k_\omega(t, y)$ of a partial differential equation in two variables rather than to the solution k_ω of an ordinary differential equation.

2 Main Result

We may represent an element $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of \mathbb{R}^n in either of the following two ways:

- (i) $X = (\vec{x}, y)$, where $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $y = x_n$.
- (ii) $X = (x_1, x', y)$, where $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $y = x_n$.

For $\alpha_0 > 0$ and $\omega \in S^{n-2}$, let

$$S_\omega^{\alpha_0} = \left\{ (\vec{x}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} / \left| \frac{x_j}{|x_j|} - \omega \right| < \alpha_0 \right\}$$

For the purpose of this investigation, the following choices for Ω and ω will be made. Let $\omega = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^{n-2}$ and Λ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R} \times I_M$.

For some α_0 and $\mathbb{R}_0 > 0$, suppose that the set $\Omega_i^{(\alpha_0)} = \{(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega \cap S_\omega^{\alpha_0} / |\vec{x}| > \mathbb{R}_0\}$ is the disjoint union of sets $\Omega_i^{(\alpha_0)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ such that there is an increasing sequence (d_i) which satisfies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_i = \infty$ and $\Omega_i^{(\alpha_0)} = \{(x_i, x', y) \in S_\omega^{\alpha_0} / (x_i - d_i, y) \in \Lambda\}$

Assumption 1. There exist $E, a_{11}^*, a_{1n}^* \in C^0(I_M \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $E(y, z, p_1, q)$ is nonincreasing in $z, a_{11}^*(y, z, p_1, q)$ and (y, z, p_1, q) are independent of z , and

$$\frac{b(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} \rightarrow E(y, z, p_1, q), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{a_{11}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} \rightarrow a_{11}^*(y, z, p_1, q), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\frac{a_{1n}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} \rightarrow a_{1n}^*(y, z, p_1, q). \tag{2.3}$$

as $|\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ with $\frac{x_j}{|x_j|} \rightarrow \omega$ and $|p'| = |(p_2, \dots, p_{n-2})| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $|y| < M$ and $z, p_1, q \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $Q^{(\infty)}$ be the operator on $C^2(\mathbb{R} \times I_M)$ defined by $Q^{(\infty)}v(t, y) = a_{11}^*(t, y, v, Dv)\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} + 2a_{1n}^*(t, y, v, Dv)\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + E(t, y, v, Dv)$.

Assumption 2. There exist functions $H \in C^0(\overline{I_M})$ and $k \in C^0(\overline{\Lambda}) \cap C^2(\Lambda)$ such that

$$\phi(\vec{x}, y) \rightarrow H(y) \text{ uniformly as } |\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \frac{x_j}{|x_j|} \rightarrow \omega \text{ for } (\vec{x}, y) \in \partial\Omega, \tag{2.4}$$

$$Q^{(\infty)}k = 0 \text{ in } \Lambda \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$k(t, y) = H(y) \text{ for } (t, y) \in \partial\Lambda. \tag{2.6}$$

Assumption 3. For each $\alpha > 0$, there exist $B_0 = B_0(\alpha) > 0$, $\delta = \delta_\alpha > 0$ and functions k_1, k_2 in $C^1(\overline{\Lambda}) \cap C^2(\Lambda)$ such that for each $y \in I_M$

$$|k_1(t, y) - k(t, y)| \leq \alpha, (t, y) \in \Lambda, \tag{2.7}$$

$$|k_2(t, y) - k(t, y)| \leq \alpha, (t, y) \in \Lambda. \tag{2.8}$$

$$Q^{(\infty)}k_1(t, y) \geq \delta, (t, y) \in \Lambda, \tag{2.9}$$

$$Q^{(\infty)}k_2(t, y) \leq -\delta, (t, y) \in \Lambda, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$|D^2k_j(t, y)| \leq B_0, (t, y) \in \Lambda, j = 1, 2. \tag{2.11}$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset S_M$ and $\omega = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be as above suppose

1. Q satisfies (1) ;
2. $f \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4);
3. Assumptions 1-3 are satisfied;
4. there exist $L \geq 0$ and a positive continuous function σ_1 on $[1, \infty)$ such that

$$a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q) \geq \sigma_1(|\vec{p}|^2 + q^2) \tag{2.12}$$

whenever $\vec{x}, \vec{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $y, z, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\vec{x}| \geq L$ and $|y| < M$.

Let $(x, y) \in \bar{\Lambda}$. suppose $(\vec{x}_{(l)})$ is a sequence in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and $(y_{(l)})$ is a sequence in \bar{I}_M such that

- (i) $(\vec{x}_{(l)}, y_{(l)}) = (\vec{x}_{(l),1}, \vec{x}_{(l),2}, \dots, \vec{x}_{(l),n-1}, y_{(l)}) \in \overline{\Omega_i^{(\alpha_0)}}$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) $(x_{(l),1} - d_l, y_{(l)}) \rightarrow (t, y)$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$, Then

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} f(\vec{x}_{(l)}, y_{(l)}) = k(t, y) \tag{2.13}$$

3 Barrier construction

The barriers needed here are the same as those in [5] (with $\sigma = 1$). Let

$$X(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} - \ln(\alpha) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} & \text{if } 1 < \alpha < \infty \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta}} & \text{if } 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\ e^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \beta < \infty \end{cases}$$

Define

$$A(H) = 2M \left(\int_1^{e^{x(H)}} \eta(\ln t \, dt) \right)^{-1}, \quad H \geq 1 \tag{3.1}$$

Then

$$h_\alpha(r) = a\sqrt{e} \left(\frac{1}{2H^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{9}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\lambda(\sqrt{e}) - \lambda\left(\frac{r}{a}\right) \right), \quad a < r < a\sqrt{e}$$

$$a\sqrt{e} \left(\frac{1}{2H^2} - \ln\left(\frac{r}{a}\right) \right), \quad \text{if } a\sqrt{e} \leq r < ae^{x(H)}$$

where λ satisfies $\lambda'(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln t}}$, $\omega = \omega_a = \omega_{a,x_0,\Gamma,H}$ and $l = l_a = l_{a,x_0,\Gamma,H}$ are given by

$$\omega_{a,x_0,\Gamma,H}(x, y) = \gamma + ae^{x(H)} - \sqrt{(h_a^{-1}(y + M))^2 - |x - x_0|^2} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$l_{a,x_0,\Gamma,H}(x, y) = \gamma - ae^{x(H)} + \sqrt{(h_a^{-1}(y + M))^2 - |x - x_0|^2}. \tag{3.3}$$

The domain of $\omega_{a,x_0,\Gamma,H}$ and $l_{a,x_0,\Gamma,H}$ is

$$\Omega_{\alpha,x_0,H} = \{(x, y) / |y| < M, |x - x_0| < h_0^{-1}(y + M)\}. \tag{3.4}$$

This domain is a relatively compact set in \mathbb{R}^n which has a central axis of symmetry that this is $\{(x_0, y) / |y| < tM\}$, as the parameter a becomes larger, the domain $\Omega_{a,x_0,\gamma,H}$ becomes larger but the variation of ω_a along the axis of symmetry decreases and tends to zero as a tends to infinity. Then upper barriers $\omega = \omega_{a,x_0,\gamma,H}$ and lower barrier $l = l_{a,x_0,\gamma,H}$ have the properties that, for any constant b ,

$$Q_1(\omega + b) \leq 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{a,x_0,H}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\omega \geq \vartheta \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}_{a,x_0,H}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\omega(x_0, y) \leq \vartheta + \frac{2M}{H} \text{ for } |y| \leq M, \quad (3.7)$$

$$Q_1(l + b) \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{a,x_0,H}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$l \leq \vartheta \text{ on } \bar{\Omega}_{a,x_0,H}, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$l(x_0, y) \geq \vartheta - \frac{2M}{H} \text{ for } |y| \leq M. \quad (3.10)$$

4 Proof of the Main Result

Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\alpha = \epsilon$. Let $\delta = \delta_0, k_1$ and k_2 be as in Assumption 3. From Assumption 2 and the continuity of $H(y)$, one sees that there exist $\delta_1 > 0$ and R_1 such that if $(\vec{x}, y) \in \partial\Omega$, $|\vec{x}| \geq R_1$, $|y| \leq M$ and $\left| \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} - \omega \right| < \delta_1$, one has

$$|\phi(\vec{x}, y) - H(y)| < \epsilon. \quad (4.1)$$

Assumption 1 implies there exist $\delta_2 > 0$ and R_2 such that

$$\frac{b(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} - E(y, z, p_1, q) \leq \frac{\delta}{16}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\frac{a_{11}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} - a_{11}^*(y, z, p_1, q) \leq \frac{\delta}{16B_0}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\frac{a_{1n}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)}{a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q)} - a_{1n}^*(y, z, p_1, q) \leq \frac{\delta}{16B_0}. \quad (4.4)$$

If $|\vec{x}| \geq R_1$, $|p'| = |(p_2, \dots, p_{n-1})| \leq \delta_2$ and $\left| \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} - \omega \right| < 2\delta_2$, consider the compact set

$$K = \{(\vec{p}, q) \in \mathbb{R}^n / |\vec{p}|^2 + q^2 \leq 2(1 + \|Dk\|_\infty^2)\}$$

From (2.12), one sees that there exists $\mu(K)>0$ such that $a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, z, \vec{p}, q) \geq \mu(K)$ if $(\vec{p}, q) \in K, \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. set $T_2(f) = \|f - k_2\|_\infty$. since $E(y, z, p_1, q)$ is uniformly continuous for (y, z, p_1, q) in a fixed compact set, there exist $\delta_3>0$ such that

$$|E(y, z, p_1 + s, q + t) - E(y, z, p_1, q)| \leq \frac{\delta}{16B_0}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$|a_{11}^*(y, z, p_1 + s, q + t) - a_{11}^*(y, z, p_1, q)| \leq \frac{\delta}{16B_0}, \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$|a_{1n}^*(y, z, p_1 + s, q + t) - a_{1n}^*(y, z, p_1, q)| \leq \frac{\delta}{16B_0}. \tag{4.7}$$

for $|s|, |t| < \delta_3, |y| < M, |z| < T_2(f)$, and $|q|^2 \leq 2(1 + \|Dk\|_\infty^2)$.

Let us set

$$\delta_0 = \min\{1, \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$$

and choose $H \geq 2$ such that $\frac{2M}{H} < \epsilon, \varkappa(H) \leq \ln(2)$,

$$A(H) \geq 16T_2(f), A(H) \geq \frac{5}{\mu(K)\delta} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\varkappa(H)}}}{A(H)} + \frac{2}{H} < \delta_0, \tag{4.9}$$

where $A(H)$ is given in (3.1). There exists $R_3>0$ such that if $|\vec{x}_0| \geq R_3, |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0| \leq A(H)e^{\varkappa(H)}$, and $|\frac{\vec{x}_0}{|\vec{x}_0|} - \omega| < \delta_0$ then, $|\frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}_0|} - \omega| < 2\delta_0(\leq \alpha_0)$.

Set $R_0 = \max\{R_1, R_2, R_3\} + A(H)e^{\varkappa(H)}$, define

$$W = \{\vec{x} / |\vec{x}| > R_0, \left| \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}_0|} - \omega \right| < \delta_0\}.$$

We claim that if $(\vec{x}_0, y) \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\vec{x}_0 = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{(0)}) \in W$, then $(\vec{x}_0^l, y) \in \bar{\Omega}_l^{(\alpha_0)}$ for some $l = l(\vec{x}_0) \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$f(\vec{x}_0, y) < tk(x_1^{(0)} - d_l, y) + 2\epsilon. \tag{4.10}$$

Throughout the remainder of this proof, let \vec{x}_0 represent a point in W such that $(\vec{x}_0, y) \in \bar{\Omega}$ for some $y \in I_M$ and let $l(\vec{x}_0)$ represent the natural number referenced in the previous sentence.

Let $\omega(\vec{x}, y) = \omega_{a, \vec{x}_0, \gamma, H}(\vec{x}, y)$ be the upper barrier with $\gamma = 2\epsilon$ and $a = A(H)$. set $l = l(\vec{x}_0)$. Notice then that $\omega \geq \gamma = 2\epsilon$ on $\Omega_{a, \vec{x}_0, H}$.

Now, set

$$\Omega_{1,l} = \{(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega_{a, \vec{x}_0, H} \cap \Omega_l^{(\alpha_0)} / |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0| < \sqrt{2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\alpha(H)} - T_2(f)^2}\} \tag{4.11}$$

and define $u_2 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}_{1,l}) \cap C^2(\Omega_{1,l})$ by

$$u_2(\vec{x}, y) = \omega(\vec{x}, y) + k_2(x_1 - d_l, y).$$

Notice that if $(\vec{x}_0, y) \in \Omega_{1,l}$, then $|\vec{x}| \geq \max\{R_1, R_2, R_3\}, h'_a(h_a^{-1}(y+M)) \geq H \geq 2, A(H) < h_a^{-1}(y+M) < A(H)e^{\alpha(H)}$, and $\left| \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} - \omega \right| < 2\delta_0$.

Let $\zeta \geq 0$. We claim that

$$Q(u_2 + \zeta) < 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{1,l}. \tag{4.12}$$

From [4, section 7], it follows that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\vec{x}, y) = \frac{\delta_{ij} S^2 + (x_i - x_i^{(0)})(x_j - x_j^{(0)})}{S^3} \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial x_i \partial y}(\vec{x}, y) = \frac{-(x_i - x_i^{(0)})Z}{S^3 h'_a(Z)} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial y^2}(\vec{x}, y) = \frac{S^2(Z h''_a(Z) - h'_a(Z) + Z^2 h'_a(Z))}{S^3 (h'_a(Z))^3}$$

where $\vec{x}_0 = (x_1^{(0)}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{(0)})$, $Z = h'_a(y+M)$, and $S = \sqrt{(h'_a(y+M))^2 - |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|^2} = \sqrt{Z^2 - |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|^2}$.

Since $A(H) < Z < 2A(H)$, $|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|^2 < 2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\alpha(H)} - T_2(f)^2 \leq 4T_2(f)A(H) - T_2(f)^2$, and $A(H) \geq 16T_2(f)$, it is easy to see that $2S^2 \geq (A(H))^2$. Notice that $|D\omega(\vec{x}, y)| \leq \frac{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|}{S} + \frac{Z}{S|h'_a(Z)|} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\alpha(H)} - T_2(f)^2}}{A(H)} + \frac{2}{H}$ and so (4.9) implies $|D\omega(\vec{x}, y)| < \delta_0$.

If one sets $\xi_i = \frac{x_i - x_i^{(0)}}{S}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $\xi_n = \frac{-Z}{S h'_a(Z)}$, and $\vec{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, then $|\vec{\xi}| \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \frac{1}{S}$.

Since $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) \frac{\delta_{ij}}{S} = \frac{1}{S}(1 - a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2))$ and $\frac{Zh''_a(Z)}{S(h'_a(Z))^3} = \frac{-1}{S}$, then $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) D_{ij}\omega(\vec{x}, y) \leq \frac{2}{S} - \frac{1}{S}(2 + \frac{1}{(h'_a(Z))^2})a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) < \frac{2}{S}$.

Since $|D\omega(\vec{x}, y)| \leq \delta_0 \leq 1$ when $(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega_1$, $Du_2(\vec{x}, y) \in k$ and so $a_{nn}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) \geq \mu(K)$ if $(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega_{1,l}$.

Set $\mu = \mu(K)$. From (4.8), one obtains $\frac{2}{S} \leq \frac{M\delta}{2}$. Notice that

$$E(y, u_2 + \zeta, p_1, q) \leq E(y, u_2, p_1, q) \leq E(y, k_2, p_1, q). \quad (4.13)$$

For all $y \in I_M$ and $p_1, q \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\zeta \geq 0$ and $u_2 = \omega + k_2 \geq 2\epsilon + k_2 \succ k_2$.

Using (1.38), (1.41)–(1.43) and (1.49), one has

$$\begin{aligned} Qu_2(\vec{x}, y) &= Q(\omega + k_2 + \zeta)(\vec{x}, y) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} D_{ij}\omega + a_{11} D_{11}k_2 + 2a_{1n} D_{1n}k_2 + a_{nn} D_{nn}k_2 + b \\ &< \frac{M\delta}{2} + \left(\frac{a_{11}}{a_{nn}} D_{11}k_2 + 2\frac{a_{1n}}{a_{nn}} D_{1n}k_2 + D_{nn}k_2 + \frac{b}{a_{nn}} \right) a_{nn} \\ &= \frac{M\delta}{2} + a_{nn} \left[\frac{b(u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)}{a_{nn}(u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)} - E(u_2 + \zeta, D_1u_2, D_nu_2) + \right. \\ E(u_2 + \zeta, D_1u_2, D_nu_2) &\quad \left. - E(u_2, D_1u_2, D_nu_2) + E(u_2, D_1u_2, D_nu_2) - E(u_2, D_1k_2, D_nk_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + E(u_2, D_1k_2, D_nk_2) - E(k_2, D_1k_2, D_nk_2) \right] \\ &\quad + a_{nn} D_{11}k_2 \left[\frac{a_{11}(Du_2)}{a_{nn}(Du_2)} - a_{11}^*(D_1u_2, D_nu_2) + a_{11}^*(D_1u_2, D_nu_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a_{11}^*(D_1k_2, D_nk_2) \right] + 2a_{nn} D_{1n}k_2 \left[\frac{a_{1n}(Du_2)}{a_{nn}(Du_2)} - a_{1n}^*(D_1u_2, D_nu_2) + a_{1n}^*(D_1u_2, D_nu_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a_{1n}^*(D_1k_2, D_nk_2) \right] + a_{nn} [a_{11}^* D_{11}k_2 + 2a_{1n}^* D_{1n}k_2 + D_{nn}k_2 + E(k_2, D_1k_2, D_nk_2)] \\ &\leq \frac{M\delta}{2} + \left[\frac{\delta}{8} + \frac{|D_{11}k_2|\delta}{8B_0} + \frac{2|D_{1n}k_2|\delta}{8B_0} - \delta \right] a_{nn}(Du_2) \\ &\leq \frac{M\delta}{2} - \frac{M\delta}{2} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)$, $a_{11}^*(D_1u_2, D_nu_2) = a_{11}^*(y, D_1u_2, D_nu_2)$, $b = b(u_2 + \zeta, Du_2) = b(\vec{x}, y, u_2 + \zeta, Du_2)$, etc.

If $(\vec{x}, y) \in \partial\Omega_{1,l} \cap \partial\Omega_l^{(\alpha_0)}$, from (2.6), (2.8) and (4.1) one has

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{x}, y) &= \phi(\vec{x}, y) \leq H(y) + \epsilon \leq k_2(x_1 - d_l, y) + 2\epsilon \\ &= k_2(x_1 - d_l, y) + \gamma \leq k_2(x_1 - d_l, y) + \omega(\vec{x}, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$f(\vec{x}, y) - u_2(\vec{x}, y) < 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_{1,l} \cap \partial\Omega_l^{(\alpha_0)}.$$

If $(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega_l^{(\alpha_0)} \cap \partial\Omega_{1,l}$, then $|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0| < \sqrt{2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - T_2(f)^2}$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\vec{x}, y) &= 2\epsilon + A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - \sqrt{(h_a^{-1}(y+M))^2 - |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|^2} \\ &\geq 2\epsilon A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - \sqrt{(A(H)e^{\chi(H)})^2 - 2T_2(f)A(H)e^{\chi(H)} - T_2(f)^2} \\ &= 2\epsilon + T_2(f) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{x}, y) - k_2(y) &\leq \|f - k_2\|_\infty \\ &= T_2(f) \\ &\leq \omega(\vec{x}, y) - 2\epsilon \\ &< \omega(\vec{x}, y) \end{aligned}$$

and so $f(\vec{x}, y) < u_2(\vec{x}, y)$ for $(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega_l^{(\alpha_0)} \cap \partial\Omega_{1,l}$.

Let $U_0 = \{(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega_{1,l} / f(\vec{x}, y) < u_2(\vec{x}, y)\}$ since $f < u_2$ on $\partial\Omega_{1,l}$, U_0 is relatively compact subset of $\Omega_{1,l}$ and $f = u_2$ on $\Omega_{1,l} \cap \partial U_0 = \partial U_0$.

Now, define

$$Ru(\vec{x}, y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{a}_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, Du) D_{ij}u(\vec{x}, y) + \bar{b}(\vec{x}, y, Du).$$

Set $\bar{a}_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, \vec{p}, q) = a_{ij}(\vec{x}, y, f(\vec{x}, y), \vec{p}, q)$ and $\bar{b}(\vec{x}, y, \vec{p}, q) = b(\vec{x}, y, f(\vec{x}, y), \vec{p}, q)$.

Let (\vec{x}, y) be an arbitrary point in U_0 and set $\zeta = f(\vec{x}, y) - u_2(\vec{x}, y) > 0$.

Since $Q(u_2 + \zeta) < 0$ on $\Omega_{1,l}$, it follows that

$$Ru_2(\vec{x}, y) = Q(u_2 + \zeta)(\vec{x}, y) < 0.$$

Since (\vec{x}_1, y_1) is an arbitrary point in U_0 , one sees that

$$Ru_2 < 0 \text{ in } U_0 \tag{4.14}$$

A standard argument (e.g section 3 of [6]) then implies $U_0 = \emptyset$ and so $f(\vec{x}, y) \leq u_2(\vec{x}, y)$ on Ω_1 .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{x}_0, y) &\leq \omega(\vec{x}_0, y) + k_2(x_1 - d_l, y) \\ &\leq \frac{2M}{H} + k_2(x_1 - d_l, y) \\ &< 2\epsilon + k(x_1 - d_l, y) \quad \text{or} \end{aligned}$$

$$f(\vec{x}_0, y) - k(x_1 - d_l, y) < 2\epsilon.$$

Together with a similar argument using lower barriers and $k_1(y)$; (i.e., $u_1(\vec{x}, y) = l_a(\vec{x}, y) + k_1(x_1 - d_l, y)$ with $\Psi(\rho) = 1$), one finds that

$$\left| f(\vec{x}_0, y) - k(x_1^{(0)} - d_l, y) \right| < 2\epsilon.$$

Since $\vec{x}_0 \in W$ is arbitrary,

$$\left| f(\vec{x}, y) - k(x_1 - d_{l(\vec{x})}, y) \right| < 2\epsilon \quad (4.15)$$

for $(\vec{x}, y) \in \Omega$ with $\vec{x} \in W$.

Suppose $(\vec{x}_{(l)}, y(l)) \in \overline{\Omega_l^{(\alpha_0)}}$ for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\frac{\vec{x}_{(l)}}{|\vec{x}_{(l)}|} \rightarrow \omega$ and $(\vec{x}_{(l),1} - d_l, y(l)) \rightarrow (t, y)$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$\vec{x}_{(l)} \in W \text{ and } \left| k(x_{(l),1} - d_l, y(l)) - k(t, y) \right| < t\epsilon,$$

when $l \geq N$.

If $l > N$, then (4.15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(\vec{x}_{(l)}, y(l)) - k(t, y) \right| &\leq \left| f(\vec{x}_{(l)}, y(l)) - k(x_{(l),1} - d_l, y(l)) \right| + \left| k(x_{(l),1} - d_l, y(l)) - k(t, y) \right| \\ &\leq 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 follows.

References

- [1] T. Adamowicz, Phragmen-Lindelöf theorems for equations with non-standard growth, *Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods Appl.*, **97**, (2014), 169–184.
- [2] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential Equations of second order*, second edition, Springer-Verlog, 1983.
- [3] Z. Jin, K. Larcaster, Theorems of Phrgmen-Lindelöf type for quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. reine angew. Math.*, **514**, (1999), 165–197.
- [4] Z. Jin, K. Larcaster, Phragmen-Lindelöf Theorems and the Asymptotic Behavior of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations in slabs, *proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **130A**, (2000), 335–373.

- [5] Z. Jin, K. Larcaster, A phragmen-Lindelöf theorem and the Behavior at infinity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Pacific J. Math.*, **211**, (2003), 101–121.
- [6] K. Larcaster, Phragmen-Lindelöf theorems in slabs for some systems of non-hyperbolic second-order quasilinear equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **A133**, (2007), 1155–1173.
- [7] J. Serrin, The problem of Dirichlet for quasilinear equations with many independent variables, *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc., London ser.*, **A264**, (1969), 413–496.