

# The Relationship of Modular Lattice with Maximum Pre-period Property and Minimum Pre-period Property

Aveya Charoenpol<sup>1</sup>, Udom Chotwattakawanit<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Mathematics  
Faculty of Engineering  
Rajamangala University of Technology Isan Khonkaen Campus  
Khon Kaen 40000, Thailand

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Khon Kaen University  
Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand

email: aveya.ch@rmuti.ac.th, udomch@kku.ac.th

(Received November 23, 2021, Accepted December 29, 2021)

## Abstract

The pre-period of a lattice (resp. pre-period of a lattice fixing  $\alpha$ )  $\mathbf{A}$  is defined as the supremum of the pre-period of an endomorphism (resp. a connected endomorphism fixing  $\alpha$ ) of  $\mathbf{A}$  and is denoted by  $\lambda(\mathbf{A})$  (resp.  $\lambda_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$ ). Let  $\mathcal{MPP}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{NPP}$ ) be the set of all finite modular lattices  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $\lambda(\mathbf{A}) = \ell(\mathbf{A})$  (resp.  $\lambda(\mathbf{A}) = \max\{\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}), \lambda_1(\mathbf{A})\}$ ), where  $\ell(\mathbf{A})$  is the length of  $\mathbf{A}$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{MPP} \subsetneq \mathcal{NPP} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of all finite modular lattices.

## 1 Introduction

One of the most important tools in studying universal algebra is the notion of endomorphism. An endomorphism  $f$  of a structure  $A$  can be con-

---

**Key words and phrases:** Linear sum, glued sum, modular lattice, endomorphism, pre-period, connected unary operation.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 08A30, 06C05, 08A60, 08A35.

Corresponding author: Udom t.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2022, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

sidered as a unary operation and  $\langle A; f \rangle$  is a *monounary algebra*. Some properties of monounary algebras connected with the notion of homomorphism were studied (for instance, in [4], [7], [9]).

The importance of the theory of unary and monounary algebras was pointed out for example in the monographs [6] and [8]. The advantage of monounary algebras is their relatively easy visualization as they can be represented as planar directed graphs. If the graph of a monounary algebra is connected, then it is called a *connected monounary algebra*. As every graph is a sum of connected components, every monounary algebra is a sum of connected monounary algebras.

Let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be a unary operation on a set  $A$ . Let  $f^0$  be the identity map on  $A$  and  $\text{Im}(f) := \{f(a) \mid a \in A\}$ . A *pre-period* (or *stabilizer*) of  $f$  is the least nonnegative integer  $n$  satisfying  $\text{Im}f^n = \text{Im}f^{n+1}$  and denoted by  $\lambda(f)$  [12]. An operation  $f$  on  $A$  is *connected* if for each  $a, b \in A$ , there exist nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$  such that  $f^n(a) = f^m(b)$ . Some results from [3] and [10] imply that  $\lambda(f) \leq |A| - 1$  and they characterize  $f$  with  $\lambda(f) = |A| - 1$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda(f) = |A| - 1$ , then  $f$  is connected.

Several authors have focused, in particular, on connected monounary algebras (see [11], [5], for example). If  $f$  is a connected order-preserving map on a bounded poset  $\mathbf{P}$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $\alpha$  [1]. Moreover,  $\lambda(f) \leq \ell(\mathbf{P})$ , where  $\ell(\mathbf{P})$  stands for the length of  $\mathbf{P}$ . The *pre-period* of a finite lattice  $\mathbf{A}$  *fixing*  $\alpha$  is the maximum of  $\lambda(f)$  whose  $f$  is a connected endomorphism on  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\alpha$  is the fixed point and it is denoted by  $\lambda_\alpha(\mathbf{A})$  which was studied in the case  $\alpha = 0$ . They showed that if  $\mathbf{A}$  is distributive, then  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) \leq \ell(\mathbf{A})$  and they characterized  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) = \ell(\mathbf{A})$ .

These facts were generalized in [2] to an endomorphism without the connectivity. The supremum of the pre-period of endomorphism of a lattice  $\mathbf{A}$  is called the *pre-period* of  $\mathbf{A}$ , denoted by  $\lambda(\mathbf{A})$ , which is less than or equal to the length of  $\mathbf{A}$  if it is finite modular. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of all finite modular lattices. A lattice  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{M}$  is said to have the maximum pre-period property (briefly MPP) if  $\lambda(\mathbf{A}) = \ell(\mathbf{A})$  and we denote the set of all modular lattices having the MPP by  $\mathcal{MPP}$ .

By the definitions, one can see that  $\max\{\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}), \lambda_1(\mathbf{A})\} \leq \lambda(\mathbf{A})$ . A lattice  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{M}$  is said to have the minimum pre-period property (briefly NPP) if  $\max\{\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}), \lambda_1(\mathbf{A})\} = \lambda(\mathbf{A})$  and we denote the set of all modular lattices having the NPP by  $\mathcal{NPP}$ . In this paper, we will show that  $\mathcal{MPP} \subsetneq \mathcal{NPP} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$  by determining the pre-period of the glued sum of Boolean with a lattice.

## 2 Preliminaries

For a finite lattice  $\mathbf{A}$ , one can see that there exists the top 1 and the bottom 0. Moreover, for an endomorphism  $f$  on  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $f$  is connected fixing 0 if and only if  $f^n(1) = 0$ , for some non-negative integer  $n$ . This implies that the pre-period  $\lambda(f)$  of a connected endomorphism  $f$  on a finite lattice  $\mathbf{A}$  fixing 0 is the least non-negative integer with  $f^{\lambda(f)}(1) = 0$ . In [1], for a finite distributive lattice  $\mathbf{A}$  It was shown that  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) \leq \ell(\mathbf{A})$ . A condition of  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) = \ell(\mathbf{A})$  is given in the following theorem which can be stated for any finite modular lattice.

**Theorem 2.1.** [1] *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite modular lattice. Then  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) = \ell(\mathbf{A})$  if and only if there is an endomorphism  $f$  on  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $0 = f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}(1) \prec f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})-1}(1) \prec \dots \prec f(1) \prec 1$ . Moreover,  $f$  is connected.*

One of the simple lattices satisfying the condition in Theorem 2.1 is a finite chain or a finite directed product of the 2-element chain. Indeed, for an  $m$ -element chain  $\mathbf{C}_m = \{c_1 \prec c_2 \prec \dots \prec c_m\}$ , the function  $f : C_m \rightarrow C_m$  defined by

$$f(c_i) = \begin{cases} c_{i-1} & \text{if } i > 1, \\ c_1 & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

is an endomorphism on  $\mathbf{C}_m$  with

$$c_1 = f^{m-1}(c_m) \prec c_2 = f^{m-2}(c_m) \prec \dots \prec c_{m-1} = f(c_m) \prec c_m.$$

So  $\lambda(\mathbf{C}_m) = |\mathbf{C}_m| - 1 = m - 1$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [1] *The pre-period of the directed product  $\mathbf{2}^n$  of the 2-element chain  $\mathbf{2}$  is equal to  $n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; that is,  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{2}^n) = n$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** [2] *Let  $\mathbf{L}^\partial$  be the dual of a bounded lattice  $\mathbf{L}$ . Then  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{L}) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{L}^\partial)$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** [2] *Let  $\mathbf{L}$  be a finite modular lattice. Then*

$$\lambda_\alpha(\mathbf{L}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{L}) \leq \ell(\mathbf{L})$$

, for all  $\alpha \in L$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [2] *Let  $\mathbf{L}$  be a finite modular lattice. Then*

$\mathbf{L}$  has the MPP if and only if either  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{L}) = \ell(\mathbf{L})$  or  $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}) = \ell(\mathbf{L})$ .

**Corollary 2.6.**  $\mathcal{MPP} \subseteq \mathcal{NPP}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be (disjoint) ordered sets. The *linear sum*  $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$  is defined by taking the following order relation on  $A \cup B$  :  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a \leq b$  in  $\mathbf{A}$  or in  $\mathbf{B}$  or  $(a, b) \in A \times B$ . If  $\mathbf{A}$  has the top  $1_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  has the bottom  $0_{\mathbf{B}}$ , the *glued sum* of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , denoted  $\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}$ , is obtained from the linear sum by identifying  $1_{\mathbf{A}}$  with  $0_{\mathbf{B}}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** [2] *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be non-trivial finite modular lattices. Then  $\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}$  has the MPP if and only if either*

1.  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) = \ell(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  is a chain, or
2.  $\lambda_1(\mathbf{B}) = \ell(\mathbf{B})$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  is a chain.

**Theorem 2.8.** [2] *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be finite lattices. Then*

$$\lambda_i(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \leq \lambda_i(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_i(\mathbf{B})$$

and

$$\lambda(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda(\mathbf{B}),$$

for  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ .

### 3 A pre-period of the Glued sum of Boolean

We will start this section by finding some formulas of the pre-period of glued sum of lattices.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be finite non-trivial lattices.*

1. *If  $\alpha \in A$ , then*

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_0(\mathbf{B}).$$

2. *If  $\alpha \in B$ , then*

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{B}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}$  and let  $f$  be a connected endomorphism on  $\mathbf{D}$  fixing  $\alpha \in A$ . It is clear that  $f \upharpoonright_A$  and the map  $g : B \rightarrow B$  defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \in B, \\ 0_{\mathbf{B}} & \text{if } f(x) \in A \end{cases}$$

are connected endomorphisms on  $\mathbf{A}$  fixing  $\alpha$  and on  $\mathbf{B}$  fixing  $0_{\mathbf{B}}$ , respectively. Suppose that  $\lambda(f \upharpoonright_A) = m$  and  $\lambda(g) = n$ . Then  $f^n(1_{\mathbf{B}}) \in A$  and

$$f^{m+n}(D) = f^n(f^m(A)) \cup f^m(f^n(B)) = \{\alpha\}.$$

Hence,  $\lambda(f) \leq m+n \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_0(\mathbf{B})$ ; and so,  $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_0(\mathbf{B})$ . Similarly, if  $\alpha \in B$ , then  $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \leq \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{B})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *For finite non-trivial lattices  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ ,*

$$\lambda(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \geq \max \{ \lambda(\mathbf{A}), \lambda(\mathbf{B}) \} \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) \text{ (resp. } \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{B}))$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbf{A}$  (resp.  $\alpha \in \mathbf{B}$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}$ . Let  $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  be an endomorphism. Then the map  $g : D \rightarrow D$  defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in A, \\ f(1_{\mathbf{A}}) & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an endomorphism on  $\mathbf{D}$  with  $\lambda(f) = \lambda(g)$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is connected fixing  $\alpha$ , then so is  $g$ . So,  $\lambda(\mathbf{A}) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{D})$  and  $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{D})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite non-trivial lattice and  $f : \mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n$  be an endomorphism.*

1. *If  $f(1_{\mathbf{A}}) = 1_{\mathbf{A}}$ , then  $\lambda(f) \leq \max \{ \lambda(\mathbf{A}), n \}$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is connected, then  $\lambda(f) \leq \max \{ \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}), n \}$ .*
2. *If  $f(1_{\mathbf{A}}) < 1_{\mathbf{A}}$ , then  $\lambda(f) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{A}) + 1$ . Furthermore, if  $f$  is connected with  $f(\alpha) = \alpha$ , then  $\lambda(f) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $f(1_{\mathbf{A}}) = 1_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $M := \max \{ \lambda(\mathbf{A}), n \}$ . Then  $f \upharpoonright_A$  and  $f \upharpoonright_{\mathbf{2}^n}$  are endomorphisms on  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{2}^n$ , respectively. So,

$$\begin{aligned} f^M(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) &= f \upharpoonright_A^M(\mathbf{A}) \cup f \upharpoonright_{\mathbf{2}^n}^M(\mathbf{2}^n) \\ &= f \upharpoonright_A^{M+1}(\mathbf{A}) \cup f \upharpoonright_{\mathbf{2}^n}^{M+1}(\mathbf{2}^n) = f^{M+1}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\lambda(f) \leq M$ . Similarly, if  $f$  is connected, then  $\lambda(f) \leq \max \{ \lambda_1(\mathbf{A}), n \}$ .

(2) For convenient, let  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{2}^n$  and  $a_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{1}_i, 0, \dots, 0)$  be an atom of  $\mathbf{B}$ . Suppose that  $f(1_{\mathbf{A}}) < 1_{\mathbf{A}}$ ; that is,  $f(0_{\mathbf{B}}) < 0_{\mathbf{B}}$ . Then for each  $i \neq j$ ,

$f(a_i) \wedge f(a_j) = f(0_{\mathbf{B}}) < 0_{\mathbf{B}}$ ; and so,  $f(a_i) < 0_{\mathbf{B}}$  or  $f(a_j) < 0_{\mathbf{B}}$ . We may assume that  $f(a_1), \dots, f(a_{n-1}) < 0_{\mathbf{B}}$ .

**case (i):**  $f(a_n) < 0_{\mathbf{B}}$ . Then  $f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n) \in A$  which implies that  $f(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \subseteq \mathbf{A}$ ; and so

$$f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A}) \subseteq f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) = f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}(f(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B})) \subseteq f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}(\mathbf{A}) = f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A}).$$

Thus,

$$f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+2}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) = f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+2}(\mathbf{A}) = f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A}) = f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}).$$

So,  $\lambda(f) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{A}) + 1$ .

**case (ii):**  $f(a_n) \geq 0_{\mathbf{B}}$ . Then

$$f(1_{\mathbf{B}}) = f(a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_n) = f(a_1) \vee \dots \vee f(a_n) = f(a_n).$$

Since

$$f(a_n) = f(0, \dots, 0, 1) \leq f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1) \leq f(1_{\mathbf{B}}),$$

we get

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1) = f(1_{\mathbf{B}}) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For each  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , let  $B_i$  be the set of elements  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B$  with  $x_n = i$ . Then  $f(B_0) \subseteq A$  and  $f(B_1) = \{f(1_{\mathbf{B}})\}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) &= f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}(f(A) \cup f(B_0 \cup B_1)) \\ &\subseteq f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}(f(A)) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}(A) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(B_1) \\ &= f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(A) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(A) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(B_1) \\ &\subseteq f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}), \end{aligned}$$

we get  $f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) = f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(A) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(B_1)$ . If  $f(1_{\mathbf{B}}) \in B_1$ , then  $f^2(B_1) = f(B_1)$ ; and so,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) &= f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(A) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(B_1) \\ &= f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+2}(A) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+2}(B_1) \\ &= f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+2}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $f(1_{\mathbf{B}}) = x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)$  and  $x'$  be the complement of  $x$  in  $\mathbf{B}$ . By (3.1),  $f(x') = f(1_{\mathbf{B}})$  and

$$f^2(1_{\mathbf{B}}) = f(x) = f(x) \wedge f(1_{\mathbf{B}}) = f(x) \wedge f(x') = f(0_{\mathbf{B}}) = f(1_{\mathbf{A}})$$

which implies that  $f^2(B_1) = \{f(1_{\mathbf{A}})\}$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}$  is non-trivial, we get  $\lambda(A) \geq 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) &= f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(A) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})-1}(f^2(B_1)) \\ &= f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(A) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})-1}(\{f(1_{\mathbf{A}})\}) \\ &= f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}(A) \cup f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}(\{1_{\mathbf{A}}\}) \\ &= f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})}(A). \end{aligned}$$

So,  $f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+1}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) = f^{\lambda(\mathbf{A})+2}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{B})$  implies that  $\lambda(f) \leq \lambda(\mathbf{A}) + 1$ . Note that if  $f$  is connected with  $f(\alpha) = \alpha$ , then  $\lambda(f) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) + 1$ .  $\square$

The next corollary follows from Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an element in a finite non-trivial lattice  $\mathbf{A}$ .*

1. *If  $\alpha = 1_{\mathbf{A}}$ , then  $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) = \max\{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}), n\}$ .*
2. *If  $\alpha \neq 1_{\mathbf{A}}$ , then*

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) \text{ is either } \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) \text{ or } \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{A}) + 1.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *The pre-period of the glued sum  $\mathbf{2}^m \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n$  is  $\max\{m + 1, n + 1\}$ . Moreover,  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) = \lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) + 1$ , for all finite non-trivial lattices  $\mathbf{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 3.3 implies that  $\lambda(\mathbf{2}^m \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) \leq \max\{m + 1, n + 1\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a finite non-trivial lattice and  $f$  be a connected endomorphism on  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda(f) = \lambda_0(\mathbf{A})$ . Define  $g : \mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 1_{\mathbf{A}} & \text{if } x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1) \in B, \\ f(1_{\mathbf{A}}) & \text{if } x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) \in B. \end{cases}$$

Then  $g$  is a connected endomorphism on  $\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n$  fixing  $0_{\mathbf{A}}$ . So,  $\lambda(g) \geq \lambda(f) + 1 = \lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) + 1$  and  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) \geq \lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) + 1$ .

If  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{2}^m$ , then Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 imply that  $\lambda(\mathbf{2}^m \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) \geq \lambda_0(\mathbf{2}^m \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) \geq m + 1$  and  $\lambda(\mathbf{2}^m \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) \geq \lambda_1(\mathbf{2}^m \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n) = \lambda_0(\mathbf{2}^n \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^m) \geq n + 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.**  *$MPP \subsetneq NPP$ . Moreover, the glued sum of Boolean lattices has the NPP.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7,  $\mathbf{2}^m \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n$  has no the MPP for  $m, n \geq 2$ . Moreover, by Theorem 3.5,  $\mathbf{2}^m \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^n$  has the NPP.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be finite non-trivial lattices. Then*

$$\lambda_0(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_0(\mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}) \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{A} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}) + \lambda_1(\mathbf{B}).$$

*Proof.* Theorem 3.1 implies that  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}) \leq \lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_0(\mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{B})$ . Let  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{B}$ . Let  $f_A : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  and  $f_{B'} : \mathbf{B}' \rightarrow \mathbf{B}'$  be connected endomorphisms on  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}'$  fixing  $0_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $0_{\mathbf{B}'}$ , respectively. Define  $f_D : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  by

$$f_D(x) = \begin{cases} f_A(x) & \text{if } x \leq 1_{\mathbf{A}}, \\ f_{B'}(x) & \text{if } x > 1_{\mathbf{A}}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $f_D$  is a connected endomorphism on  $\mathbf{D}$  with  $f_D(0_{\mathbf{D}}) = 0_{\mathbf{D}}$ .

Suppose that  $\lambda(f_A) = n$  and  $\lambda(f_{B'}) = m$ . Then

$$f_D^{m+n-1}(1_{\mathbf{D}}) = f_D^{n-1}(f_{B'}^m(1_{\mathbf{D}})) = f_D^{n-1}(0_{\mathbf{B}'}) = f_A^{n-1}(1_{\mathbf{A}}) > 0_{\mathbf{D}}$$

which implies that  $m+n \leq \lambda(f_D) \leq \lambda_0(\mathbf{D})$ . So,  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) + \lambda_0(\mathbf{B}') \leq \lambda_0(\mathbf{D})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *For each non-trivial lattice  $\mathbf{A}$  and natural number  $n$ ,*

$$\lambda_0(\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{2}^n) = \lambda_0(\mathbf{A}) + 2.$$

**Theorem 3.9.**  $\mathcal{NPP} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^5 \dot{+} \mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^5 \dot{+} \mathbf{2}$ . Theorems 3.5 and 3.7 imply that

$$\lambda_0(\mathbf{L}) = \lambda_0(\mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^5) + \lambda_0(\mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^5) + \lambda_0(\mathbf{2}) = 5.$$

From  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}^\theta$ , we get  $\lambda_1(\mathbf{L}) = 5$ . However, by Proposition 3.2,  $\lambda(\mathbf{L}) \geq \lambda(\mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^5)$ . Since  $\mathbf{2}^5$  has MPP with  $\lambda(\mathbf{2}^5) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{2}^5)$ , we get  $\mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^5$  has MPP. So,  $\lambda(\mathbf{2} \dot{+} \mathbf{2}^5) = 6$ . Consequently,  $\mathbf{L}$  does not have the NPP.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** *This work was supported by Khon Kean University.*



## References

- [1] U. Chotwattakawanit, A. Charoenpol, *A Pre-period of a Finite Distributive Lattice*, *Discussiones Mathematicae-General Algebra and Applications*, (to appear).
- [2] U. Chotwattakawanit, A. Charoenpol, *A Pre-period of the Glued Sum of Finite Modular Lattices*, *Discussiones Mathematicae-General Algebra and Applications* (Submitted).
- [3] K. Denecke, S. L. Wismath, *Universal algebra and applications in theoretical computer science*, Chapman & Hall, CRC Press, Boca Raton, London, New York, Washington DC, 2002.
- [4] E. Halušková, *Some monounary algebras with EKP*, *Mathematica Bohemica*, **145**, (2019), 1–14.
- [5] D. Jakubíková-Studenovská, *Homomorphism Order of Connected Monounary Algebras*, *Order*, **38**, (2021), 257–269.
- [6] D. Jakubíková-Studenovská, J. Pócs, *Monounary algebras*, P. J. Šafárik Univ. Katowice, Košice, 2009.
- [7] D. Jakubíková-Studenovská, K. Potpinková, *The endomorphism spectrum of a monounary algebra*, *Math. Slovaca*, **64**, (2014), 675–690.
- [8] M. Novotný, O. Kopeček, J. Chvalina, *Homomorphic Transformations: Why and possible ways to How*, Masaryk University, Brno, 2012.
- [9] B. V. Popov, O. V. Kovaleva, *On a Characterization of Monounary Algebras by their Endomorphism Semigroups*, *Semigroup Forum*, **73**, (2006), 444–456.
- [10] C. Ratanaprasert, K. Denecke, *Unary operations with long pre-periods*, *Discrete Mathematics*, **308**, (2008), 4998–5005.
- [11] H. Yoeli, *Subdirectly irreducible unary algebra*, *Mathematical Monthly*, **74**, (1967) 957–960.
- [12] D. Zupnik, *Cayley functions*, *Semigroup Forum*, **3**, (1972), 349–358.