

## On $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous multifunctions

Chokchai Viriyapong, Chawalit Boonpok

Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Research Unit  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Mahasarakham University  
Maha Sarakham, 44150, Thailand

email: chokchai.v@msu.ac.th, chawalit.b@msu.ac.th

(Received December 24, 2021, Accepted April 24, 2022)

### Abstract

In this paper, we deal with the concept of  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous multifunctions. Moreover, we investigate some characterizations of  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous multifunctions.

## 1 Introduction

The concept of strongly semi-continuous functions was introduced by Noiri [8]. Mashhour et al. [5] called strongly semi-continuous functions  $\alpha$ -continuous and obtained several properties of such functions. Noiri [7] investigated some characterizations of  $\alpha$ -continuous functions and established the relationships between  $\alpha$ -continuous functions and several known functions. Popa and Noiri [9] extended the concept of  $\alpha$ -continuous functions to multifunctions and obtained several characterizations of  $\alpha$ -continuous multifunctions. Abd El-Monsef et al. [1] introduced a weak form of open sets called  $\beta$ -open sets. This notion was also called semi-preopen sets in the sense of Andrijević [2]. Noiri and Hatir [6] introduced the notion of  $\Lambda_{sp}$ -sets in terms of the concept of  $\beta$ -open sets and investigated the notion of  $\Lambda_{sp}$ -closed sets by using  $\Lambda_{sp}$ -sets. In [3], Boonpok introduced the concepts of  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets and

---

**Key words and phrases:**  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -open set,  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous multifunction.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 54C08, 54C60.

**ISSN** 1814-0432, 2022, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

$(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed sets which are defined by utilizing the notions of  $\Lambda_{sp}$ -sets and  $\beta$ -closed sets. The purpose of the present paper is to introduce the notion of  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous multifunctions. Moreover, several characterizations of  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous multifunctions are discussed.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or simply  $X$  and  $Y$ ) always mean topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$  are denoted by  $\text{Cl}(A)$  and  $\text{Int}(A)$ , respectively. A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\beta$ -open [1] if  $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)))$ . The complement of a  $\beta$ -open set is called  $\beta$ -closed. The family of all  $\beta$ -open sets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\beta(X, \tau)$ . A subset  $\Lambda_{sp}(A)$  [6] is defined as follows:  $\Lambda_{sp}(A) = \bigcap \{U \mid A \subseteq U, U \in \beta(X, \tau)\}$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\Lambda_{sp}$ -set [6] if  $A = \Lambda_{sp}(A)$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed [3] if  $A = T \cap C$ , where  $T$  is a  $\Lambda_{sp}$ -set and  $C$  is a  $\beta$ -closed set. The complement of a  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed set is called  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open.

Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -cluster point [3] of  $A$  if  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for every  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ . The set of all  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -cluster points of  $A$  is called the  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closure [3] of  $A$  and is denoted by  $A^{(\Lambda, sp)}$ . The union of all  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets contained in  $A$  is called the  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -interior [3] of  $A$  and is denoted by  $A_{(\Lambda, sp)}$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $s(\Lambda, sp)$ -open (resp.  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -open) if  $A \subseteq [A_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{(\Lambda, sp)}$  (resp.  $A \subseteq [[A_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{(\Lambda, sp)}$ ) [3]. The complement of a  $s(\Lambda, sp)$ -open (resp.  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -open) set is said to be  $s(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed (resp.  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed). The family of all  $s(\Lambda, sp)$ -open (resp.  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -open) sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $s\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $\alpha\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$ ). The intersection of all  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed (resp.  $s(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed) sets containing  $A$  is called the  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -closure (resp.  $s(\Lambda, sp)$ -closure) of  $A$  and is denoted by  $A^{\alpha(\Lambda, sp)}$  (resp.  $A^{s(\Lambda, sp)}$ ). The union of all  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -open (resp.  $s(\Lambda, sp)$ -open) sets contained in  $A$  is called the  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -interior (resp.  $s(\Lambda, sp)$ -interior) of  $A$  and is denoted by  $A_{\alpha(\Lambda, sp)}$  (resp.  $A_{s(\Lambda, sp)}$ ).

By a multifunction  $F : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , following [4], we shall denote the upper and lower inverse of a set  $B$  of  $Y$  by  $F^+(B)$  and  $F^-(B)$ , respectively;

that is,  $F^+(B) = \{x \in X \mid F(x) \subseteq B\}$  and

$$F^-(B) = \{x \in X \mid F(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}.$$

In particular,  $F^-(y) = \{x \in X \mid y \in F(x)\}$  for each point  $y \in Y$  and for each  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $F(A) = \cup_{x \in A} F(x)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}(Y)$  be the collection of all nonempty subsets of  $Y$ . For any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open set  $V$  of a topological space  $(Y, \sigma)$ , we denote  $V^+ = \{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y) \mid B \subseteq V\}$  and  $V^- = \{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y) \mid B \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ .

### 3 Characterizations

In this section, we introduce the notion of  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous multifunctions. Moreover, several characterizations of  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous multifunctions are discussed.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A \in \alpha\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$ ;
- (2)  $U \subseteq A \subseteq [U^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{(\Lambda, sp)}$  for some  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open set  $U$ ;
- (3)  $U \subseteq A \subseteq U^{s(\Lambda, sp)}$  for some  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open set  $U$ ;
- (4)  $A \subseteq [A_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{s(\Lambda, sp)}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *For a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold:*

- (1)  $[A^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{s(\Lambda, sp)} = [[A^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{(\Lambda, sp)}$ ;
- (2)  $A^{\alpha(\Lambda, sp)} = A \cup [[A^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{(\Lambda, sp)}$ .

**Definition 3.3.** *A multifunction  $F : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if, for any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets  $V_1, V_2$  of  $Y$  such that  $F(x) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $U \in \alpha\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$  containing  $x$  such that  $F(U) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(z) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  for every  $z \in U$ . A multifunction  $F : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous if  $F$  has this property at each point of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *For a multifunction  $F : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $F$  is  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$ ;
- (2)  $x \in [[F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{s(\Lambda, sp)}$  for any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets  $V_1, V_2$  of  $Y$  such that  $F(x) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $V_1, V_2$  be any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets of  $Y$  such that  $F(x) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ . There exists  $U \in \alpha\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$  containing  $x$  such that  $F(U) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(z) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  for every  $z \in U$ . Thus,  $x \in U \subseteq F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)$ . Since  $U \in \alpha\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$ , we have

$$x \in U \subseteq [U_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{s(\Lambda, sp)} \subseteq [[F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{s(\Lambda, sp)}.$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $x \in X$  and let  $V_1, V_2$  be any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets of  $Y$  such that  $F(x) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Then,  $x \in F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)$  and by (2),  $x \in [[F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{s(\Lambda, sp)}$ . Thus,

$$F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2) \subseteq [[F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{s(\Lambda, sp)},$$

by Lemma 3.1,  $F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2) \in \alpha\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$ . Put  $U = F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)$ , then  $U$  is an  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $F(U) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(z) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  for every  $z \in U$ .  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . A subset  $B$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood of  $A$  if there exists  $U \in \alpha\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq B$ . A subset  $B$  is called  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood which intersects  $A$  if there exists an  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -open set  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $V \subseteq B$  and  $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** For a multifunction  $F : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $F$  is  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous;
- (2)  $F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2) \in \alpha\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$  for any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets  $V_1, V_2$  of  $Y$ ;
- (3)  $F^-(K_1) \cup F^+(K_2)$  is  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed in  $X$  for any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed sets  $K_1, K_2$  of  $Y$ ;
- (4)  $[[F^-(B_1) \cup F^+(B_2)]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{s(\Lambda, sp)} \subseteq F^-(B_1^{(\Lambda, sp)}) \cup F^+(B_2^{(\Lambda, sp)})$  for any subsets  $B_1, B_2$  of  $Y$ ;
- (5)  $[F^-(B_1) \cup F^+(B_2)]^{\alpha(\Lambda, sp)} \subseteq F^-(B_1^{(\Lambda, sp)}) \cup F^+(B_2^{(\Lambda, sp)})$  for any subsets  $B_1, B_2$  of  $Y$ ;

(6) for each point  $x \in X$ , for each  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $F(x)$  and for each  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood  $V_2$  which intersects  $F(x)$ ,  $F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)$  is an  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ ;

(7) for each point  $x \in X$ , for each  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $F(x)$  and for each  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood  $V_2$  which intersects  $F(x)$ , there exists an  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $F(U) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(z) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  for every  $z \in U$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $V_1, V_2$  be any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets of  $Y$  and let

$$x \in F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2).$$

Then,  $F(x) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ , by Theorem 3.4,

$$x \in [[F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{s(\Lambda, sp)}.$$

Thus,  $F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2) \subseteq [[F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{s(\Lambda, sp)}$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2) \in \alpha_{sp}O(X, \tau)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): This follows from the fact that  $F^+(Y - B) = X - F^-(B)$  and  $F^-(Y - B) = X - F^+(B)$  for every subset  $B$  of  $Y$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $B_1, B_2$  be any subsets of  $Y$ . Then,  $B_1^{(\Lambda, sp)}$  and  $B_2^{(\Lambda, sp)}$  are  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed in  $Y$ , by (3),  $F^-(B_1^{(\Lambda, sp)}) \cup F^+(B_2^{(\Lambda, sp)})$  is  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -open  $Y$ . Thus,

$$[[F^-(B_1^{(\Lambda, sp)}) \cup F^+(B_2^{(\Lambda, sp)})]^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{s(\Lambda, sp)} \subseteq F^-(B_1^{(\Lambda, sp)}) \cup F^+(B_2^{(\Lambda, sp)}).$$

Since  $F^-(B_1) \subseteq F^-(B_1^{(\Lambda, sp)})$  and  $F^+(B_2) \subseteq F^+(B_2^{(\Lambda, sp)})$ , we have

$$[[F^-(B_1) \cup F^+(B_2)]^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{s(\Lambda, sp)} \subseteq F^-(B_1^{(\Lambda, sp)}) \cup F^+(B_2^{(\Lambda, sp)}).$$

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): By Lemma 3.2, we have  $[[A^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{(\Lambda, sp)} = [A^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{s(\Lambda, sp)}$  for any subset  $A$  of  $X$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$A^{\alpha(\Lambda, sp)} = A \cup [[A^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{(\Lambda, sp)}]^{(\Lambda, sp)} = A \cup [A^{(\Lambda, sp)}]_{s(\Lambda, sp)}.$$

This completes the proof of the implication.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $K_1, K_2$  be any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed sets of  $Y$ . By (5), we have  $[F^-(K_1) \cup F^+(K_2)]^{\alpha(\Lambda, sp)} \subseteq F^-(K_1^{(\Lambda, sp)}) \cup F^+(K_2^{(\Lambda, sp)}) = F^-(K_1) \cup F^+(K_2)$  and hence  $F^-(K_1) \cup F^+(K_2)$  is  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed in  $X$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (6): Let  $x \in X$  and let  $V_1$  be a  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood of  $F(x)$ . Let  $V_2$  be a  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood which intersects  $F(x)$ . There exist  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  such that  $F(x) \subseteq U_1 \subseteq V_1$ ,  $U_2 \subseteq V_2$  and  $F(x) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $x \in F^+(U_1) \cap F^-(U_2) \subseteq F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2)$ . Since  $F^+(U_1) \cap F^-(U_2)$  is  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -open, we have  $F^+(U_1) \cap F^-(U_2)$  is an  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ .

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7): Let  $x \in X$  and let  $V_1$  be a  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood of  $F(x)$ . Let  $V_2$  be a  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood which intersects  $F(x)$ . Put

$$U = F^+(V_1) \cap F^-(V_2),$$

then  $U$  is an  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ ,  $F(U) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(z) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  for every  $z \in U$ .

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $x \in X$  and let  $V_1, V_2$  be any  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets of  $Y$  such that  $F(x) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(x) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Then,  $V_1$  is a  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood of  $F(x)$  and  $V_2$  is a  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood which intersects  $F(x)$ . There exists an  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $F(U) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(z) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  for every  $z \in U$ . Then, there exists  $W \in \alpha\Lambda_{sp}O(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in W \subseteq U$ ; hence  $F(W) \subseteq V_1$  and  $F(w) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  for every  $w \in W$ . This shows that  $F$  is  $\alpha(\Lambda, sp)$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** This research project was partially supported by Mahasarakham University.

## References

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, R. A. Mahmoud,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, *Bull. Fac. Assiut Univ.*, **12**, (1983), 77–90.
- [2] D. Andrijević, On  $b$ -open sets, *Mat. Vesnik*, **48**, (1996), 59–64.
- [3] C. Boonpok,  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closed sets and related topics in topological spaces, *WSEAS Tran. Math.*, **19**, (2020), 312–322.
- [4] C. Berge, *Espaces topologiques fonctions multivoques*, Dunod, Paris, 1959.
- [5] A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein, S. N. El-Deeb,  $\alpha$ -continuous and  $\alpha$ -open mappings, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **41**, (1983), 213–218.
- [6] T. Noiri, E. Hatir,  $\Lambda_{sp}$ -sets and some weak separation axioms, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **103**, no. 3, (2004), 225–232.

- [7] T. Noiri, On  $\alpha$ -continuous functions, *Časopis Pěst. Mat.*, **109**, (1984), 118–126.
- [8] T. Noiri, A function which preserves connected spaces, *Časopis Pěst. Mat.*, **107**, (1982), 393–396.
- [9] V. Popa, T. Noiri, Characterizations of  $\alpha$ -continuous multifunctions, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.*, **23**, no. 1, (1993), 29–38.