

On Generalized (m, n) -bi-ideals in LA-semigroups

Thiti Gaketem

Department of Mathematics
School of Science
University of Phayao
Phayao, 56000, Thailand

email: thiti.ga@up.ac.th

(Received January 2, 2022, Accepted February 17, 2022)

Abstract

Akram [1] introduced the notion of (m, n) -ideal of LA-semigroups. The purpose of this paper is to define semiprime, prime and strongly prime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal and study the relation among semiprime, prime and strongly prime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal in LA-semigroups.

1 Preliminaries and basic definitions

The left almost semigroup (LA-semigroup) was first introduced by Kazin and Naseerudin [1].

Definition 1.1. [1] A groupoid (S, \cdot) is called an *LA-semigroup* or an *AG-groupoid* if it satisfies the left invertible law

$$(a.b).c = (c.b).a, \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in S.$$

Definition 1.2. [1] An LA-semigroup S is called a *locally associative* LA-semigroup if it satisfies

$$(aa)a = a(aa), \quad \text{for all } a \in S.$$

Key words and phrases: LA-semigroup, ideal, (m, n) -bi-ideals, prime semiprime, strongly prime.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 16D25, 20M10, 20M99.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2022, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

Lemma 1.3. [3] An LA-semigroup S satisfies the medial law if

$$(ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd), \quad \text{for all } a, b, c, d \in S.$$

Definition 1.4. [5] An element $e \in S$ is called a *left identity* if $ea = a$, for all $a \in S$.

Lemma 1.5. [1] If S is an LA-semigroup with a left identity, then

$$a(bc) = b(ac), \quad \text{for all } a, b, c \in S.$$

Lemma 1.6. [3] An LA-semigroup S with a left identity satisfies the para-medial law if

$$(ab)(cd) = (dc)(ba), \quad \text{for all } a, b, c, d \in S.$$

Definition 1.7. [2] Let S be an LA-semigroup. A non-empty subset A of S is called an LA-subsemigroup of S if $AA \subseteq A$.

Definition 1.8. [2] A non-empty subset A of an LA-semigroup S is called a *left (right) ideal* of S if $SA \subseteq A$ ($AS \subseteq A$). As usual, A is called an *ideal* if it is both a left and a right ideal.

The notion of (m, n) -ideal of LA-semigroups was introduced by Akram [1]:

Definition 1.9. [1] A non-empty subset A of a semigroup S is called an (m, n) -ideal if A satisfies of relation

$$A^m SA^n \subseteq A,$$

where m, n are non-negative integers..

Definition 1.10. [2] Let S be an LA-semigroup. An LA-subsemigroup B of S is said to be a *bi-ideal* of S if $(BS)B \subseteq B$.

The concept (m, n) -bi-ideal of an LA-semigroup was introduced by Gaketem

Definition 1.11. Let S be an LA-semigroup. An LA-subsemigroup B of S is said to be (m, n) -bi-ideal of S if $(B^m S)B^n \subseteq B$, where m and n are arbitrary positive integers.

Note: The power B^m is canceled when $m = 0$; i.e., $(B^0 S) = S = (SB^0)$. Now we have the following definition:

Definition 1.12. Let S be an LA-semigroup. An LA-subsemigroup B of S is said to be $(m, 0)$ -bi-ideal of S if $(B^m S)B^0 \subseteq (B^m S) \subseteq B$ and $(0, n)$ -bi-ideal of S if $(B^0 S)B^n \subseteq (SB^n) \subseteq B$.

In other words, we can say that an $(m, 0)$ -bi-ideal of S is exactly the m -left-ideal and the $(0, n)$ -bi-ideal of S is exactly the n -right-ideal.

Here if $m = n = 1$, then B is called a generalized bi-ideal of S . If B is an LA-subsemigroup of S , then B is called an (m, n) -bi-ideal of S . If $m = n = 1$, then it is called a bi-ideal. We denote the collection of all generalized (m, n) -bi-ideals by $\overline{G(S)}$.

2 Main results

First, we define semiprime, prime, and strongly prime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal.

Definition 2.1. Let S be an LA-semigroup and let B be a (m, n) -bi-ideal. If $B \in \overline{G(S)}$, then B is called a semiprime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal if $\forall K \in \overline{G(S)}, K^2 \subseteq B \Rightarrow K \subseteq B$.

The semiprime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of S is denoted by $\overline{SePG(S)}$

Definition 2.2. Let S be an LA-semigroup and let B be a (m, n) -bi-ideal. If $B \in \overline{G(S)}$, then B is called a prime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal if $\forall K, L \in \overline{G(S)}, KL \subseteq B \Rightarrow K \subseteq B$ or $L \subseteq B$.

The prime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of S is denoted by $\overline{PG(S)}$

Definition 2.3. Let S be an LA-semigroup and let B be a (m, n) -bi-ideal. If $B \in \overline{G(S)}$, then B is called a strongly prime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal if $\forall K, L \in \overline{G(S)}, \emptyset \neq KL \cap LK \subseteq B \Rightarrow K \subseteq B$ or $L \subseteq B$.

The strongly prime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of S is denoted by $\overline{StPG(S)}$

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $\overline{StPG(S)}$ denote a strongly prime, $\overline{PG(S)}$ denote a prime, and $\overline{SePG(S)}$ denote the sets of semiprime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal. Then we have the relation $\overline{StPG(S)} \subseteq \overline{PG(S)} \subseteq \overline{SePG(S)} \subseteq \overline{G(S)}$.

Proof. Suppose that B is a strongly prime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of an LA-semigroup S . We must show that $\overline{StPG(S)} \subseteq \overline{PG(S)}$. Let $B \in \overline{StPG(S)}$. Since B is strongly prime, we have $C \subseteq B$ or $D \subseteq B, \forall C, D \in \overline{G(S)}$. Then B is prime. Thus $\overline{StPG(S)} \subseteq \overline{PG(S)}$.

Suppose that B is a prime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of an LA-semigroup S . We must show that $\overline{PG(S)} \subseteq \overline{SePG(S)}$. Let $C^2 \in B$. Then $CC \subseteq B$. Thus $C \subseteq B$. Therefore, B is semiprime.

Suppose that B is a semiprime generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of an LA-semigroup S . We must show that $\overline{SePG(S)} \subseteq \overline{G(S)}$. Let $B \in \overline{G(S)}$. Since B is a semiprime, we have $B \in \overline{G(S)}$. So $\overline{SePG(S)} \subseteq \overline{G(S)}$.

Therefore, we have the relation $\overline{StPG(S)} \subseteq \overline{PG(S)} \subseteq \overline{SePG(S)} \subseteq \overline{G(S)}$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *An arbitrary intersection of generalized (m, n) -bi-ideals is again a generalized bi-ideal.*

Proof. Since $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ is a family of generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of an LA-semigroup S , the intersection of an LA-subsemigroup is an LA-subsemigroup. Next, we show that $B = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ is an (m, n) -bi-ideal of S . It suffices to prove that $(B^m S)B^n \subseteq B$. Let $x \in (B^m S)B^n$. Then $x = (b_1^m s)b_2^n$, for some $b_1^m, b_2^n \in B$ and $s \in S$. Thus, for any arbitrary $i \in I$, $b_1^m, b_2^n \in B_i$. So $x \in (B_i^m S)B_i^n$. Since B_i is a generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of S , we have $(B_i^m S)B_i^n \subseteq B_i$. Then $x \in B_i$. Since i was chosen arbitrarily, $x \in B_i$ for all $i \in I$. Hence $x \in B$. So $(B^m S)B^n \subseteq B$. Hence $B = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$ is an (m, n) -bi-ideal of S . \square

Theorem 2.6. *An arbitrary intersection of (m, n) -bi-ideals is again a bi-ideal.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one above with the obvious difference that $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ is a family of (m, n) -bi-ideal of an LA-semigroup S . \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let S be an LA-semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $B^2 = B$, for every choice of generalized bi-ideal B from $\overline{G(S)}$ of S .
- (2) $K \cap L = KL \cap LK$, for all bi-ideals K, L of S
- (3) Each collection of generalized bi-ideals $\overline{G(S)}$ of S is semiprime

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let K and L be any two generalized bi-ideals of an LA-semigroup S . Then, from our first hypothesis, we have

$$K \cap L = (K \cap L)^2 = (K \cap L)(K \cap L) \subseteq KL.$$

In a similar way, one can show that $K \cap L \subseteq LK$. Thus $(K \cap L) \subseteq KL \cap LK$.

Here both KL and LK are generalized bi-ideals as they are the product of two generalized bi-ideals. Moreover, $KL \cap LK$ is also a generalized bi-ideal. Therefore,

$$KL \cap LK = (KL \cap LK)^2 = (KL \cap LK)(KL \cap LK) \subseteq KL.LK \subseteq KSK \subseteq K.$$

Similarly, one can show that $KL \cap LK \subseteq L$. Thus $KL \cap LK \subseteq (K \cap L)$.

Hence $K \cap L = KL \cap LK$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) To show this assertion, we let K and B be any two generalized bi-ideal of S from the collection $\overline{G(S)}$ such that $K^2 \subseteq A$. Therefore, by hypothesis, we have $K = K \cap K = KK \cap KK = K^2$ and hence $K \subseteq B$. As a result, every generalized bi-ideal of S is semiprime.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let B be a generalized bi-ideal of S from the collection $\overline{G(S)}$. If $B^2 = S$ (that is $B^2 = B$), then it is obvious that B is an idempotent. If $B^2 \neq S$, then B^2 is a proper generalized bi-ideal of S which contains B^2 and therefore, by hypothesis, $B^2 = \cap_a \{ \overline{(G(S))}_a : \overline{G(S)}$ is the collection of all the generalized bi-ideals $\}$. Since each $\overline{(G(S))}_a$ is a semiprime generalized bi-ideal, $B \subseteq \overline{(G(S))}_a$, for all a and so $B \subseteq \overline{(G(S))}_a = B^2$. Hence each generalized bi-ideal in S is idempotent; i.e., $B^2 = B$. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let $ab \in \{a, b\}S\{a, b\}$, for every choice of a and b in an LA-semigroup S . Then every generalized bi-ideal of S is a bi-ideal of S .*

Proof. Let B be a generalized bi-ideal. Then B is a nonempty subset such that $BSB \subseteq B$.

Now, we want to show that B is an LA-subsemigroup. Let $a, b \in B$. Then, as $ab \in \{a, b\}S\{a, b\}$ and $\{a, b\} \subseteq A$, we have $ab \in \{a, b\}S\{a, b\} \subseteq BSB \subseteq B$. So B is an LA-subsemigroup and hence is a bi-ideal of S . \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let $ab \in \{a, b\}S\{a, b\}$, for every choice of a and b in an LA-semigroup S . Then every generalized (m, n) bi-ideal of S containing the zero element is an (m, n) -bi-ideal of S .*

Proof. Let B be a generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of S . Then B is non-empty subset such that $B^mSB^n \subseteq B$. We want to show that B is an LA-subsemigroup. Let $a, b \in B$. Then $ab \in \{a, b\}S\{a, b\}$. Now, $a, b \subseteq S$ implies that $\{a, b\} \subseteq B^m$ since B has the zero element. Similarly, $\{a, b\} \subseteq B^n$. Therefore, $ab \in B^mSB^n \subseteq B$ and hence B is an (m, n) -bi-ideal of S . \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let S an LA-semigroup. Suppose that every generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of S is an (m, n) -bi-ideal of S . Then $a^mb^m \in \{a^m, b^n\}S\{a^m, b^n\}$, for every choice of a and b in an LA-semigroup S .*

Proof. Suppose $a, b \in S$. Now, consider the generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal generated by the subset $\{a^m, b^n\}$ of S . By definition, it is the set B such that $B = \cap_{a \in I} N_a$, where N_a is a generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal containing a^m, b^n . We claim that $B = \{a^m, b^n\} \cup \{a^m, b^n\}S\{a^m, b^n\}$. Clearly, B contains $\{a^m, b^n\}$.

Now, suppose that N is some other generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal containing $\{a^m, b^n\}$. To prove our claim, it is sufficient to show that $A \subseteq N$. Let $x = a^m$, for some $s \in S$. This is because if $x = a^m$ or $x = b^m$, then clearly $x \in N$. Now, as N is a generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal, $N^m S N^n \subseteq N$, $a^m s b^n \subseteq N$. Hence, $x \in N$ and $B \subseteq N$.

Now, $\{a^m, b^n\} \cup \{a^m, b^n\}S\{a^m, b^n\}$ is also a generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal containing $\{a^m, b^n\}$. It follows that $B = \{a^m, b^n\} \cup \{a^m, b^n\}S\{a^m, b^n\}$. Since every generalized (m, n) -bi-ideal of S is an (m, n) -bi-ideal of S , $a^m b^n$ is a generated element of the set $\{a^m, b^n\}$ and so $a^m, b^n \in B$. As a^m, b^n does not belong to $\{a^m, b^n\}$ $a^m, b^n \in \{a^m, b^n\}S\{a^m, b^n\}$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to the anonymous referee for stimulating comments and improving the presentation of this paper.

References

- [1] M. Akram, N. Yaqood, M. Khan, On (m, n) -ideals in LA-semigroups, *Applied Mathematical Science*, **7**, (2013), 2187–2191.
- [2] Madad Khan, Venus Amjid, Faisal, Ideals in intra-regular left almost semigroups, *arXiv:1012.5598v1 [math.GR]*, (2010), 1–10.
- [3] Madad Khan, Faisal, Venus Amjid, On some classes of Abel-Grassmann's groupoids, *arXiv:1010.5965v2 [math.GR]*, **2**, (2010), 1–6.
- [4] M. Sarwar (Kamran), *Conditions for LA-semigroup to resemble associative structures*, PhD Thesis, Quaid-i-Azam University, 1993.
- [5] M. Shabir, S Naz, *Pure spectrum of an AG-groupoid with left identity and zero*, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, **17**, (2012), 1759–1768.
- [6] Q. Mushtaq, M. Khan, M-System in LA-semigroups, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **33**, (2009), 321–327.