

## On $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected spaces

Nongluk Viriyapong, Chawalit Boonpok

Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Research Unit  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Mahasarakham University  
Maha Sarakham, 44150, Thailand

email: nongluk.h@msu.ac.th, chawalit.b@msu.ac.th

(Received November 7, 2022, Accepted December 26, 2022,  
Published January 23, 2023)

### Abstract

We introduce the notion of  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected spaces and investigate several characterizations of such spaces.

## 1 Introduction

The notion of extremally disconnected topological spaces was introduced by Gillman and Jerison [3]. Thompson [9] introduced the notion of  $S$ -closed spaces. Niori [7] introduced the concept of locally  $S$ -closed spaces which is strictly weaker than that of  $S$ -closed spaces. Noiri [6] showed that every locally  $S$ -closed weakly Hausdorff space is extremally disconnected. Sivaraj [8] investigated some characterizations of extremally disconnected spaces by utilizing semi-open sets. Noiri [5] investigated several characterizations of extremally disconnected spaces by utilizing preopen sets and semi-preopen sets. Mashhour et al. [4] introduced and investigated the concepts of pre-open sets and preclosed sets. Ganster et al. [2] introduced the notions of a pre- $\Lambda$ -set and a pre- $V$ -set in topological spaces and investigated the fundamental properties of pre- $\Lambda$ -sets and pre- $V$ -sets. In [1], the present authors

---

**Key words and phrases:**  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set,  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected space.

Nongluk Viriyapong is the corresponding author.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 54A05, 54G05.

**ISSN** 1814-0432, 2023, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

introduced the notions of  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets and  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets which are defined by utilizing the notions of  $\Lambda_p$ -sets and preclosed sets. In this paper, we introduce the concept of  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected spaces and discuss several characterizations of such spaces.

## 2 Preliminaries

For a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\text{Cl}(A)$  and  $\text{Int}(A)$ , represent the closure and the interior of  $A$ , respectively. A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *preopen* [4] if  $A \subseteq \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$ . The complement of a preopen set is called *preclosed*. The family of all preopen sets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $PO(X, \tau)$ . A subset  $\Lambda_p(A)$  [2] is defined as follows:  $\Lambda_p(A) = \bigcap \{U \mid A \subseteq U, U \in PO(X, \tau)\}$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\Lambda_p$ -set [1] (*pre- $\Lambda$ -set* [2]) if  $A = \Lambda_p(A)$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed [1] if  $A = T \cap C$ , where  $T$  is a  $\Lambda_p$ -set and  $C$  is a preclosed set. The complement of a  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set is called  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open. The family of all  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open (resp.  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed) sets in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\Lambda_p O(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $\Lambda_p C(X, \tau)$ ). Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a  $(\Lambda, p)$ -cluster point [1] of  $A$  if  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for every  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ . The set of all  $(\Lambda, p)$ -cluster points of  $A$  is called the  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closure [1] of  $A$  and is denoted by  $A^{(\Lambda, p)}$ . The union of all  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets contained in  $A$  is called the  $(\Lambda, p)$ -interior [1] of  $A$  and is denoted by  $A_{(\Lambda, p)}$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *s* $(\Lambda, p)$ -open (resp. *p* $(\Lambda, p)$ -open,  *$\beta$*  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open, *r* $(\Lambda, p)$ -open) [1] if  $A \subseteq [A_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}$  (resp.  $A \subseteq [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ ,  $A \subseteq [[A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}$ ,  $A = [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ ). A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\alpha(\Lambda, p)$ -open if  $A \subseteq [[A_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ .

## 3 On $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected spaces

We begin this section by introducing the notion of  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected if  $V^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open in  $X$  for every  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set  $V$  of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected.
- (2)  $A_{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed for every  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set  $A$  of  $X$ .
- (3)  $[A_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ .
- (4) Every  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set is  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open.
- (5) The  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closure of every  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open set of  $X$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open.
- (6) Every  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open set is  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open.
- (7) For every subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $A$  is  $\alpha(\Lambda, p)$ -open if and only if  $A$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $A$  be a  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed set. Then  $X - A$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open, by (1),  $[X - A]^{(\Lambda, p)} = X - A_{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open. Thus  $A_{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$ . Then  $X - A_{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed, by (2),  $[X - A_{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed and hence  $[A_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open. Thus,  $[A_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $A$  be a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. By (3),  $A \subseteq [A_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, sp)} \subseteq [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ . Thus  $A$  is  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Let  $A$  be a  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then  $A^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open, by (4),  $A^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open. Thus  $A^{(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$  and hence  $A^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6): Let  $A$  be a  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. By (5),  $A^{(\Lambda, p)} = [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ . Thus  $A \subseteq A^{(\Lambda, p)} = [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$  and hence  $A$  is  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7): Let  $A$  be a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then  $A$  is  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open, by (6),  $A$  is  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open. Since  $A$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open and  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open, we have  $A$  is  $\alpha(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $A$  be a  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then  $A^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open, by (7),  $A^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $\alpha(\Lambda, p)$ -open. Thus  $A^{(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq [[A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)} = [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$  and hence  $A^{(\Lambda, p)} = [A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ . Therefore,  $A^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open. This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected.
- (2) For every  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , there exist disjoint  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets  $F$  and  $H$  such that  $U \subseteq F$  and  $V \subseteq H$ .

(3)  $U^{(\Lambda, p)} \cap V^{(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$  for every  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

(4)  $[[A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)} \cap U^{(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$  and every  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set  $U$  such that  $A \cap U = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $U$  and  $V$  be  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then  $U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  and  $X - U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  are disjoint  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets containing  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $U$  and  $V$  be  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open sets such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . By (2), there exist disjoint  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets  $F$  and  $H$  such that  $U \subseteq F$  and  $V \subseteq H$ . Thus  $U^{(\Lambda, p)} \cap V^{(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq F \cap H = \emptyset$  and hence  $U^{(\Lambda, p)} \cap V^{(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$  and  $U$  be a  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set such that  $A \cap U = \emptyset$ . Since  $[A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open and  $[A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)} \cap U = \emptyset$ , by (3),  $[[A^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)} \cap U^{(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $U$  be a  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then  $(X - U^{(\Lambda, p)}) \cap U = \emptyset$ . Since  $X - U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open and by (4),  $[[U^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)} \cap [X - U^{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)} = \emptyset$ . Since  $U$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open, we have  $U^{(\Lambda, p)} \cap [X - [U^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}] = \emptyset$  and hence  $U^{(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq [U^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ . Thus  $U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open. This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected.
- (2) The  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closure of every  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set of  $X$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open.
- (3) The  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closure of every  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open set of  $X$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open.
- (4) The  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closure of every  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -open set of  $X$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $U$  be a  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then,  $U$  is  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open, by Theorem 3.2,  $U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $U$  be a  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then, we have  $U$  is  $s(\Lambda, p)$ -open. Thus, by (2),  $U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $U$  be a  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then  $[U^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -open and hence  $[[U^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open. Thus  $U^{(\Lambda, p)} \subseteq [[U^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)} = [[[U^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}]^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)} = [U^{(\Lambda, p)}]_{(\Lambda, p)}$ . Therefore,  $U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open. This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -extremally disconnected.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $U$  be a  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then  $U$  is  $\beta(\Lambda, p)$ -open. By Theorem 3.2,  $U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $U$  be a  $r(\Lambda, p)$ -open set. Then  $U$  is  $p(\Lambda, p)$ -open and by (3),  $U^{(\Lambda, p)}$  is  $(\Lambda, p)$ -open.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** This research project was financially supported by Mahasarakham University.

## References

- [1] C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, On  $(\Lambda, p)$ -closed sets and the related notions in topological spaces, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **15**, no. 2, (2022), 415–436.
- [2] M. Ganster, S. Jafari, T. Noiri, On pre- $\Lambda$ -sets and pre- $V$ -sets. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **95**, (2002), 337–343.
- [3] L. Gillman, M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Univ. Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, New York, 1960.
- [4] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, **53**, (1982), 47–53.
- [5] T. Noiri, Characterizations of extremally disconnected spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **19**, (1988), 325–329.
- [6] T. Noiri, A note on extremally disconnected spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **79**, no. 2, (1980), 327–330.
- [7] T. Noiri, On  $S$ -closed subspaces, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.*, **64**, (1978), 273–285.
- [8] D. Sivaraj, A note on extremally disconnected spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **17**, (1986), 1373–1375.
- [9] T. Thompson,  $S$ -closed spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **60**, (1976), 335–338.