International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, **18**(2023), no. 4, 767–771



Geodetic Bounds in Graphs

Aldison M. Asdain¹, Jeffrey Imer C. Salim², Rosalio G. Artes Jr.²

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics College of Science and Mathematics Western Mindanao State University San Jose Road, 7000 Zamboanga City, Philippines

²Mathematics and Sciences Department College of Arts and Sciences Mindanao State University Tawi-Tawi College of Technology and Oceanography Sanga-Sanga, 7500 Bongao, Tawi-Tawi, Philippines

email: asdain.aldison@wmsu.edu.ph, jeffreyimersalim@msutawi-tawi.edu.ph, rosalioartes@msutawi-tawi.edu.ph

> (Received May 1, 2023, Accepted May 27, 2023, Published May 31, 2023)

Abstract

Let G be a connected graph. A subset S of V(G) is said to be geodetically bounded if there exist $u, v \in V(G)$ such that $S \subseteq I_G[u, v]$. The geodetic bounding number of G is the cardinality of the maximum geodetically bounded subset of V(G). In this paper, we establish the properties of the geodetic bounding number of a graph as well as the geodetic bounding number of some special graphs.

1 Introduction

In the year 2000, Chartrand et al. [1] studied geodetic sets in graphs. For two vertices u and v in a graph G, a u-v geodesic in G is the shortest path

Key words and phrases: Geodetic bounds, geodetic bounding number. AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 05C12, 05C35, 68R10. ISSN 1814-0432, 2023, http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net joining u and v in G. The geodetic closure of $\{u, v\}$ in G is the set $I_G[u, v] = \{u, v\} \cup \{y : y \text{ lies in a } u \text{-} v \text{ path in } G\}$. The geodetic closure of a subset S of V(G) is the set $I_G[S] = \bigcup_{u,v \in S} I_G[u, v]$. A subset S of V(G) is said to be a

geodetic set if $I_G[S] = V(G)$. The minimum cardinality of a geodetic set in G is called the geodetic number of G and is denoted by g(G).

The distance $d_G(u, v)$ between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G is the length of the shortest u-v path in G. For a vertex v of G, the eccentricity $e_G(v)$ of a vertex v in G is the distance between v and the vertex farthest from v in G. The maximum eccentricity is the *diameter* of G denoted by diam(G).

A subset S of V(G) is said to be geodetically bounded if there exists $(u, v) \in V(G) \times V(G)$ such that $S \subseteq I_G[u, v]$. In this case, the vertices u and v are geodetic bounds for S. If V(G) is a geodetically bounded set, then we say that G is a geodetically bounded graph. The geodetic bounding number of G is the cardinality of the maximum geodetically bounded subset of V(G). In symbol,

$$\Gamma_{gbn}(G) = \max\{|S| : S \text{ is geodetically bounded in } G\}$$
$$= \max\{|I_G[u, v]| : u, v \in V(G)\}.$$

Graphs considered in this study are simple, connected, and undirected. For standard terminologies and notations, the readers may refer to [2, 3].

2 Properties and Existence

Remark 2.1. A geodetic set may not be geodetically bounded. Moreover, a geodetically bounded set may not be a geodetic set.

On the other hand, for $n \ge 3$, $g(K_n) = n$. Hence, the geodetic set for K_n is $V(K_n)$. Moreover, $V(K_n)$ is not geodetically bounded.

Every singleton set is geodetically bounded. Indeed, if $S = \{u\}$, then $I_G[u, u] = \{u\} = S$. In this case, if G is non-trivial and noncomplete, then S is not a geodetic set.

Every pair of vertices $\{u, v\}$ such that $uv \in E(G)$ is a geodetically bounded set. In fact, $I_G[u, v] = \{u, v\}$.

Remark 2.2. For every $u, v \in V(G)$, $dist_G(u, v) \leq |I_G[u, v]| - 1$ and equality holds if and only if the path joining u and v in G is unique.

The next result establishes the sharp bounds for the geodetic bounding number of graphs.

Theorem 2.3. For a nontrivial connected graph G,

$$\Gamma_{gbn}(G) \ge diam(G) + 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. The lower bound is attained by paths.

The following can be verified easily.

Example 2.4. For $n \ge 2$,

- 1. $\Gamma_{abn}(K_n) = 2.$
- 2. $\Gamma_{abn}(P_n) = n$.

The following result establishes the properties of geodetically bounded sets and geodetic bounds of graphs.

Lemma 2.5. A geodetically bounded set S of G whose cardinality corresponds to the geodetic bounding number of G contains its geodetic bounds.

The result below gives the exact value for the geodetic bounding number of trees.

Theorem 2.6. Let T_n be a tree of order $n \ge 2$. Then $\Gamma_{gbn}(T_n) = 1 + diam(T_n)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, $\Gamma_{gbn}(T_n) \ge 1 + diam(T_n)$. Note that, for every pair of vertices $\{u, v\}$, the u - v geodesic in T_n is unique. Taking the maximum of any geodetic closure of pairs of vertices gives one less than the diameter of T_n . The result now follows.

The following lemma characterizes the geodetically bounded sets in the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$.

Lemma 2.7. Let m and n be natural numbers with $m, n \geq 2$ and $K_{m,n} = \overline{K_m} \oplus \overline{K_n}$. A nontrivial subset S of $V(K_{m,n})$ is geodetically bounded in $K_{m,n}$ if and only if it satisfies one of the following:

- (i) $S = S_1 \cup S_2$, where $S_1 \subseteq V(\overline{K_m})$, $S_2 \subseteq V(\overline{K_n})$ and $|S_1| = |S_2| = 1$;
- (ii) $S = S_1 \cup V(\overline{K_n})$, where $S_1 \subseteq V(\overline{K_m})$ and $|S_1| = 2$; or

(*iii*)
$$S = V(\overline{K_m}) \cup S_2$$
, where $S_2 \subseteq V(\overline{K_n})$ and $|S_1| = 2$.

Proof. Let S be a geodetically bounded set. Then there exist $u, v \in V(K_{m,n})$ such that $S \subseteq I_{K_{m,n}}[u, v]$. Consider the following cases:

Case 1: $u \in V(\overline{K_m})$ and $v \in V(\overline{K_n})$. In this case, $I_{K_{m,n}}[u, v] = \{u, v\}$ since $uv \in E(K_{m,n})$. Then (i) holds.

Case 2: $u, v \in V(\overline{K_m})$. Then $I_{K_{m,n}}[u, v] = \{u, v\} \cup V(\overline{K_n})$ and (ii) is satisfied.

Case 3: $u, v \in V(\overline{K_n})$. This is similar to Case 2 and *(iii)* follows. The converse is clear.

The following establishes the geodetic bounding number of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$.

Theorem 2.8. For $m, n \ge 2$, $\Gamma_{gbn}(K_{m,n}) = 2 + \max\{m, n\}$.

Proof. Let S be a geodetically bounded set in $K_{m,n}$. Then it satisfies one of the conditions in Lemma 2.7. If S satisfies Lemma 2.7 (i), then |S| = 2. If S satisfies Lemma 2.7 (ii), then |S| = 2 + n. If S satisfies Lemma 2.7 (iii), then |S| = 2 + m. The result follows by taking the maximum of the three cases.

Define the *parity indicator function* on \mathbb{N} as the function defined by

$$i_p(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & , & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & , & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.9. For $n \ge 4$,

$$\Gamma_{gbn}(C_n) = n[i_p(n)] + \frac{n+1}{2}[1-i_p(n)].$$

Proof. Let $C_n = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, v_1]$. Suppose *n* is even. Take $u = v_1$ and $v = v_{n/2}$. Then $I_{C_n}[u, v] = V(C_n)$. Hence, $\Gamma_{gbn}(C_n) = n$.

Suppose n is odd. Take $u = v_1$ and $v = v_{(n+1)/2}$. Then $I_{C_n}[u, v] = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{(n+1)/2}]$. Moreover, this choice of u and v gives the maximum geodetic closure.

The result follows.

The following result assures the existence of a geodetically bounded graph of all orders.

Theorem 2.10. For every natural number n, there exists a geodetically bounded graph G of order n.

Proof. Take $G = P_n$.

The existence of graphs with prescribed order and geodetic bounding number is established in the next result.

Theorem 2.11. Let n and k be natural numbers such that $2 \le k < n$. Then there exists a graph G of order n with geodetic bounding number equal to k.

Proof. For k = 2, take $G = K_n$. For $3 \le k \le n$, take $P_k = [v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k]$ and then attach n - k vertices to v_2 . The resulting graph is a tree of diameter k - 1 and geodetic bounding number equal to k.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to acknowledge the support of Western Mindanao State University and Mindanao State University-Tawi-Tawi College of Technology and Oceanography for the resources provided which were necessary in conducting and completing this paper and for the publication fee assistance granted to the authors.

References

- G. Chartrand, F. Harary, P. Zhang. Geodetic Sets in Graphs. Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory, 20, (2000), 129–138.
- [2] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak. Graphs and Digraphs, 3rd edition, Chapman & Hall, New York, 1996.
- [3] F. Harary. Graph Theory. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2018.
- [4] W. Goddard, O.R. Oellermann. Distance in Graphs. In: M. Dehmer, (ed.) Structural Analysis of Complex Networks, Birkhäuser Boston, 2011.