International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, **19**(2024), no. 2, 377–380

# 

# On the Positive Integer Solutions of $p^x + p^y = z^2$ in the Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers, where p is Prime

#### Suton Tadee

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science and Technology Thepsatri Rajabhat University Lopburi 15000, Thailand

email: suton.t@lawasri.tru.ac.th

(Received September 2, 2023, Accepted October 3, 2023, Published November 10, 2023)

#### Abstract

In 2019, Burshtein [1] showed that the equation  $p^x + p^y = z^2$  has no positive integer solution, except for p = 2, 3. For p = 2, all solutions of the equation in the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers was investigated by Hashim [2]. In this paper, we prove that  $(x, y, z) = (F_5, L_3, L_6)$  is the unique solution of the equation, when p = 3.

### 1 Introduction

Let p be prime and x, y, z be positive integers with  $x \leq y$ . In 2019, Burshtein [1] found that the Diophantine equation  $p^x + p^y = z^2$  has no positive integer solution, except for p = 2, 3. In 2023, Hashim [2] studied all solutions of the equation in the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, when p = 2. In this paper, we find all solutions of the equation in the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, when p = 3. In other words, we solve the following equations:

$$3^{F_i} + 3^{F_j} = F_k^2, (1.1)$$

Key words: Diophantine equation, Fibonacci number, Lucas number. AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 11D61, 11B39. ISSN 1814-0432, 2024, http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net

S. Tadee

$$3^{F_i} + 3^{F_j} = L_k^2, (1.2)$$

$$3^{L_i} + 3^{L_j} = F_k^2, (1.3)$$

$$3^{L_i} + 3^{L_j} = L_k^2, (1.4)$$

$$3^{F_i} + 3^{L_j} = F_k^2, (1.5)$$

$$3^{F_i} + 3^{L_j} = L_k^2, (1.6)$$

where the indices i, j, k are positive integers and  $F_n, L_n$  represent the *n*th terms of the Fibonacci and Lucas sequences, respectively, that are defined by the initial values  $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$  and  $L_0 = 2, L_1 = 1$  and the recurrence relations  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$  and  $L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}$ , where  $n \ge 2$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

**Theorem 2.1.** [1] All positive integer solutions of the equation  $3^x + 3^y = z^2$ are given by  $(x, y, z) = (2n, 2n + 1, 2 \cdot 3^n)$ , where n is a positive integer.

**Proposition 2.2.** [3] Let n be a positive integer. Then

- 1.  $F_{n+1} + F_{n-1} = L_n$ ,
- 2.  $F_{n+2} F_{n-2} = L_n$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** If *i* is a positive integer with  $i \ge 7$ , then  $F_i \ge L_j + 2$ , for all positive integer  $j \le i - 2$ .

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on i. It easy to see that  $F_7 \ge L_j+2$ , for all positive integer  $j \le 5$ . Suppose that  $F_i \ge L_j+2$ , for all positive integer  $j \le i-2$ . Then  $F_{i+1} \ge F_i \ge L_j+2$ , for all positive integer  $j \le i-2$ . It remains only to consider case j = i-1. By Proposition 2.2 (2) and  $i \ge 7$ , we have  $L_j + 2 = L_{i-1} + 2 = F_{i+1} - F_{i-3} + 2 \le F_{i+1} - 1 < F_{i+1}$ .

#### 3 Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** The equation  $3^x + 3^y = z^2$  has only one positive integer solution in the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers; i.e.,  $(x, y, z) = (F_5, L_3, L_6)$ .

378

On the Positive Integer Solutions of  $p^x + p^y = z^2 \dots$  379

Proof. Consider (1.1) and (1.2). Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $F_i \leq F_j$ . By Theorem 2.1, we have  $F_i = 2n$  and  $F_j = 2n + 1$ , for some positive integer n. Then i = 3 and j = 4. From (1.1) and (1.2), we get  $F_k = L_k = 6$ , which is a contradiction. Next, we consider (1.3) and (1.4). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $L_i \leq L_j$ . By Theorem 2.1, we obtain  $L_i = 2n$  and  $L_j = 2n + 1$ , for some positive integer n. Then  $L_j - L_i = 1$ . Thus i = 2 and j = 3. This implies that 3 = 2n, which is also a contradiction. Then (1.1)-(1.4) have no solution.

Finally, we consider (1.5) and (1.6). Assume that  $i \leq j$ . Then  $F_i \leq F_j \leq L_j$ . By Theorem 2.1, we obtain  $F_i = 2n$  and  $L_j = 2n + 1$ , for some positive integer n. Therefore,  $L_j = F_i + 1$ . By Proposition 2.2 (1) and  $i \leq j$ , we have  $2F_{j-1} - 1 = F_i - F_j \leq 0$ . Thus j = 1, n = 0, and so i = 0. This is impossible, since i > 0. Then i > j. For  $i \geq 7$ , we consider the following two cases:

**Case 1.**  $F_i \leq L_j$ . By Theorem 2.1, we obtain  $F_i = 2n$  and  $L_j = 2n + 1$ , for some positive integer n. Then  $L_j = F_i + 1$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.3, we have j = i - 1, and so  $L_{i-1} = F_i + 1$ . By Proposition 2.2 (1), we get  $F_{i-2} = 1$ . This is impossible, since  $i \geq 7$ .

**Case 2.**  $F_i > L_j$ . By Theorem 2.1, we obtain  $F_i = 2n + 1$  and  $L_j = 2n$ , for some positive integer n. Therefore  $F_i = L_j + 1$ . By Lemma 2.3, we see that j = i - 1. Then  $F_i = L_{i-1} + 1$ . By Proposition 2.2 (1), we get  $F_{i-2} = -1$ , a contradiction.

Thus i < 7. Since i > j, we get j < 7. It easy to check that (1.5) has no solution and (1.6) has only one solution. That is  $(x, y, z) = (F_5, L_3, L_6)$ .

### Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the reviewers for their careful reading of this manuscript and the valuable suggestions and corrections made.

This work was supported by Research and Development Institute, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thepsatri Rajabhat University, Thailand.

# References

- [1] N. Burshtein, All the Solutions of the Diophantine Equations  $p^x + p^y = z^2$ and  $p^x - p^y = z^2$  when  $p \ge 2$  is Prime, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **19**, no. 2, (2019), 111–119.
- [2] H. R. Hashim, On the Solutions of 2<sup>x</sup> + 2<sup>y</sup> = z<sup>2</sup> in the Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers, Journal of Prime Research in Mathematics, 19, no. 1, (2023), 27–33.
- [3] N. N. Vorobiev, *Fibonacci Numbers*, Translated from the 6th (1992) edition by Mircea Martin, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (2002), 178 pp.

#### 380