International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, **19**(2024), no. 3, 827–832



# **On** $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$ bitopological spaces

Butsakorn Kong-ied<sup>1</sup>, Supannee Sompong<sup>2</sup>, Chawalit Boonpok<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Research Unit Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Mahasarakham University Maha Sarakham, 44150, Thailand

> <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics Faculty of Science and Technology Sakon Nakhon Rajbhat University Sakon Nakhon, 47000, Thailand

email: butsakorn.k@msu.ac.th, s\_sompong@snru.ac.th, chawalit.b@msu.ac.th

(Received December 20, 2023, Accepted February 1, 2024, Published February 12, 2024)

#### Abstract

In this paper, we deal with the notion of  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  bitopological spaces. Moreover, some characterizations of  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  bitopological spaces are investigated.

### 1 Introduction

Davis [7] introduced the notion of a separation axiom called  $R_1$ . Shanin [18] studied the notion of  $R_0$  topological spaces. These notions are further investigated by Naimpally [16], Dube [11] and Dorsett [8]. Murdeshwar and Naimpally [15] and Dube [10] studied some properties of the class of  $R_1$  topological spaces. As natural generalizations of the separations axioms  $R_0$  and  $R_1$ , the notions of semi- $R_0$  and semi- $R_1$  spaces were introduced and studied

Key words and phrases:  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set,  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  space. AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 54D10, 54E55. The corresponding author is Butsakorn Kong-ied. ISSN 1814-0432, 2024, http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net by Maheshwari and Prasad [14] and Dorsett [9]. Caldas et al. [6] introduced and studied two new weak separation axioms called  $\Lambda_{\theta}$ - $R_0$  and  $\Lambda_{\theta}$ - $R_1$  by using the notions of  $(\Lambda, \theta)$ -open sets and the  $(\Lambda, \theta)$ -closure operator. Cammaroto and Noiri [5] defined a weak separation axiom m- $R_0$  in m-spaces which are equivalent to generalized topological spaces due to Lugojan [13]. Noiri [17] introduced the notion of m- $R_1$  spaces and investigated several characterizations of m- $R_0$  spaces and m- $R_1$  spaces. Thongmoon and Boonpok [20] introduced and studied the notion of  $(\Lambda, p)$ - $R_1$  topological spaces. Furthermore, some characterizations of sober  $\delta p(\Lambda, s)$ - $R_0$  spaces were investigated in [19]. In [1], the authors introduced and studied the notions of  $\delta s(\Lambda, s)$ - $R_0$  spaces and  $\delta s(\Lambda, s)$ - $R_1$  spaces. Moreover, several characterizations of  $\Lambda_p$ - $R_0$  spaces and  $(\Lambda, s)$ - $R_0$  spaces were presented in [3] and [2], respectively. In this paper, we introduce the notion of  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  bitopological spaces. In particular, some characterizations of  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  bitopological spaces. The spaces are discussed.

### **2** Preliminaries

Let A be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . The closure of A and the interior of A with respect to  $\tau_i$  are denoted by  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A) and  $\tau_i$ -Int(A), respectively, for i = 1, 2. A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -closed [4] if  $A = \tau_1$ -Cl( $\tau_2$ -Cl(A)). The complement of a  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -closed set is called  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open. The intersection of all  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -closed sets of X containing A is called the  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -closure [4] of A and is denoted by  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -Cl(A). The union of all  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open sets of X contained in A is called the  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -interior [4] of A and is denoted by  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -Int(A). The set  $\cap \{G \mid A \subseteq G \text{ and } G \text{ is } \tau_1 \tau_2$ -open} is called the  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -kernel [4] of A and is denoted by  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -ker(A).

**Lemma 2.1.** [4] For subsets A, B of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following properties hold:

- (1)  $A \subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2$ -ker(A).
- (2) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -ker $(A) \subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2$ -ker(B).
- (3) If A is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open, then  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -ker(A) = A.
- (4)  $x \in \tau_1 \tau_2$ -ker(A) if and only if  $A \cap H \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -closed set H containing x.

## **3** Characterizations of $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$ spaces

In this section, we introduce the notion of  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  spaces. Moreover, some characterizations of  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  spaces are discussed.

**Definition 3.1.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  if for each points x and y in X with  $\tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\{x\}) \neq \tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\{y\})$ , there exist disjoint  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets U and V such that  $\tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\{x\}) \subseteq U$  and  $\tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\{y\}) \subseteq V$ .

**Definition 3.2.** [12] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$ if for each  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U and each  $x \in U$ ,  $\tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\{x\}) \subseteq U$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** If a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$ , then it is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 5.1 of [17].

**Lemma 3.4.** [12] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$  if and only if for each points x and y in X,  $\tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\{x\}) \neq \tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\{y\})$  implies

$$\tau_1\tau_2 - Cl(\{x\}) \cap \tau_1\tau_2 - Cl(\{y\}) = \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  if and only if for each points x and y in X with  $\tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\{x\}) \neq \tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(\{y\})$ , there exist  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  such that  $x \in F_x$ ,  $y \notin F_x$ ,  $y \in F_y$ ,  $x \notin F_y$  and  $X = F_x \cup F_y$ .

Proof. Let x and y be any points in X with  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl({x})  $\neq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl({y}). There exist disjoint  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  such that  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl({x})  $\subseteq U_x$ and  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl({y})  $\subseteq U_y$ . Now, put  $F_x = X - U_y$  and  $F_y = X - U_x$ . Then  $F_x$ and  $F_y$  are  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets of X such that  $x \in F_x$ ,  $y \notin F_x$ ,  $y \in F_y$ ,  $x \notin F_y$ and  $X = F_x \cup F_y$ .

Conversely, let x and y be any points in X with  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\neq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ). Then  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ) =  $\emptyset$ . In fact, if

$$z \in \tau_1 \tau_2 \operatorname{-Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \tau_1 \tau_2 \operatorname{-Cl}(\{y\}),$$

then  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{z\}$ )  $\neq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) or  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{z\}$ )  $\neq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ). In case  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{z\}$ )  $\neq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ), by the hypothesis, there exists a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed set F such that  $x \in F$  and  $z \notin F$ . Then  $z \in \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subseteq F$ . This contradicts that  $z \notin F$ . In case  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{z\}$ )  $\neq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{z\}$ ), similarly, this leads to

the contradiction. Thus  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ) =  $\emptyset$ . By Lemma 3.4, ( $X, \tau_1, \tau_2$ ) is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$ . By the hypothesis, there exist  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets  $F_x$ and  $F_y$  such that  $x \in F_x$ ,  $y \notin F_x$ ,  $y \in F_y$ ,  $x \notin F_y$  and  $X = F_x \cup F_y$ . Put  $U_x = X - F_y$  and  $U_y = X - F_x$ . Then  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  are  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets of X containing x and y, respectively. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$ , we have  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subseteq U_x, \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ )  $\subseteq U_y$  and also  $U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$ .

**Definition 3.6.** [12] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $x \in X$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}$  is defined by  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} = \tau_1 \tau_2 - Cl(\{x\}) \cap \tau_1 \tau_2 - ker(\{x\})$ .

Let A be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -cluster point [21] of A if  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl $(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U containing x. The set of all  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -cluster points of A is called the  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -closure [21] of A and is denoted by  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -Cl(A).

**Lemma 3.7.** [12] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$  if and only if  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} = \tau_1 \tau_2$ - $Cl(\{x\})$  for each  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  if and only if  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} = (\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ - $Cl(\{x\})$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Proof. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$  and by Lemma 3.7,  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} = \tau_1 \tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subseteq (\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) for each  $x \in X$ . In order to show the opposite inclusion, suppose that  $y \notin \langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \neq \langle y \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$ , by Lemma 3.7,  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\neq \tau_1 \tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ). Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$ , there exist disjoint  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open sets U and V such that  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subseteq U$  and  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ )  $\subseteq V$ . Since  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subseteq \langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}$  and hence  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $= \langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1,\tau_2)} = (\tau_1,\tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1,\tau_2)} = (\tau_1,\tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\supseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\supseteq \langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1,\tau_2)}$  for each  $x \in X$ . By Lemma 3.7,  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1,\tau_2)$ -R<sub>0</sub>. Suppose that

 $\tau_1 \tau_2 \operatorname{-Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \tau_1 \tau_2 \operatorname{-Cl}(\{y\}).$ 

Then by Lemma 3.4,  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ) =  $\emptyset$ . By Lemma 3.7,  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1,\tau_2)} \cap \langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1,\tau_2)} = \emptyset$  and hence  $(\tau_1,\tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\cap (\tau_1,\tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ) =  $\emptyset$ . Since  $y \notin (\tau_1,\tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ), there exists a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set  $U_y$  such that  $y \in U_y \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $U_y$ )  $\subseteq X - \{x\}$ . Let  $U_x = X - \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $U_y$ ). Then  $U_x$  is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open and  $x \in U_x$ . Since  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1,\tau_2)$ - $R_0$ ,  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ )  $\subseteq U_y$ ,  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subseteq U_x$ and  $U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1,\tau_2)$ - $R_1$ . On  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -R<sub>1</sub> bitopological spaces

**Corollary 3.9.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$  if and only if  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ - $Cl(\{x\}) = (\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ - $Cl(\{x\})$  for each  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$ . By Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\tau_1 \tau_2 \operatorname{-Cl}(\{x\}) \supseteq \langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \theta \operatorname{-Cl}(\{x\}) \supseteq \tau_1 \tau_2 \operatorname{-Cl}(\{x\})$$

and hence  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) =  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) for each  $x \in X$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) =  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) for each  $x \in X$ . First, we show that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$ . Let U be a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set and  $x \in U$ . Let  $y \notin U$ . Then  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ )  $\cap U = (\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ )  $\cap U = \emptyset$ . Thus  $x \notin (\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{y\}$ ). There exists a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set V such that  $x \in V$  and  $y \notin \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(V). Since  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(V),  $y \notin \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ). This shows that  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ )  $\subseteq U$  and  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$ . By Lemma 3.7,  $\langle x \rangle_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} = \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) =  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -Cl( $\{x\}$ ) for each  $x \in X$  and by Theorem 3.8,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_1$ .

Acknowledgment. This research project was financially supported by Mahasarakham University.

### References

- [1] C. Boonpok, J. Khampakdee,  $\delta s(\Lambda, s)$ - $R_0$  spaces and  $\delta s(\Lambda, s)$ - $R_1$  spaces, Int. J. Anal. Appl., **21**, (2023), 99.
- [2] C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, On some forms of closed sets and related topics, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., 16, no. 1, (2023), 336–362.
- [3] C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, On (Λ, p)-closed sets and the related notions in topological spaces, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., 15, no. 2, (2022), 415– 436.
- [4] C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, M. Thongmoon, On upper and lower  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -precontinuous multifunctions, J. Math. Computer Sci., **18**, (2018), 282–293.
- [5] F. Cammaroto, T. Noiri, On  $\Lambda_m$ -sets and related topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., **109**, (2005), 261–279.
- [6] M. Caldas, S. Jafari, T. Noiri, Characterizations of  $\Lambda_{\theta}$ - $R_0$  and  $\Lambda_{\theta}$ - $R_1$  topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., **103**, (2004), 85–95.

- [7] A. S. Davis, Indexed systems of neighborhoods for general topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 68, (1961), 886–893.
- [8] C. Dorsett,  $R_0$  and  $R_1$  topological spaces, Mat. Vesnik, **15**, (1978), 117–122, (30).
- [9] C. Dorsett, Semi- $T_2$ , semi- $R_1$  and semi- $R_0$  topological spaces, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, **92**, (1978), 143–150.
- [10] K. K. Dube, A note on  $R_1$  topological spaces, Period Math. Hungar., 13, no. 4, (1982), 267–271.
- [11] K. K. Dube, A note on  $R_0$  topological spaces, Mat. Vesnik, **11**, (1974), 203–208, (26).
- [12] B. Kong-ied, S. Sompong, C. Boonpok, On  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ - $R_0$  bitopological spaces, (submitted).
- [13] S. Lugojan, Generalized topology, Stud. Cerc. Mat., 34, (1982), 348– 360.
- [14] S. N. Maheshwari, R. Prasad, On  $(R_0)_s$ -spaces, Portug. Math., **34**, (1975), 213–217.
- [15] M. G. Murdeshwar, S. A. Naimpally, R<sub>1</sub>-topological spaces, Canad. Math. Bull., 9, (1966), 521–523.
- [16] S. A. Naimpally, On  $R_0$  topological spaces, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math., **10**, (1967), 53–54.
- [17] T. Noiri, Unified characterizations for modifications of  $R_0$  and  $R_1$  topological spaces, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), **60**, (2006), 29–42.
- [18] N. A. Shanin, On separation in topological spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 38, (1943), 110–113.
- [19] M. Thongmoon, C. Boonpok, Sober  $\delta p(\Lambda, s)$ - $R_0$  spaces, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **18**, no. 4, (2023), 761–765.
- [20] M. Thongmoon, C. Boonpok, Characterizations of  $(\Lambda, p)$ - $R_1$  topological spaces, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **18**, no. 1, (2023), 99–103.
- [21] C. Viriyapong, C. Boonpok,  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\alpha$ -continuity for multifunctions, J. Math., (2020), 6285763.