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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to use the notion of the generalized quadrangle $(\Omega, \mathcal{L})$ of type $O_{6}^{-}(2)$ to show that $N_{E}(A(B))$ induces $S L(A(B))$ on $A(B)$, where $B$ is a coclique of $\Omega, A(B)=\left\langle e_{x} \mid x \in B\right\rangle$ is the space spanned by $e_{x}, x \in B$ and $N_{E}(A(B))$ is the stabilize of $A(B)$ in the Chevalley group $E=E_{6}(K)$ of type $E_{6}$ over a field $K$ of characteristic 2 , and to show that $E$ acts transitively on the family $V_{2}$ of all 2-dimensional singular subspaces of the 27-dimensional module $A$ over K, we also compute $\left|V_{2}\right|$, the order of $\mathrm{V}_{2}$. Next, we compute the action of the subgroup $H=\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta^{\sigma}}\right\rangle$ of $E$ on the 27-dimensional module $A$ over $K$, where $U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta^{\sigma}}$ are two root subgroups of $E$ and we prove that $H$ acts completely reducibly on $A$ with $H \cong S L_{2}(K)$. It is important to mention that the motivation behind this work is the challenging nature of the investigation of Chevalley groups, in particular $E_{6}$, over fields of characteristic 2 , and also that this work could lead to more understanding of this type of group.
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## 1 Introduction

Algebraic structures, particularly the interplay between generalized quadrangles and Chevalley groups, have been the subject of profound investigation in the field of mathematics. This paper delves into the intricacies of a specific case, namely, the generalized quadrangle $(\Omega, \mathcal{L})$ of type $O_{6}^{-}(2)$, and its profound connections with the Chevalley group $E_{6}(K)$ over a field $K$ with characteristic 2 . The study initiates by considering the stabilizer $N_{E}(A(B))$ within the context of $E_{6}(K)$. Our primary objective is to establish that this stabilizer induces $S L(A(B))$ on the vector space $A(B)$, where $B$ denotes a coclique of the generalized quadrangle $\Gamma$. The vector space $A(B)$, spanned by vectors $e_{x}$ for $x \in B$, emerges as a critical focus, revealing essential insights into the algebraic structure under consideration. Moving beyond the stabilizer analysis, our investigation extends to the transitive action of the Chevalley group $E$ on the family $V_{2}$. This family encompasses all 2-dimensional singular subspaces of the 27 -dimensional module $A$ over the field $K$. The determination of the order $\left|V_{2}\right|$ offers quantitative measures that illuminate the geometric properties embedded within the algebraic framework. A significant portion of our study is dedicated to exploring the action of the subgroup $H=\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta}^{\sigma}\right\rangle$ of $E_{6}(K)$ on the 27-dimensional module $A$. Here, $U_{\Delta}$ and $U_{\Delta \sigma}$ represent distinct root subgroups of $E_{6}(K)$. Our rigorous analysis establishes that this subgroup $H$ acts completely reducibly on $A$, ultimately revealing an isomorphism with $S L_{2}(K)$. This paper not only contributes to the broader understanding of the mathematical relationships intrinsic to the generalized quadrangle of type $O_{6}^{-}(2)$ and its interaction with the Chevalley group $E_{6}(K)$ but also provides a foundation for further exploration into the profound implications of these algebraic structures. our main upshot is to leverage the notion of the generalized quadrangle $(\Omega, \mathcal{L})$ of type $O_{6}^{-}(2)$ to establish that the stabilizer $N_{E}(A(B))$ induces $S L(A(B))$ on the vector space $A(B)$, where $B$ represents a coclique of $\Omega$, and $A(B)=\left\langle e_{x} \mid x \in B\right\rangle$ is the space spanned by vectors $e_{x}$ for $x \in B$. The study is conducted within the context of the Chevalley group $E=E_{6}(K)$ of type $E_{6}$ over a field $K$ with characteristic 2. Additionally, the paper aims to demonstrate the transitive action of $E$ on the family $V_{2}$, encompassing all 2- dimensional singular subspaces of the 27dimensional module $A$ over $K$. The order of $V_{2}$, denoted as $\left|V_{2}\right|$, is computed. Furthermore, the paper explores the action of the subgroup $H=\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta}^{\sigma}\right\rangle$ of $E$ on the 27-dimensional module $A$ over $K$, where $U_{\Delta}$ and $U_{\Delta \sigma}$ are two root subgroups of $E$. It is proven that $H$ acts completely reducibly on $A$, and $H$ is isomorphic to $S L_{2}(K)$. For more information about $E_{6}$, one may refer to [5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13].

## 2 Notation and Earlier Results.

Let $V$ be a 6 -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $Q$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form on $V$ of minimal Witt-index. Define a bilinear form on $V$ associated with $Q$, by $\langle v| w)=Q(v+w)+Q(v)+Q(w)$. Let $\Omega$ be the set of nonzero singular elements of $V$ and $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of the two-dimensional singular subspaces of $V$. The elements of $\Omega$ are called points and the elements of $\mathcal{L}$ are called lines. There are 27 points, 45 lines and 36 vectors $s \in V$ with $Q(s)=1$, called exterior vectors. The pair $(\Omega, \mathcal{L})$ is called a generalized quadrangle with 27 points and 45 lines, each line contains 3 points and each point lies on exactly 5 lines. The group $W=\left\{g \in G L(V) \mid Q\left(x^{g}\right)=Q(x), \forall x \in V\right\}$ is the Weyl group generated by the 36 -reflections $\sigma_{s}, s$ is an exterior point, defined by:

$$
v^{\sigma_{s}}=v+(v \mid s) s \text { for } v \in V .
$$

Definition 2.1. A proper subset $\Delta$ of $\Omega$ is a root base, if $\Delta$ is a $\mathbb{F}_{2^{-}}$base of $V$ and $\Delta$ is a coclique, $i \cdot e \quad(x \mid y)=1$ for distinct elements $x, y \in \Delta$. There are exactly 72 root bases. Let $A$ be a 27 -dimensional vector space over the field $K$ of characteristic 2 , with bases $e_{x}, x \in \Omega$. Let $\langle\mid\rangle$ be a bilinear form on $A$ such that $\left\{e_{x} \mid x \in \Omega\right\}$ is an orthogonal base i.e
$\left\langle e_{x} \mid e_{y}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}1, & x=y \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}$
Remark 2.1. The vector space $A$ can be turned into a non-associative, distributive algebra over $K$ by defining the multiplication

$$
e_{x} e_{y}= \begin{cases}e_{z}, & \{x, y, z\} \in \mathcal{L} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Definition 2.2. For a root base $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ and $k \in K$, define the root elements $r_{\Delta}(k) \in G L(A)$ by

$$
e_{x}^{r_{\Delta}(k)}= \begin{cases}e_{x}+k e_{x^{\sigma} \Delta} & , x \in \Delta \\ e_{x} & , \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\sigma_{\Delta}:=\sigma_{s_{\Delta}}$ and $s_{\Delta}=\sum_{x \in \Delta} x$, As $Q\left(s_{\Delta}\right)=1$, then $s_{\Delta}$ is an exterior vector.

The group $E=\left\langle U_{\Delta}(k)\right| \Delta$ root base, $\left.k \in K\right\rangle$ is the Chevalley group of type $E_{6}$ and $U_{\Delta}(k)$ is the root subgroup $U_{\Delta}(k)=\left\langle r_{\Delta}(k) \mid k \in K\right\rangle \cong K$ For the above notations see $[1,2,3]$.

Definition 2.3. Define the quadratic map $Q_{p}, p \in \Omega$, on $A$ by

$$
Q_{p}(a)=\sum_{\{p, x, y\} \in \mathcal{L}} a_{x} a_{y}
$$

where $a=\sum_{x \in \Omega} a_{x} e_{x}$ and the quadratic map $\hat{Q}: A \rightarrow A$ by $\hat{Q}(a)=$ $\sum_{p \in \Omega} Q_{p}(a) e_{p}$

Proposition 2.1. [8]. Let $a, b \in A$, then $\hat{Q}(a+b)=\hat{Q}(a)+\hat{Q}(b)+a b$.
Note : A subspace $U<V$ is singular with respect to $\hat{Q}$ if $\hat{Q}(U)=0$.

## 3 Results

Proposition 3.1. Let $B \subseteq \Omega$ be a coclique and let $A(B)=\left\langle e_{x} \mid x \in B\right\rangle$. Then $A(B)$ is singular and $N_{E}(A(B))$ induces $S L(A(B))$ on $A(B)$.

Proof. From the definition of $Q_{p}(a)$, it follows that $A(B)$ is singular, as $e_{x} e_{y}=$ 0 for all $x, y \in \mathrm{~B}$.

For $x, y \in B, x \neq y$, consider the root base $\triangle$ with $s_{\Delta}=x+y$. If $z \in B \backslash\{x, y\}$, then $(z \mid x+y)=0$ which implies

$$
e_{z}^{r_{\Delta}(k)}=e_{z} \text { for } r_{\Delta}(k) \in E \text { and } k \in K
$$

and

$$
e_{x}^{r_{\Delta}(k)}= \begin{cases}e_{x}+k e_{x+s_{\Delta}}=e_{x}+k e_{y}, & x \in \Delta \\ e_{x} & , \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\Delta^{*}=\Delta+s_{\Delta}$ be the root base corresponding to $\Delta$ then

$$
e_{x}^{r_{\Delta}^{*}(k)}= \begin{cases}e_{x}+k e_{y+s_{\Delta}}=e_{y}+k e_{x}, & y \in \Delta^{*} \\ e_{y} & , \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Hence the elements $r_{\Delta}(k)$ with $s_{\Delta}=x+y, x \neq y$, induce all elementary transvections on $A(B)$, and hence generate $S L(A(B))$ on $A(B)$.

Remark 3.1. Let $V_{i}=\{U \leqslant A \mid \operatorname{dim} U=i$ and $\hat{Q}(U)=0\}$, then $V_{i} \neq \varnothing$ if $i \leqslant 6$. For the proof see [2].
Proposition 3.2. Let $V_{i}=\{U \leq A \mid \operatorname{dim} U=i$ and $\hat{Q}(U)=0\}$, and let $\hat{U} \in V_{i}, \hat{V} \in V_{i+1}$ and $\hat{U}<\hat{V}$, then $\hat{V}=\hat{U}+$ ka for some $a \in A \backslash \hat{V}$ with $\hat{Q}(a)=0$ and $\hat{U} \cdot a=0$

Proof. Pick out $a \in \hat{V} \backslash \hat{U}$, such that $\hat{V}=\hat{U}+k a$. As $\hat{V} \in V_{i+1}$, this implies $\hat{Q}(a)=0$ and $\hat{Q}(u)=0$ for all $u \in \hat{U}$. As $u+a \in \hat{V} \in V_{i+1}$, it follows that $\hat{Q}(u+a)=\hat{Q}(u)+\hat{Q}(a)+u a=u a$ by Proposition 1.1, hence the claim.

Theorem 3.1. The Chevalley group $E$ is transitive on $V_{2}$ and on the set $\left\{\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)\right.$ such that $\left.U_{1}<U_{2}, U_{i}<V_{i}, i=1,2\right\}$.

Proof. Let $U \in V_{2}$. Pick out $0 \neq a \in U \in V_{2}$, then $\hat{Q}(a)=0$. Hence $\langle a\rangle \in V_{1}$. As $E$ is transitive on $V_{1}$ [4], it follows that there exists $g \in E$ with $a^{g}=e_{p}$ for $p \in \Omega$. Hence, $e_{p} \in U^{g}$. With out loss of generality, one may assume that $e_{p} \in U$. Hence $U=\left\langle e_{p}, a\right\rangle$ and $e_{p} a=0$ by Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 1.1. This means that $e_{p}$ and $a$ are independent as $\hat{Q}(a)=\hat{Q}\left(e_{p}\right)=0$. Thus, $a \in\left\{z \in A \mid e_{p} z=0\right\}=\left\langle e_{p}, e_{x} \mid x \in \Omega,(p \mid x)=1\right\rangle:=A_{1}(p)$. In [4], it was proven that $N_{E}\left(\left\langle e_{p}\right\rangle\right)$ is transitive on $\left\{0 \neq a \in A_{1}(p) \mid \hat{Q}(a)=0\right\}$, so $N_{E}\left(\left\langle e_{p}\right\rangle\right)$ is transitive on $\left\{U \mid U \in V_{2}, e_{p} \in U\right\}$ and $E$ is transitive on $V_{2}$ and on all pairs $\left.\left\{U_{1}, U_{2}\right) \mid U_{1}<U_{2}, U_{i} \in V_{i}, i=1,2\right\}$; Hence the claim.

Proposition 3.3. If $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}$, then $\left|V_{2}\right|=\frac{1}{q-1} \cdot \frac{\left(q^{12}-1\right)\left(q^{9}-1\right)\left(q^{8}-1\right)\left(q^{6}-1\right)}{\left(q^{4}-1\right)\left(q^{3}-1\right)\left(q^{2}-1\right)}$
Proof. As $E$ is transitive on pairs $\left(U_{2}, U_{3}\right), U_{2}<U_{3} \in V_{3}$, one obtains $\left|V_{1}\right|$. $r_{12}=\left|V_{2}\right| \cdot(q+1)$ by counting on two ways the number of subspaces in $V_{2}$ containing the the 1-dimensional subspaces $\langle a\rangle \in V_{1}$ with $\hat{Q}(a)=0$, where $r_{12}$ is the number of $U_{2} \in V_{2}$ containing a given $0 \neq a \in A$ with $\hat{Q}(a)=0$. Taking $a=e_{p}, p \in \Omega$, one has
$r_{12}=\frac{1}{q-1}\left|\left\{0 \neq a_{1} \in A_{1}(p) \mid \hat{Q}(a)=0\right\}\right|$
$=\frac{\left(q^{8}-1\right)\left(q^{3}+1\right)}{q-1}$, see $[9]$.
Hence $\left|V_{2}\right|=\frac{\left|V_{1}\right|\left(q^{8}-1\right)\left(q^{3}+1\right)}{\left(q^{2}-1\right)\left(q^{4}-1\right)(q-1)}$, where $\left|V_{1}\right|=\frac{\left|\left(q^{12}-1\right)\left(q^{9}-1\right)\right|}{\left(q^{4}-1\right)(q-1)}[4]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\left(q^{12}-1\right)\left(q^{9}-1\right)\left(q^{8}-1\right)\left(q^{3}+1\right)}{\left(q^{4}-1\right)\left(q^{2}-1\right)(q-1)} \\
& =\frac{\left(q^{12}-1\right)\left(q^{9}-1\right)\left(q^{8}-1\right)\left(q^{6}-1\right)}{\left(q^{4}-1\right)\left(q^{3}-1\right)\left(q^{2}-1\right)(q-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the claim.

## 4 The Action of $H=\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta^{\sigma}}\right\rangle:=\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta+s_{\Delta}}\right\rangle$ on $A$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Delta$ be a root base and let $\sigma$ be the reflection correspoding to $\Delta$. Then $\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta^{\sigma}}\right\rangle \cong S L_{2}(K)$.
Proof. If $x \in \Delta$, then $e_{x}^{r_{\Delta}(k)}=e_{x}+k e_{x^{\sigma}}$ and $e_{x}^{r_{\Delta}(k)}=e_{x}$ as $x \notin \Delta^{\sigma}$. If $x \in \Delta^{\sigma}=\Delta+s_{\Delta}$, then $e_{x}^{r_{\Delta}(k)}=e_{x}$ and $e_{x}^{r_{\Delta}(k)}=e_{x}+k e_{x^{\sigma}}$ Hence $\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta^{\sigma}}\right\rangle$ leaves the space $\left\langle e_{x}, e_{x^{\sigma}}\right\rangle$ invariant for all $x \in \Delta$. In a matrix form, the above action can be represented as follows:

$$
r_{\Delta}(k): \begin{array}{ll}
e_{x} \\
e_{x^{\sigma}}
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & k \\
0
\end{array} \quad 1 \begin{array}{l}
1
\end{array}\right], \quad r_{\Delta^{\sigma}}: \begin{aligned}
& e_{x} \\
& e_{x^{\sigma}}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& k
\end{aligned}
$$

If $y \notin \Delta \cup \Delta^{\sigma}$, then $e_{y}^{r_{\Delta}(k)}=e_{y}=e_{y}^{r_{\Delta^{\sigma}}(k)}$. So $\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta^{\sigma}}\right\rangle$ induces $S L_{2}(K)$ on $\left\langle e_{x}, e_{x^{\sigma}}\right\rangle$.
Hence, the action of $\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta^{\sigma}}\right\rangle$ on $A$ is a direct sum of 6-dimensional standard submodules and a trivial submodule of dimension 15 , as $\Omega=\Delta \cup \Delta^{\sigma} \cup$ $\Delta_{0}$, where $\Delta_{0}=\left\{x \in \Omega \mid\left(x \mid s_{\Delta}\right)=0\right\},\left|\Delta_{0}\right|=15$. To show that it acts completely reducibly on $A$, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\Delta, \Gamma$ be two root bases with reflections $\sigma_{\Delta}, \sigma_{\Gamma}$ respectively. Let $Y=\left\langle\sigma_{\Delta}, \sigma_{\Gamma}\right\rangle$. If $R$ is an orbit of $Y$ on $\Omega$, then $A$ decomposes as $Y$-modules ie $A=\underset{R}{\underset{R}{A}} A(R)$

Proof. As $Y$ acts on $\Omega$, let $x \in R$, then $e_{x}^{r_{\Delta}(k)}=e_{x}+k e_{x^{\sigma}} \in A(R)$ as $x, x^{\sigma_{\Delta}} \in R$.
Hence the claim.
Corollary 4.1. For $H=\left\langle U_{\Delta}, U_{\Delta^{\sigma}}\right\rangle, \sigma_{\Delta}=\Delta^{\sigma}$. This implies $Y \cong C_{2}$ and $Y$ has 6 orbits of length 2 and 15 fixed points. Hence it acts faithfully on each factor of $\operatorname{dim} 2$ i.e $A \cong 2^{6} \oplus 1^{15}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. Compare with Theorem 2.2[9].
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