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#### Abstract

If the small spheres of a Finslerian projective metric are quadrics, then it is a Riemannian projective metric of constant curvature.


## 1 Introduction

A metric $d$ on an open convex non-empty domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called projective, if every segment in $\mathcal{D}$ is a geodesic of $d, d(P, Q)+d(Q, R)=d(P, R)$ if and only if $Q \in \overline{P R}$, and $d$ is continuous with respect to the Euclidean topology. Minkowski and Hilbert metrics are the most known projective metrics [5], but the set of the projective metrics is huge $[12,2,1]$.

Busemann's theorem [5, 25.4] says that a Minkowskian metric on the plane is Euclidean if the circles are quadrics. In this article, we generalize this statement.

Theorem 1.1. A Finslerian projective metric is a Riemannian projective metric of constant curvature if and only if every small sphere is a quadric.
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## 2 Preliminaries

Points of $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are denoted as $A, B, \ldots$, vectors are $\overrightarrow{A B}$ or $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \ldots$; however, we use these latter notations also for points if the origin is fixed. The open segment with endpoints $A$ and $B$ is denoted by $\overline{A B}$. The open ray starts from $A$ passes through $B$ is $\bar{A} B$, and the line through $A$ and $B$ is denoted by $A B$.

The affine ratio $(A, B ; C)$ of the collinear points $A, B \neq A$ and $C \neq B$ satisfies $(A, B ; C) \overrightarrow{B C}=\overrightarrow{A C}$ [5, page 243].

Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open convex non-empty domain. Let's identify every tangent space $T_{P} \mathcal{D}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If a projective metric $d_{F}$ is such that $d_{F}: \mathcal{D} \times$ $\mathcal{D} \ni(P, Q) \mapsto \int_{0}^{1} F_{\mathcal{M}}(P+t(Q-P), \overrightarrow{P Q}) d t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ holds for a Finsler function $F: \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $d_{F}$ is called a Finslerian projective metric. A point $P$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is called Riemannian if the Finsler norm $F_{P}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \ni \mathbf{v} \mapsto F(P, \mathbf{v}) \in$ $\mathbb{R}$ is quadratic [11]. If every point of $\mathcal{D}$ is Riemannian, then $d_{F}$ is called Riemannian projective metric.

If a projective metric $d$ is given, then $\mathcal{S}_{d ; O}^{\varrho}=\{P: d(O, P)=\varrho\}$ is the sphere of radius $\varrho>0$ with center $O$.

We need the following statement from [4, (16.12), p. 91]: For $n \geq 3$, if $k \in\{2, \ldots, n-1\}$, then
the border $\partial \mathcal{K}$ of a convex body $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an ellipsoid if and only if every $k$-plane through an inner point of $\mathcal{K}$ intersects $\partial \mathcal{K}$ in a $k$-dimensional ellipsoid.

## 3 Projective metrics of small spheres that are quadrics

The following lemma is proved here for the sake of completeness.
Lemma 3.1 Every small sphere of a Riemannian projective metric of constant curvature is a quadric.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 1\right):\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$ be an open convex non-empty domain and let $d_{F}$ be a Riemannian projective metric of constant curvature $\kappa$ on $\mathcal{D}$.

If $d_{G}$ is also a Riemannian projective metric of constant curvature $\kappa$ on $\mathcal{D}$, then every point $P \in \mathcal{D}$ has a neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \ni P$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that there exists an isometry $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \varphi(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ which satisfies $d_{F}(Q, R)=d_{G}(\varphi(Q), \varphi(R))$ for every $Q, R \in \mathcal{U}$ [8, 2.2 Corollary]. Since every such isometric mapping is a
restriction of a projectivity $[10,(2.1)]$, and every projectivity maps quadrics to quadrics, it is enough to show that the small spheres are quadrics for a well-chosen Riemannian projective metric $d_{G}$ of curvature $\kappa$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $P=(0, \ldots, 0)$.
If $\kappa=0$, let $c=0$ and if $\kappa \neq 0$, let $c|\kappa|=\kappa$.
Equip the hypersurface $\mathcal{K}_{c}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of points $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, p_{n+1}\right)$ to satisfy $c\left(p_{1}^{2}+\cdots+p_{n}^{2}\right)+p_{n+1}^{2}=1$ with the Riemannian metric

$$
g_{c ; \mathbf{p}}: T_{\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n} \times T_{\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n} \ni(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto x_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} y_{n}+c x_{n+1} y_{n+1}
$$

at every point $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$. Then one gets the so-called projective model $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{c}^{n}$ of the space of constant curvature $c[7]$. The gnomonic projection of $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{c}^{n}$ from the origin $(0, \ldots, 0)$ into the hyperplane $x_{n+1}=1$ gives a Riemannian projective metric $d_{c}$ of constant curvature $c[9]$.

Let $d_{G}$ be $d_{0}$ if $\kappa=0$, and let $d_{G}$ be $d_{c} /|\kappa|$ if $\kappa \neq 0$. Then $d_{G}$ has constant curvature $\kappa$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and the sphere $\mathcal{S}_{d_{G} ; P}^{\varrho}$ is a Euclidean sphere in $\mathcal{D}$. Thus, the lemma follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemma 3.1 proves the "only if" part of the statement. For the "if" part, we first prove that every point is Riemannian; i.e., that the unit sphere $\left\{\mathbf{v} \in T_{P} \mathcal{D}: F(O, \mathbf{v})=1\right\}$ in the tangent space $T_{O} \mathcal{D}$ is a quadric for every point $O \in \mathcal{D}$. According to (2.1), this needs to be done only in dimension two. So from now on we assume $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Given a Finslerian projective metric $d_{F}$ on a connected open bounded domain $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with the Finsler function $F: \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, fix a point $O$ and a straight line $l$ through $O$, and assume that every circle $\mathcal{S}_{d_{F} ; O}^{\rho}$ of small radius $\rho>0$ is a quadric. Then $\mathcal{S}_{d_{F} ; O}^{\rho}$ is an ellipse $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$, because $\mathcal{S}_{d_{F} ; O}^{\rho}$ is bounded.

Let $C_{\rho}$ be the center of $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ and let $O_{\rho}$ be the point symmetric to $O$ in $C_{\rho}$. Let $l_{\rho}$ be the straight line through $C_{\rho}$ that is parallel to $l$, and let $X_{\rho}$ be an intersection point of $l_{\rho}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$.

For any straight line $\ell$ through $O$, let $A_{\rho}^{\ell}$ and let $B_{\rho}^{\ell}$ be the points, where $\ell$ intersects $\mathcal{S}_{d_{F} ; O}^{\rho}$. Define $A_{\rho}=A_{\rho}^{O C_{\rho}}$ and $B_{\rho}=B_{\rho}^{O C_{\rho}}$ so that $O \in \overline{C_{\rho} B_{\rho}}$. See Figure 1.


Figure 1: $\mathcal{S}_{d_{F} ; O}^{\rho}$ is an ellipse $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$

Let $\varepsilon_{\rho}=1-\left(O, C_{\rho} ; B_{\rho}\right), a_{\rho}=\rho /\left(1-\varepsilon_{\rho}^{2}\right)$, and $c_{\rho}=a_{\rho} \varepsilon_{\rho}$.
Let $d_{\rho}$ be the Euclidean metric satisfying $d_{\rho}\left(C_{\rho}, B_{\rho}\right)=a_{\rho}$ (hence $d_{\rho}\left(C_{\rho}, O\right)=$ $\left.c_{\rho}\right)$, and $d_{\rho}^{2}\left(C_{\rho}, X_{\rho}\right)=a_{\rho}^{2}-c_{\rho}^{2}$. Then we get $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}=\left\{E \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 2 a_{\rho}=d_{\rho}(O, E)+\right.$ $\left.d_{\rho}\left(E, O_{\rho}\right)\right\}$.

So, for any straight line $\ell$ through $O$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d_{F}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}{d_{\rho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}+\frac{d_{F}\left(B_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}{d_{\rho}\left(B_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)} & =\frac{\rho}{d_{\rho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}+\frac{\rho}{d_{\rho}\left(B_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)} \\
& =\rho\left(\frac{1}{a_{\rho}-c_{\rho}}+\frac{1}{a_{\rho}+c_{\rho}}\right)=\frac{2 a_{\rho} \rho}{a_{\rho}^{2}-c_{\rho}^{2}}=\frac{2 \rho / a_{\rho}}{1-\varepsilon_{\rho}^{2}}=2 \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second equation follows from the polar form of the ellipse $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ relative to focus $O$.

Fix a $\varrho>0$. By the Busemann-Mayer theorem [6, Theorem 4.3] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{d_{F}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}{d_{\rho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)} & =\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{d_{F}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}{d_{\varrho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)} \frac{d_{\varrho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}{d_{\rho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}\right) \\
& =F\left(O, \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{A_{\rho}^{\ell}-O}{d_{\varrho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}\right) \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{d_{\varrho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}{d_{\rho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}=F\left(O, \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{A_{\rho}^{\ell}-O}{d_{\rho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and in a similar way we also have

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{d_{F}\left(B_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}{d_{\rho}\left(B_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}=F\left(O, \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{O-B_{\rho}^{\ell}}{d_{\rho}\left(B_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}\right) .
$$

Since $\frac{A_{\rho}^{\ell}-O}{d_{\rho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}=\frac{O-B_{\rho}^{\ell}}{d_{\rho}\left(B_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}$, equation (3.1) gives

$$
1=F\left(O, \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{A_{\rho}^{\ell}-O}{d_{\rho}\left(A_{\rho}^{\ell}, O\right)}\right) .
$$

Thus, the unit circle of the Finsler norm $F_{O}(\cdot)=F(O, \cdot)$ is the limit of the unit circles of $d_{\rho}$. This means that the closed quadrics $\mathcal{S}_{d_{\rho} ; O}^{1}$ converge to the strictly convex closed curve, the unit circle of $F_{O}$. Hence the unit circle of $F_{O}$ is a quadric; i.e., an ellipse.

Thus, $d_{F}$ is a Riemannian projective metric-space.
By Beltrami's theorem [3] (see also [5, (29.3)]), every Riemannian projective metric has constant curvature, so the proof is complete.

Theorem 1.1 can be sharpened for special projective metrics. It is wellknown that a Minkowski geometry is a model of the Euclidean geometry if and only if it has one Riemannian point [5, 24.10 with 25.4], and it turned out recently [11] that a Hilbert geometry with twice differentiable boundary in the plane is a Cayley-Klein model of the hyperbolic geometry if and only if it has two Riemannian points.
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