

$(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closed sets and weak (τ_1, τ_2) -continuity

Butsakorn Kong-ied¹, Supanee Sompong², Chawalit Boonpok¹

¹Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Research Unit
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Mahasarakham University
Maha Sarakham, 44150, Thailand

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Faculty of Science and Technology
Sakon Nakhon Rajbhat University
Sakon Nakhon, 47000, Thailand

email: butsakorn.k@msu.ac.th, s_sompong@snru.ac.th,
chawalit.b@msu.ac.th

(Received April 15, 2024, Accepted May 16, 2024,
Published June 1, 2024)

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate some characterizations of upper and lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions by utilizing the notion of $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closed sets.

1 Introduction

In 1961, Levine [9] introduced and studied the notion of weakly continuous functions. Moreover, some characterizations of pairwise weakly M -continuous functions and weakly $(\mu, \mu')^{(m,n)}$ -continuous functions were investigated in [6] and [7], respectively. Popa [15] and Smithson [16] independently

Key words and phrases: $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closed set, upper weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunction, lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunction.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 54C08, 54C60, 54E55.

The corresponding author is Butsakorn Kong-ied.

ISSN 1814-0432, 2024, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

introduced the notion of weakly continuous multifunctions. The present authors introduced and studied other weak forms of continuous multifunctions: weakly quasicontinuous multifunctions [12], almost weakly continuous multifunctions [11], weakly α -continuous multifunctions [14], weakly β -continuous multifunctions [13]. These multifunctions have similar characterizations. The analogy in their definitions and results suggests the need to formulate a unified theory. Noiri and Popa [10] introduced and studied the notions of upper and lower weakly m -continuous multifunctions as a multifunction from a set satisfying certain minimal condition into a topological space. Laprom et al. [8] introduced and studied the notion of $\beta(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -continuous multifunctions. Viriyapong and Boonpok [18] introduced and investigated the notion of weakly $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\alpha$ -continuous multifunctions. Furthermore, several characterizations of weakly $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\delta$ -semicontinuous multifunctions and almost weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions were studied in [4] and [3], respectively. In this paper, we investigate some characterizations of upper and lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions by utilizing $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closed sets and $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -open sets.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, spaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) (or simply X and Y) always mean bitopological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . The closure of A and the interior of A with respect to τ_i are denoted by $\tau_i\text{-Cl}(A)$ and $\tau_i\text{-Int}(A)$, respectively, for $i = 1, 2$. A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed [5] if $A = \tau_1\text{-Cl}(\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A))$. The complement of a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed set is called $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open. The intersection of all $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets of X containing A is called the $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closure [5] of A and is denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)$. The union of all $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets of X contained in A is called the $\tau_1\tau_2$ -interior [5] of A and is denoted by $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(A)$.

A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open [18] if $A = \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A))$. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) . A point $x \in X$ is called a $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -cluster point [18] of A if

$\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U containing x . The set of all $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -cluster points of A is called the $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closure [18] of A and is denoted by $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(A)$. A subset A of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closed [18] if $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(A) = A$. The complement of a $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -closed set is said to be $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -open. The union of all $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -open sets of X contained in A is called the $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta$ -interior [18] of A and is denoted by $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\theta\text{-Int}(A)$.

By a multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$, we mean a point-to-set correspondence from X into Y , and we always assume that $F(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in X$. For a multifunction $F : X \rightarrow Y$, following [1] we shall denote the upper and lower inverse of a set B of Y by $F^+(B)$ and $F^-(B)$, respectively, that is, $F^+(B) = \{x \in X \mid F(x) \subseteq B\}$ and $F^-(B) = \{x \in X \mid F(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$. In particular, $F^-(y) = \{x \in X \mid y \in F(x)\}$ for each point $y \in Y$. For each $A \subseteq X$, $F(A) = \cup_{x \in A} F(x)$.

3 Characterizations of upper and lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions

In this section, we investigate some characterizations of upper and lower (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous multifunctions.

Definition 3.1. [17] *A multifunction $F : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y such that $F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, there exists a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U of X containing x such that $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V) \cap F(z) \neq \emptyset$ for each $z \in U$.*

Lemma 3.2. *If $F : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous, then for each $x \in X$ and each subset B of Y with $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Int}(B) \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U of X containing x such that $U \subseteq F^-(B)$.*

Proof. Since $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Int}(B) \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a nonempty $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y such that $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V) \subseteq B$ and $F(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Since F is lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous, there exists a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U of X containing x such that $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V) \cap F(z) \neq \emptyset$ for each $z \in U$ and hence $U \subseteq F^-(B)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. [17] *For a multifunction $F : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) F is lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous;
- (2) $F^-(V) \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y ;

- (3) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K))) \subseteq F^-(K)$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set K of Y ;
- (4) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (5) $F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B)) \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B))))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (6) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y ;
- (7) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(V)) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y ;
- (8) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(K))) \subseteq F^+(K)$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -closed set K of Y .

Theorem 3.4. For a multifunction $F : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous;
- (2) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(B)) \subseteq F^+((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (3) $F(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)) \subseteq (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(F(A))$ for every subset A of X .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let B be any subset of Y . Suppose that

$$x \notin F^+((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B)).$$

Then, we have $x \in F^-(Y - (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B)) = F^-((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Int}(Y - B))$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U of X containing x such that $U \subseteq F^-(Y - B) = X - F^+(B)$. Thus $U \cap F^+(B) = \emptyset$ and hence

$$x \notin \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(B)).$$

This shows that $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(B)) \subseteq F^+((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let A be any subset of X . By (2), we have $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A) \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(F(A))) \subseteq F^+((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(F(A)))$. Thus,

$$F(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(A)) \subseteq (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(F(A)).$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let V be any $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set of Y . Then $\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(V)$ and by (3), $F(\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(V))) \subseteq (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(F(F^+(V))) \subseteq (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(V) = \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)$. Thus, $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(V)) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ and by Lemma 3.3, F is lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous. \square

Definition 3.5. [17] A multifunction $F : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be upper weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y containing $F(x)$, there exists a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U of X containing x such that $F(U) \subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)$.

Lemma 3.6. [17] For a multifunction $F : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is upper weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous;
- (2) $F^+(V) \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K))) \subseteq F^-(K)$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed set K of Y ;
- (4) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (5) $F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B)) \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Int}(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(B))))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (6) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)))) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y ;
- (7) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(V)) \subseteq F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V))$ for every $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y ;
- (8) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(K))) \subseteq F^-(K)$ for every $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -closed set K of Y .

Theorem 3.7. For a multifunction $F : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \tau_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) F is upper weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous;
- (2) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^-((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (3) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^-((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let B be any subset of Y . Then $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B)$ is $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -closed in Y and by Lemma 3.6, $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^-((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): The proof is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let K be any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r$ -closed set of Y . Then, we have $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K)) = \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K)) = K$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K))) &= \tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K)))) \\ &\subseteq F^-((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K))) \\ &= F^-(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(K))) = F^-(K).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.6, F is upper weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous. \square

Theorem 3.8. *For a multifunction $F : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) F is lower weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous;
- (2) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\theta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (3) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq F^+(\sigma_1\sigma_2\theta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.7. \square

Definition 3.9. [2] *A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous at a point $x \in X$ if for each $\sigma_1\sigma_2$ -open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists a $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U of X containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq \sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(V)$. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous if f has this property at each point of X .*

Corollary 3.10. *For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) f is weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous;
- (2) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq f^{-1}((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (3) $\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Int}(\sigma_1\sigma_2\text{-Cl}(B)))) \subseteq f^{-1}((\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\theta\text{-Cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

Acknowledgment. This research project was financially supported by Mahasarakham University.

References

- [1] C. Berge, *Espaces topologiques fonctions multivoques*, Dunod, Paris, 1959.
- [2] C. Boonpok, C. Klanarong, On weakly (τ_1, τ_2) -continuous functions, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **17**, no. 1, (2024), 416–425.
- [3] C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, Upper and lower almost weak (τ_1, τ_2) -continuity, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **14**, no. 4, (2021), 1212–1225.
- [4] C. Boonpok, $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\delta$ -semicontinuous multifunctions, *Heliyon*, **6** (2020), e05367.
- [5] C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong and M. Thongmoon, On upper and lower (τ_1, τ_2) -precontinuous multifunctions, *J. Math. Computer Sci.*, **18**, (2018), 282–293.
- [6] C. Boonpok, M -continuous functions in biminimal structure spaces, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, **43**, no. 1, (2010), 41–58.
- [7] T. Duangphui, C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, Continuous functions on bigeneralized topological spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, **5**, no. 24, (2011), 1165–1174.
- [8] K. Laprom, C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, $\beta(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -continuous multifunctions on bitopological spaces, *J. Math.*, (2020), 4020971.
- [9] N. Levine, A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **68**, (1961), 44–46.
- [10] T. Noiri, V. Popa, A unified theory of weak continuity for multifunctions, *Stud. Circ. St. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău*, **16**, (2006), 167–200.
- [11] T. Noiri, V. Popa, Almost weakly continuous multifunctions, *Demonstratio Math.*, **26**, (1993), 363–380.
- [12] T. Noiri, V. Popa, On upper and lower weakly quasicontinuous multifunctions, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **36**, (1992), 499–508.
- [13] V. Popa, T. Noiri, On upper and lower weakly β -continuous multifunctions, *Anal. Univ. Sci. Budapest*, **43**, (2000), 25–48.

- [14] V. Popa, T. Noiri, On upper and lower almost α -continuous multifunctions, *Demonstratio Math.*, **29**, (1996), 381–396.
- [15] V. Popa, Weakly continuous multifunctions, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, (5) **15(A)** (1978), 379–388.
- [16] R. E. Smithson, Almost and weak continuity for multifunctions, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **70**, (1978), 383–390.
- [17] M. Thongmoon, S. Sompong, C. Boonpok, Upper and lower weak (τ_1, τ_2) -continuity, (submitted).
- [18] C. Viriyapong, C. Boonpok, $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\alpha$ -continuity for multifunctions, *J. Math.*, (2020), 6285763.