

# On almost $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular spaces

Nipaporn Chutiman<sup>1</sup>, Supannee Sompong<sup>2</sup>, Chawalit Boonpok<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Research Unit Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Mahasarakham University Maha Sarakham, 44150, Thailand

> <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics Faculty of Science and Technology Sakon Nakhon Rajbhat University Sakon Nakhon, 47000, Thailand

email: nipaporn.c@msu.ac.th, sompong@snru.ac.th, chawalit.b@msu.ac.th

(Received March 25, 2024, Accepted May 19, 2024, Published June 1, 2024)

#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular spaces. Furthermore, we investigate some characterizations of almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular spaces.

### 1 Introduction

Separation axioms are one among the most common, important and interesting ideas in topology. Some separation axioms were introduced using generalized open sets. In 1969, Sinnal and Arya [13] defined a new separation axiom called almost regularity which is weaker than regularity. In 1983, El-Deeb et al. [7] introduced and studied the notion of p-regular spaces by using preopen

Key words and phrases:  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set, almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular space. AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 54D15, 54E55. The corresponding author is Nipaporn Chutiman. ISSN 1814-0432, 2024, http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net

sets. In 1990, Malghan and Navalagi [9] introduced and investigated the concept of almost p-regular spaces as a generalization of p-regularity. In 1998, Noiri [10] defined a new class of sets called rgp-closed sets and investigated some characterizations of almost p-regular spaces by utilizing rqp-closed sets. Buadong et al. [5] introduced and studied new separation axioms in generalized topology and minimal structure spaces. Srisarakham and Boonpok [14] investigated some weak separation axioms by utilizing  $\delta p(\Lambda, s)\mathcal{D}$ -sets. In [2], the present authors studied some properties of  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets. Boonpok and Viriyapong [1] introduced and studied some weak separation axioms by utilizing the notions of  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets and the  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -closure operator. In 2012, Torton et al. [15] introduced and investigated the notion of  $\mu_{(m,n)}$ -regular spaces. Furthermore, several characterizations of  $(\Lambda, p)$ -regular spaces and  $S\Lambda_s$ -regular spaces were presented in [11] and [8], respectively. Roy [12] obtained some characterizations of almost  $\mu$ -regular spaces. In this paper, we introduce the notion of almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular spaces. Moreover, we discuss some characterizations of almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular spaces.

### 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the present paper, spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  (or simply X and Y) always mean bitopological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let A be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . The closure of A and the interior of A with respect to  $\tau_i$  are denoted by  $\tau_i$ -Cl(A) and  $\tau_i$ -Int(A), respectively, for i = 1, 2. A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed [4] if  $A = \tau_1$ -Cl( $\tau_2$ -Cl(A)). The complement of a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed set is called  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open. The intersection of all  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed sets of X containing A is called the  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closure [4] of A and is denoted by  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Interior [4] of A and is denoted by  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Int(A).

**Lemma 2.1.** [4] Let A and B be subsets of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . For the  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closure, the following properties hold:

- (1)  $A \subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2 Cl(A)$  and  $\tau_1 \tau_2 Cl(\tau_1 \tau_2 Cl(A)) = \tau_1 \tau_2 Cl(A)$ .
- (2) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\tau_1 \tau_2 \text{-}Cl(A) \subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2 \text{-}Cl(B)$ .
- (3)  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(A) is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed.
- (4) A is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -closed if and only if  $A = \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(A).

(5) 
$$\tau_1 \tau_2 - Cl(X - A) = X - \tau_1 \tau_2 - Int(A)$$
.

A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open [17] (resp.  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)s$ -open [3],  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)p$ -open [3],  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\beta$ -open [3]) if  $A = \tau_1\tau_2$ -Int $(\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(A)) (resp.  $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl $(\tau_1\tau_2$ -Int(A)),  $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Int $(\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(A)),  $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl $(\tau_1\tau_2$ -Int $(\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(A)))). A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open [16] if  $A \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Int $(\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl $(\tau_1\tau_2$ -Int(A))). The complement of an  $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open set is called  $\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -closed.

**Definition 2.2.** [6] A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be regular generalized  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -closed (briefly, rg- $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -closed) if  $\tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open.

**Definition 2.3.** [6] A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be regular generalized  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open (briefly, rg- $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open) if X - A is regular generalized  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -closed.

**Lemma 2.4.** [6] A subset A of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is rg- $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ open if and only if  $F \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Int(A) whenever  $F \subseteq A$  and F is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed.

# 3 Almost $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular spaces

In this paper, we introduce the notion of almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular spaces. We also investigate some characterizations of almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular if for each  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ r-closed set F and each  $x \notin F$ , there exist disjoint  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $F \subseteq V$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** For a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular;
- (2) for each  $x \in X$  and each  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open set U with  $x \in U$ , there exists a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set V such that  $x \in V \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(V) \subseteq U$ ;
- (3) for each  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed set F of X,

$$\cap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{-}Cl(V) \mid F \subseteq V \text{ and } V \text{ is } \tau_1\tau_2\text{-}open\} = F;$$

- (4) for each subset A of X and each  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open set U of X such that  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , there exists a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set V such that  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $\tau_1\tau_2$ - $Cl(V) \subseteq U$ ;
- (5) for each nonempty subset A of X and each  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed set F such that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ , there exist  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open sets U and V such that  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ;
- (6) for each  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed set F and  $x \notin F$ , there exist a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U and a rg- $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open set V such that  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ;
- (7) for each subset A of X and each  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed set F such that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ , there exist a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U and a rg- $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open set V such that  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let U be a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open set with  $x \in U$ . Then, X - U is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed and  $x \notin X - U$ . Then by (1), there exist disjoint  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open sets V and W such that  $x \in V$  and  $X - U \subseteq W$ . Thus,

$$x \in V \subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2\text{-Cl}(V) \subseteq \tau_1 \tau_2\text{-Cl}(X - W) = X - W \subseteq U.$$

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Let F be a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed set and  $x \notin X F$ . By (2), there exists a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl $(U) \subseteq X F$ . Therefore,  $F \subseteq X \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(U) = V. Since V is  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $x \notin \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(V) and hence  $F \supseteq \cap \{\tau_1\tau_2\text{-Cl}(V) \mid F \subseteq V \text{ and } V \text{ is } \tau_1\tau_2\text{-open}\}$ .
- $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ : Let A be a subset of X and U be a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open set such that  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in A \cap U$ . Then, we have  $x \notin X U$ . Thus by (3), there exists a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set W such that  $X U \subseteq W$  and  $x \notin \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(W). Put  $V = X \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(W) which is a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set containing x and hence  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Now,  $V \subseteq X W$  and so  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(V)  $\subseteq X W \subseteq U$ .
- $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$ : Let A be a nonempty subset of X and F be a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed set such that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then, X F is  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -open and  $A \cap (X F) \neq \emptyset$ . By (4), there exists a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set V such that  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and

$$\tau_1 \tau_2$$
-Cl $(V) \subseteq X - F$ .

If we put  $W = X - \tau_1 \tau_2$ -Cl(V), then  $F \subseteq W$  and  $W \cap V = \emptyset$ .

- $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Let F be a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed set not containing x. Then, we have  $F \cap \{x\} = \emptyset$ . Thus by (5), there exist  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open sets V and W such that  $x \in V$ ,  $F \subseteq W$  and  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ .
  - $(1) \Rightarrow (6)$ : The proof is obvious.

- $(6) \Rightarrow (7)$ : Let A be a subset of X and F be a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed set such that  $A \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then, for each  $x \in A$ ,  $x \notin F$ . By (6), there exist a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U and a rg- $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open set V such that  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .
- $(7) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Let F be a  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)r$ -closed set such that  $x \notin F$ . Since  $\{x\} \cap F = \emptyset$ , by (7) there exist a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open set U and a rg- $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open set W such that  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subseteq W$  and  $U \cap W = \emptyset$ . Since W is rg- $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -open, by Lemma 2.4,  $F \subseteq \tau_1\tau_2$ -Cl(W) = V and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is almost  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -regular.

**Acknowledgment.** This research project was financially supported by Mahasarakham University.

#### References

- [1] C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, Some applications of  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets in topological spaces, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., **15**, no. 3, (2022), 878–886.
- [2] C. Boonpok, J. Khampakdee,  $(\Lambda, sp)$ -open sets in topological spaces, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., **15**, no. 2, (2022), 572–588.
- [3] C. Boonpok,  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\delta$ -semicontinuous multifunctions, Heliyon, **6**, (2020), e05367.
- [4] C. Boonpok, C. Viriyapong, M. Thongmoon, On upper and lower  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -precontinuous multifunctions, J. Math. Computer Sci., **18**, (2018), 282–293.
- [5] S. Buadong, C. Viriyapong, C. Boonpok, On generalized topology and minimal structure spaces, Int. J. Math. Anal., 5, no. 31, (2011), 1507– 1516.
- [6] N. Chutiman, S. Sompong, C. Boonpok, On regular generalized  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -closed sets, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **19**, no. 3, (2024), 861–867.
- [7] N. El-Deeb, I. A. Hasanein, A. S. Mashhour, T. Noiri, On p-regular spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, 27, no. 75, (1983), 311-315.
- [8] J. Khampakdee, C. Boonpok, On  $S\Lambda_s$ -regular spaces and  $S\Lambda_s$ -normal spaces, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **19**, no. 1, (2024), 125–129.

- [9] S. R. Malghan, G. B. Navalagi, Almost *p*-regular, *p*-completely regular and almost *p*-completely regular spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, **34**, no. 82, (1990), 317–326.
- [10] T. Noiri, Almost p-regular spaces and some functions, Acta Math. Hungar., **79**, no. 3, (1998), 207–216.
- [11] P. Pue-on, C. Boonpok, On  $S\Lambda_s$ -regular spaces and  $S\Lambda_s$ -normal spaces, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **18**, no. 2, (2023), 295–299.
- [12] B. Roy, Unification of almost regular, almost normal and mildly normal topological spaces, Demonstratio Math., **45**, no. 4, (2012), 963–974.
- [13] M. K. Singal, S. P. Arya, On almost-regular spaces, Glasnik Mat., 4, no. 24, (1969), 89–99.
- [14] N. Srisarakham, C. Boonpok, On characterizations of  $\delta p(\Lambda, s)$ - $\mathcal{D}_1$  spaces, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **18.** no. 4, (2023), 743–747.
- [15] P. Torton, C. Viriyapong, C. Boonpok, Some separation axioms in bigeneralized topological spaces, Int. J. Math. Anal., 6, no. 56, (2012), 2789–2796.
- [16] N. Viriyapong, S. Sompong, C. Boonpok,  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -extremal disconnectedness in bitopological spaces, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., **19**, no. 3, (2024), 855–860.
- [17] C. Viriyapong, C. Boonpok,  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)\alpha$ -continuity for multifunctions, J. Math., (2020), 6285763.