

# $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -Open Sets in Bigeneralized Topological Spaces

Philip Lester P. Benjamin

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
College of Science and Mathematics  
University of Southern Mindanao  
Kabacan, Cotabato, Philippines

email: plbenj@usm.edu.ph

(Received April 25, 2024, Accepted May 26, 2024,  
Published June 1, 2024)

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and characterize the notion of  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -Open Sets,  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -interior, and  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -closure of a set in Bigeneralized Topological Spaces.

## 1 Introduction

In 2002, Császár introduced the concept of generalized topology [5]. Several counterparts of existing concepts in topology were defined including the  $\mu$ -semiopen sets and  $\mu$ -preopen sets.

Benjamin and Rara [4] introduced and characterizes the concepts of  $\mu S_p$ -open sets,  $\mu S_p$ -closed sets,  $\mu S_p$ -interior and  $\mu S_p$ -closure of a set in the generalized topological spaces. These concepts are generalized topology's counterpart of the  $S_p$ -open sets in [7].

Boonpok [3] introduced the concept of bigeneralized topological spaces. In this paper, we introduce and characterize the notions of  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -Open Sets,  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -interior, and  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -closure of a set in Bigeneralized Topological Spaces.

---

**Key words and phrases:**  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -Open sets,  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -interior, and  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -closure, Bigeneralized Topological Spaces

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications:** 54A05, 54A40, 54D40.

**ISSN** 1814-0432, 2024, <http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net>

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. A subset  $\mu$  of  $P(X)$  is said to be a generalized topology (briefly GT) on  $X$  if  $\emptyset \in \mu$  and the arbitrary union of elements of  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mu$ . If  $\mu$  is a GT on  $X$ , then the pair  $(X, \mu)$  is called a generalized topological space (briefly GT-space), and the elements of  $\mu$  are called  $\mu$ -open sets. The complement of a  $\mu$ -open set is called a  $\mu$ -closed set.

Throughout this paper, the space  $(X, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  (or simply  $X$ ) mean a bi-generalized topological space (BGT-space) with no separation axioms unless otherwise stated. Let  $A$  be a subset of a bigeneralized topological spaces. The closure and the interior of  $A$  with respect to  $\mu_m$  are denoted by  $c_{\mu_m}(A)$  and  $i_{\mu_m}(A)$ , respectively, with  $m = 1, 2$ .

In 2019, Fathima et. al [2] introduced the following definition:

Let  $(X, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be a bigeneralized topological space. Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is said to  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiopen if  $A \subseteq c_{\mu_m}(i_{\mu_n}(A))$ , where  $m, n = 1, 2$  and  $m \neq n$ . The complement of a  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiopen set is called a  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiclosed set.

Moreover, in 2020, Rani et. al [1] introduced the notion of a  $\mu_{mn}$ -preopen set as follows:

Let  $(X, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be a bigeneralized topological space. Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $A$  is said to  $\mu_{mn}$ -preopen if  $A \subseteq i_{\mu_m}(c_{\mu_n}(A))$ , where  $m, n = 1, 2$  and  $m \neq n$ . The complement of a  $\mu_{mn}$ -preopen set is called a  $\mu_{mn}$ -preclosed set.

## 3 $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -Open Sets in the Bigeneralized Topological spaces

In this section, we introduce the notion of  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -Open Sets in the Bigeneralized Topological spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** A subset  $A$  of a bigeneralized topological space  $X$  is called  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open if  $A$  is  $\mu_n$ -semiopen and for every  $x \in A$ , there exists a  $\mu_m$ -preclosed set  $F_x$  such that  $x \in F_x \subseteq A$ . The complement of a  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open set is called a  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -closed set.

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $(X, \mu_m, \mu_n)$  be a bigeneralized topological space. Then  $A$  is a  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open set in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is  $\mu_n$ -semiopen and  $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} F_x$ , where  $F_x$  is a  $\mu_m$ -preclosed set.

**Remark 3.3.** The concepts of  $\mu_m S_p$ -open set or  $\mu_n S_p$ -open set and the  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -open sets are independent notions.

**Remark 3.4.** The  $\mu_{12} S_p$ -open sets need not be  $\mu_{21} S_p$ -open. To see this, let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with generalized topologies  $\mu_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$  and  $\mu_2 = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Then  $\{a, c, d\}$  is a  $\mu_{21} S_p$ -open set but not  $\mu_{12} S_p$ -open.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X, \mu_m, \mu_n)$  be a bigeneralized topological space. Then  $A$  is a  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -closed set in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is  $\mu_n$ -semiclosed and for every  $x \notin A$ , there exists a  $\mu_m$ -preopen set  $U_x$  such that  $A \subseteq U_x$ .

**Proof.**

Let  $A$  be a  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -closed set in  $X$ . Then  $X \setminus A$  is  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -open. By Definition 3.1,  $X \setminus A$  is  $\mu_n$ -semiopen and for every  $x \in X \setminus A$ , there exists a  $\mu_m$ -preclosed set  $F_x$  such that  $x \in F_x \subseteq X \setminus A$ . Hence  $A$  is  $\mu_n$ -semiclosed and for every  $x \notin A$ , there exists a  $\mu_m$ -preopen set  $X \setminus F_x$  such that  $A \subseteq X \setminus F_x$ . Take  $U_x = X \setminus F_x$ . Thus the necessity of the theorem follows. The sufficiency is proved similarly. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 3.6.** The union of all the  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -open sets of a BGT-space  $X$  contained in  $A \subseteq X$  is called the  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -interior of  $A$ , denoted by  $\mu_{mn} S_p i_{\mu_{mn}}(A)$ .

**Remark 3.7.** For any subset  $A$  of a BGT-space  $X$ ,  $\mu_{mn} S_p i_{\mu_{mn}}(A) \subseteq A$ .

**Definition 3.8.** The intersection of all the  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -closed sets of  $X$  containing  $A$  is called the  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -closure of  $A$ , denoted by  $\mu_{mn} S_p c_{\mu_{mn}}(A)$ .

**Remark 3.9.** For any subset  $A$  of a BGT-space  $X$ ,  $A \subseteq \mu_{mn} S_p c_{\mu_{mn}}(A)$ .

## 4 $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -Interior and $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -Closure of a Set

In this section, we present some results involving  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -interior and  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -closure of a set in the BGT-space. First, consider the following remark:

**Remark 4.1.** Let  $(X, \mu_m, \mu_n)$  be a BGT-space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then

- (i)  $\mu_{mn} S_p c_{\mu_{mn}}(A) = X \setminus \mu_{mn} S_p i_{\mu_{mn}}(X \setminus A)$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu_{mn} S_p i_{\mu_{mn}}(A) = X \setminus \mu_{mn} S_p c_{\mu_{mn}}(X \setminus A)$ .
- (iii)  $A$  is  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiopen and  $\mu_{mn}$ -preclosed if and only if  $A = c_{\mu_m}(i_{\mu_n}(A))$ .
- (iv) If  $A = c_{\mu_{mn}}(i_{\mu_{mn}}(A))$ , then  $A$  is  $\mu_{mn} S_p$ -open.

The converse of Remark 4.1 (iv) need not be true. Consider the same BGT-space  $X$  in Remark 3.4. Observe that the set  $A = \{a, b\}$  is  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open and  $c_{\mu_{mn}}(i_{\mu_{mn}}(A)) = \{a, b, c\}$  which means  $A \neq c_{\mu_{mn}}(i_{\mu_{mn}}(A))$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Arbitrary union of  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiopen sets is  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiopen.*

**Proof.**

Let  $\{M_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiopen sets in a BGT-space  $X$ . Then  $M_i \subseteq c_{\mu_m}(i_{\mu_n}(M_i))$  for all  $i$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i &\subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} c_{\mu_m}(i_{\mu_n}(M_i)) \\ &\subseteq c_{\mu_m} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} i_{\mu_n}(M_i) \right) \\ &\subseteq c_{\mu_m} \left( i_{\mu_n} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$  is  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiopen. □

**Theorem 4.3.** *The collection of all  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open sets in  $X$  forms a BGT on  $X$ .*

**Proof.**

Let  $C = \{M_i : M_i \text{ is } \mu_{mn}S_p\text{-open, } i \in I\}$ . Clearly,  $\emptyset$  is  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open. Since  $M_i$  is  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open for all  $i \in I$ ,  $M_i$  is  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiopen for all  $i$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$  is  $\mu_{mn}$ -semiopen. Let  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ . Then  $x \in M_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . Since  $M_i$  is  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open, there exists a  $\mu_m$ -preclosed set  $F_i$  such that  $x \in F_i \subseteq M_i$ . This implies that  $x \in F_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$  is  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open. It follows that  $C$  forms a BGT on  $X$ . □

**Corollary 4.4.** *The intersection of all  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -closed sets is  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -closed.*

**Proof.**

Let  $F_i$  be  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -closed sets for each  $i \in I$ . Then  $X \setminus F_i$  is  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open for each  $i$ . By Theorem 4.3,  $\bigcup_{i \in I} (X \setminus F_i) = X \setminus (\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i)$  is  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -open. Therefore,  $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i$  is a  $\mu_{mn}S_p$ -closed set. □

## References

- [1] R. Rani et al., *Advances in Mathematics, Scientific Journal*, **9**, no. 5, (2020), 2459–2466.
- [2] M. Anees Fathima, R. Jamuna Rani, "  $\mu_{ij}$ -semiopen sets in bigeneralized topological spaces," *Malaya Journal of Mathematics*, **S**, no. 1, (2019), 12–16.
- [3] C. Boonpok, "Weakly open functions on bigeneralized topological spaces," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **4**, no. 191, (2011), 891–897.
- [4] P. L. Benjamin, H. M. Rara, "  $\mu S_p$ -sets and  $\mu S_p$ -functions," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **9**, no. 11, (2015), 499–508.
- [5] A. Császár, "Generalized topology, generalized continuity," *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **96**, (2002), 351–357.
- [6] A. Császár, "Extremely Disconnected Generalized Topologies," *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest*, **47**, (2004), 91–96.
- [7] H. A. Shareef, "  $S_p$ -open sets,  $S_p$ -continuity and  $S_p$ -compactness in topological spaces," M. Sc. Thesis, Sulaimani University, Iraq, (2007).