International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, **19**(2024), no. 4, 1147–1154



## On Centrally-Extended Multiplicative (generalized)- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -Reverse Derivations in Semiprime Rings

#### Zahraa S.M. Alhaidary<sup>1</sup>, Khatam AD. Zaghir<sup>2</sup>, Raghad Al-Lami<sup>1</sup>, Areej M. Abduldaim<sup>1</sup>, Anwar Khaleel Faraj<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Branch of Mathematics and Computer Applications Department of Applied Sciences University of Technology Baghdad, Iraq

> <sup>2</sup>Mechanical Engineering Department University of Technology Baghdad, Iraq

email: zahraa.s.mohammed@uotechnology.edu.iq, khatam.a.zaghir@uotechnology.edu.iq, raghad.a.kareem@uotechnology.edu.iq, Areej.M.Abduldaim@uotechnology.edu.iq, Anwar.K.Faraj@uotechnology.edu.iq

(Received March 3, 2024, Accepted April 29, 2024, Published June 1, 2024)

#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to examine the behavior of centrally- extended multiplicative (generalized)- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -reverse derivations by investigating various algebraic identities. These include  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) \mp \beta(\mathfrak{n}) H(\mathfrak{m}) \in$  $Z, T(\mathfrak{mn}) \mp g(\mathfrak{n}) \alpha(m) \in Z$ , and  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) \mp g(\mathfrak{m}) \alpha(\mathfrak{n}) \in Z$  for any elements  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}$  belonging to specific subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Key words and phrases: Derivation, Reverse Derivation, Centrally Extended Derivation, Multiplicative (Generalized)Derivation, Semiprime Ring.
AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 16N60, 47B47.
ISSN 1814-0432, 2024, http://ijmcs.future-in-tech.net

### 1 Introduction

Let  $Z(\mathbb{R})$  denote the center of a ring  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  be mappings of  $\mathbb{R}$ . For any e and f belonging to the ring  $\mathbb{R}$ , the commutator ef - fe is denoted by [e, f] [1]. A ring  $\mathbb{R}$  is considered semiprime if, whenever  $e\mathbb{R}e = 0$ , we have e = 0. A derivation D (for brevity) is an additive mapping defined for elements a and e in the ring  $\mathbb{R}$ , satisfying d(ae) = d(a)e + ad(e). An additive mapping  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is called a generalized derivation GD (for brevity) associated with d if there exists a D mapping d of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(ae) = \mathcal{F}(a)e + ad(e)$ , for all the elements a and e of  $\mathbb{R}$  [2]. Several mathematicians have developed and expanded this concept in different ways.

One of these extensions is the centrally-extended derivations CE-D (for brevity), introduced by Bell and Daif [3], who considered a mapping  $v : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $v(\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}) - v(\mathfrak{m}) - v(\mathfrak{n}) \in Z$  and  $v(\mathfrak{mn}) - v(\mathfrak{mn}) - \mathfrak{m}v(\mathfrak{nn}) \in Z$  is true, for all the elements  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Moreover, in [4], this notion has been developed to centrally-extended  $(\alpha,\beta)$ derivation CE- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -D (for brevity) containing other types of maps. A CE- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -D is a mapping v of  $\mathbb{R}$  that satisfies  $v(\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}) - v(\mathfrak{m}) - v(\mathfrak{n}) \in Z$  and  $v(\mathfrak{mn}) - v(\mathfrak{m})\alpha(\mathfrak{n}) - \beta(\mathfrak{m})v(\mathfrak{n}) \in Z$  holds for every  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, any map T of R that satisfies the conditions  $T(\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}) - T(\mathfrak{m}) - T(\mathfrak{n}) \in Z$  and  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) - T(\mathfrak{m})\alpha(\mathfrak{n}) - \beta(\mathfrak{m})g(\mathfrak{n}) \in Z$  is called a centrally-extended generalized  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -derivation CE(g)- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -D (for brevity), where g is a CE- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -D of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In 1991, Daif [5] introduced the notion of a multiplicative derivation which, unlike conventional derivations, does not necessarily need to be additive. In addition, Daif and El-Sayiad [6], considering the concept of G mapping, extended this concept by introducing the notion of generalized multiplicative derivation. There are numerous findings regarding this subject [7, 8, 9].

Herstein [10] initially introduced the concept of reverse derivation RD (for brevity). An additive mapping v from  $\mathbb{R}$  to itself is called an RD mapping if it is additive and satisfies the condition  $v(\mathfrak{mn}) = v(\mathfrak{n})\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}v(\mathfrak{m})$  for all elements  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Many authors studied this concept, in many directions, like [11]. Moreover, this concept has undergone considerable development, with generalizations being presented as follows [12]:

If a mapping T of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ , the condition  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) = T(\mathfrak{n})\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}g(\mathfrak{m})$  holds, then T is called multiplicative(generalized)-reverse derivation M(g)-RD (for brevity), where g is any map. Subsequently, those authors expanded the concept of a M(g)-RD mapping, leading to a multiplicative (generalized)  $(\alpha,\beta)$ -reverse derivation M(g)- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -RD, (for brevity).

A mapping T of  $\mathbb{R}$  is MG- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -RD if for all  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) = T(\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) + \beta(\mathfrak{n})g(\mathfrak{m})$  holds, where g is a mapping. Many results can be found in [13, 14, 15].

Muthana and AlKhamisi[16] defined a map T of  $\mathbb{R}$  as a centrally-extended multiplicative(generalized)- $(\alpha, \beta)$  derivation for every  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{R}, T(\mathfrak{mn}) - T(\mathfrak{m})\alpha(\mathfrak{n}) - \beta(\mathfrak{m})g(\mathfrak{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}$  hold, where g is mapping for  $\mathbb{R}$  CEM(g) $(\alpha,\beta)D$ , (for brevity). Moreover, they investigated the identities:  $\mathbb{F}(ef) \pm \beta(e)\mathbb{G}(f) \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}(ef) \pm g(e)\alpha(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mathbb{F}(ef) \pm g(f)\alpha(e) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for all  $e, f \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In this paper, we study the above results by presenting the new concept, called centrally-extended multiplicative (generalized)- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -reverse derivation  $\mathbb{CEM}(\eth) - (\alpha,\beta) - RD$  (for brevity). Additionally, we discuss several identities on a suitable subset of a semiprime ring such as  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) \mp \beta(\mathfrak{n})H(\mathfrak{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) \mp g(\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) \mp g(\mathfrak{m})\alpha(\mathfrak{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are mappings of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and H is M(g)- $(\alpha,\beta)$ -RD.

#### 2 Preliminaries

The starting point will be to introduce the definition of  $\mathbb{CEM}(\eth) - (\alpha, \beta) - RD$ , (for brevity) as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** A mapping T of  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $\mathbb{CEM}(\eth) - (\alpha, \beta) - RD$ associated with a map d if the condition  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) - T(\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) - \beta(\mathfrak{n})d(\mathfrak{m}) \in Z$ holds for every  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $\alpha, \beta$  are mappings from R.

Throughout this work,  $\mathbb{K}$  is a symbol of the left ideal of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** For an anti-homomorphism  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$  a mapping on  $\mathbb{K}$  and a  $\mathbb{CEM}(\eth) - (\alpha, \beta) - RD$  mapping T of  $\mathbb{R}$  associated to d, for all element  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{K}$ , the statement  $K[(d \mp g)(\mathfrak{m})] = 0$  is true whenever  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) \mp \beta(\mathfrak{n}) H(\mathfrak{m})$  is contained in Z, H is M(g)- $(\alpha, \beta)$ -RD mapping of  $\mathbb{R}$  associated to g and  $\alpha(\mathbb{K})$  contained in  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $\beta(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}$ .

Proof.

$$T(\mathfrak{mn}) \mp \beta(\mathfrak{n}) H(\mathfrak{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(3.1)

Replacing  $\mathfrak{m}$  by  $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{z}$  in (3.1), we get

$$T(\mathfrak{mzn}) \mp \beta(\mathfrak{n}) H(\mathfrak{mn}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$(T(\mathbf{zn}) \mp \beta(\mathbf{n})H(\mathbf{z}))\alpha(\mathbf{m}) + \beta(\mathbf{zn})d(\mathbf{m}) \mp \beta(\mathbf{n})\beta(\mathbf{z})g(\mathbf{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By using (3.1), we have

$$\beta(\mathfrak{n})\beta(\mathbf{z})d(\mathfrak{m}) \mp \beta(\mathfrak{n})\beta(\mathbf{z})g(\mathfrak{m}) \in Z.$$
(3.2)

Commuting (3.2) with  $\alpha(\mathfrak{m})$ , we get

$$[\beta(\mathfrak{n})\beta(\mathbf{z})(d \mp g)(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$

Since  $\beta(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}$ ,  $[\mathfrak{nz}(d \mp g)(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0$ . By [[16], Lemma 2.1], we get

$$\mathbb{K}[(d \neq g)(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$

By semiprimeness of  $\mathbb{K}$ , if  $\mathbb{K}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then get

$$[(d \mp g)(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** For a surjective mapping  $\beta$  of  $\mathbb{K}$  and a  $\mathbb{CEM}(\eth) - (\alpha, \beta) - RD$ mapping T of  $\mathbb{R}$  associated to d, the statement  $K[(d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0$  is true for all element  $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{K}$  whenever  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) \neq g(\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) \in Z$  and  $\alpha(\mathbb{K}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\alpha$ acts anti-homomorphism and  $\beta$  homomorphism on  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Proof.

$$T(\mathfrak{mn}) \mp g(\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) \in Z.$$
(3.3)

If we replace  $\mathfrak{m}$  by  $\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{z}$  in (3.3), then

$$T(\mathfrak{mzn}) \mp g(\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{mz}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since T is  $\mathbb{CEM}(\eth) - (\alpha, \beta) - RD$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\alpha$  is anti-homomorphism, we have

$$(T(\mathbf{zn}) \mp g(\mathbf{n})\alpha(\mathbf{z}))\alpha(\mathbf{m}) + \beta(\mathbf{zn})d(\mathbf{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using the hypothesis, and since  $\beta$  is homomorphism, we get

$$\beta(\mathbf{z})\beta(\mathfrak{n})d(\mathfrak{m}) \in Z. \tag{3.4}$$

1150

On Centrally-Extended Multiplicative...

Commuting (3.4) with  $\alpha(\mathfrak{m})$ , we obtain

$$[\beta(\mathbf{z})\beta(\mathfrak{n})d(\mathfrak{m}),\alpha(\mathfrak{m})]=0$$

Since  $\beta(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$[\mathbf{znd}(\mathbf{m}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})] = 0.$$

By [[16], Lemma 2.1], we get

$$\mathbb{K}[d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$

**Theorem 3.3.** For a surjective mapping  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{K}$  and a  $\mathbb{CEM}(\eth) - (\alpha, \alpha) - RD$  mapping T of  $\mathbb{R}$  associated to d, for all the elements  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $\mathbb{K}$  the statement  $K[(d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0$  holds whenever  $T(\mathfrak{mn}) \neq g(\mathfrak{m})\alpha(\mathfrak{n}) \in Z$ , where  $\alpha$  acts homomorphism on  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Proof.

$$T(\mathfrak{mn}) \pm g(\mathfrak{m})\alpha(\mathfrak{n}) \in z. \tag{3.5}$$

Replacing  $\mathfrak{m}$  with  $\mathfrak{m} \mathbf{z}$  in (3.5), we get

$$T(\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) + \alpha(\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n})d(\mathfrak{m}) \pm g(\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathfrak{n}) \in z.$$
(3.6)

Now, taking  $\mathbf{z}$  instead of  $\mathfrak{m}$  in (3.5), we have

$$T(\mathbf{zn}) \pm g(\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathbf{n}) \in z.$$
(3.7)

Commuting (3.7) with  $\alpha(\mathfrak{m})$ , we get

$$[T(\mathbf{zn}) \pm g(\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathbf{n}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})] = 0.$$
  
$$[T(\mathbf{zn}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})] \pm [g(\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathbf{n}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})] = 0.$$
 (3.8)

Right multiplying (8) by  $\alpha(\mathfrak{m})$ , we obtain

$$[T(\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}),\alpha(\mathfrak{m})] \pm [g(\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}),\alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$
(3.9)

Commuting (3.6) with  $\alpha(\mathfrak{m})$ , we have

$$[T(\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) + \alpha(\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n})d(\mathfrak{m}) \pm g(\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathfrak{n}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$

This yields

$$[T(\mathbf{zn})\alpha(\mathbf{m}),\alpha(\mathbf{m})] + [\alpha(\mathbf{zn})d(\mathbf{m}),\alpha(\mathbf{m})] \pm [g(\mathbf{mz})\alpha(\mathbf{n}),\alpha(\mathbf{m})] = 0.$$
(3.10)

Subtracting (3.9) from (3.10), we get

$$[\alpha(\mathbf{zn})d(\mathbf{m}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})] + [\pm g(\mathbf{mz})\alpha(\mathbf{n}) \mp g(\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathbf{n})\alpha(\mathbf{m}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})] = 0.$$
(3.11)

Substituting  $\mathfrak{nm}$  for  $\mathfrak{n}$  in (3.11), we get

$$[\alpha(\mathbf{znm})d(\mathbf{m}),\alpha(\mathbf{m})] + [\pm g(\mathbf{mz})\alpha(\mathbf{n}) \mp g(\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathbf{n})\alpha(\mathbf{m}),\alpha(\mathbf{m})]\alpha(\mathbf{m}) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Multiplying (3.11) by  $\alpha(\mathfrak{m})$  on the right, we have

$$[\alpha(\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n})d(\mathfrak{m}),\alpha(\mathfrak{m})]\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) + [\pm g(\mathfrak{m}\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathfrak{n}) \mp g(\mathbf{z})\alpha(\mathfrak{m})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}),\alpha(\mathfrak{m})]\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) = 0.$$
(3.13)

Subtracting (3.12) from (3.13),

$$[\alpha(\mathbf{znm})d(\mathbf{m}),\alpha(\mathbf{m})] - [\alpha(\mathbf{zn})d(\mathbf{m}),\alpha(\mathbf{m})]\alpha(\mathbf{m}) = 0.$$

Since  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism, we get

$$[\alpha(\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n})\alpha(\mathfrak{m})d(\mathfrak{m})-\alpha(\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n})d(\mathfrak{m})\alpha(\mathfrak{m}),\alpha(\mathfrak{m})]=0.$$

Since  $\alpha(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$[\mathbf{zn}[d(\mathbf{m}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})], \alpha(\mathbf{m})] = 0.$$
(3.14)

Replacing  $\mathbf{z}$  with  $d(\mathbf{m})\mathbf{z}$  in (3.14), we obtain

$$[d(\mathfrak{m})\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n}[d(\mathfrak{m}),\alpha(\mathfrak{m})],\alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$

This gives

$$[d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})]\mathbf{z}\mathfrak{n}[d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$
(3.15)

Left multiplying (3.15) by  $\mathbf{zn}$  implies

$$\mathbf{zn}[d(\mathbf{m}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})] \mathbb{R} \mathbf{zn}[d(\mathbf{m}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})] = 0.$$
(3.16)

The semiprimeness property of  $\mathbb{R}$  yields

$$\mathbf{zn}[d(\mathbf{m}), \alpha(\mathbf{m})] = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Since K is left ideal, we have  $[d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})]r\mathfrak{n} = 0$ . In (3.17), replace  $\mathbf{z}$  by  $\mathfrak{n}$  and replace  $\mathfrak{n}$  by  $[d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})]r\mathfrak{n}$ , we find that

$$\mathfrak{n}[d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})]r\mathfrak{n}[d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$
$$\mathbb{K}[d(\mathfrak{m}), \alpha(\mathfrak{m})] = 0.$$

# References

- [1] I.N. Herstein, Topics in ring theory, University of Chicago Press, 1969.
- [2] M. Bresar, On the distance of the composition of two derivation to the generalized derivations, Glasgow Math. J., 33, no. 1, (1991), 89–93.
- [3] H.E. Bell, M. N. Daif, On centrally extended maps on rings, Beitrage Algebra Geom., Article no. 244, (2015), 1–8.
- [4] M.S. Tammam El-Sayiad, N.M. Muthana, Z.S. Alkhamisi, On rings with some Kinds of centrally-extended maps, Beitrage Algebra Geom., Article no. 274, (2015), 1–10.
- [5] M.N. Daif, When is a multiplicative derivation additive, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 14, no. 3, (1991), 615–618.
- [6] M.N. Daif, M.S. Tammam El-Sayiad, Multiplicative generalized derivation which are additive, East-west J. Math., 19, no. 1, (1997), 31–37.
- [7] B. Dhara, S. Ali,on multiplicative (generalized) derivation, Aequat. Math., 86, nos. 1-2, (2013), 65–79.
- [8] A. K. Faraj, A. M. Abduldaim, Some Results Concerning Multiplicative (Generalized)-Derivations and Multiplicative Left Centralizers, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., 15, no. 4, (2020), 1073–1090.
- [9] M.N. Daif, M.S. Tammam El-Sayiad, V.D. Filippis, Multiplicative of left centralizers forcing additivity, Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat., 32, no. 1, (2014), 61–69.
- [10] I. N. Herstein, Jordan derivation of prime ring, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8, (1957), 1104–1110.
- [11] A.K. Faraj, A.M. Abduldaim, Commutativity and Prime Ideals with Proposed Algebraic Identities, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci., 16, no. 4, (2021), 1607–1622.
- [12] S.K. Tiwari, R.K. Sharma, B. Dhara, Some theorems of commutativity on semiprime ring with mapping, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 42, no. 2, (2018), 279–292.

- [13] Z.S.M. Alhaidary, A.H. Majeed, Commutativity Results for Multiplicative (Generalizaed)(α, β) Reverse Derivations on Prime Rings, Iraqi Journal of Science, 62, no. 9,(2021), 3102–3113.
- [14] Z.S.M. Alhaidary, A.H. Majeed, Multiplicative (generalized)  $(\eta, \zeta)$  reverse derivations on ideals of prime rings, AIP Conference Proceedings 2414, 040016; Published Online: February 13, 2023.
- [15] Z.S.M. Alhaidary, A.H. Majeed, Square Closed Lie Ideals and Multiplicative (Generalized)  $(\alpha, \beta)$  Reverse Derivation of Prime Rings, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Science and cryptography, (2021), 2037– 2046.
- [16] N. Muthana, Z. AlKhamisi, On centrally-extended multiplicative (generalized)- $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation in semiprime rings, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, **49**, no. 2, (2021), 578–585.