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# Integral Representation of $2 \pi$-Periodic and Trigonometrically Convex Functions 

BADIH GHUSAYNI

Department of Mathematics, North Central College, Naperville, Illinois 60566

The integral representation given by Levin [1, p. 60. Theorem 24] of $2 \pi$-periodic and $\rho$-trigonometrically convex functions which are indicators of holomorphic functions of non-zero finite order $\rho$ is incorrect. Counterexamples are given here as well as a corrected version of the representation.
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We need the following lemma whose proof follows easily from the definition of trigonometric convexity:

Lemma (a) If $h(y)$ and $k(y)$ are $\rho$-trigonometrically convex functions and $c$ is a non-negative real number, then $h(y)+k(y)$ and ch(y) are $\rho$-trigonometrically convex.
(b) For any real number $A, A \cos \rho y$ and $A \sin \rho y$ are $\rho$-trigonometrically convex.
(c) Let $\left\{h_{n}(x)\right\}$ be a sequence of $\rho$-trigonometrically convex functions such that $h(y)=\lim h_{n}(y)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, exists. Then $h(y)$ is $\rho$-trigonometrically convex.

Following is a counterexample for Levin's theorem [1, p. 60, Theorem 24] for the case of non-integral $\rho$ and another counterexample for the case of integral $\rho$ : Define $h(y)$ on $[0,2 \pi)$ by:

$$
h(y)=-\cos \frac{2(y-\pi)}{3}+\frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{3} \sin \frac{y}{2}
$$

and extend it periodically with period $2 \pi$. Then, if
$s(x)=h^{\prime}(x)+\rho^{2} \int^{x} h(t) d t$,
with $\rho=\frac{2}{3}$ we get

$$
s(x)=-\frac{7}{27} \sqrt{3} \cos \frac{x}{2} .
$$

Now since $s^{\prime}(x)=5_{4}^{7} \sqrt{3} \sin \frac{x}{2} \geqslant 0$ on $[0,2 \pi)$, it follows that $s(x)$ is a nondecreasing function. Thus, from Levin [1, p. 57], we conclude that $h(y)$ is $\rho$-trigonometrically
convex. However,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \rho \sin \pi \rho^{\prime}
\end{array} \int_{y-2 \pi}^{y} \cos \rho(y \quad x-\pi) d s(x)=\begin{array}{c}
7 \\
36
\end{array} \int_{y-2 \pi}^{y} \cos \begin{array}{c}
2(y-x-\pi) \\
3
\end{array} \sin _{2}^{x} d x \\
& =\frac{7}{72} \int_{y \cdot 2 \pi}^{v}\left\{\sin \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 y & x & 2 \pi \\
3 & 6 & 3
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sin \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 y & 7 x & 2 \pi \\
3 & 6 & 3
\end{array}\right)\right\} d x \\
& =-\frac{13}{13} \cos \frac{y}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{24} \sin \frac{y}{2} \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

which is different from $h(y)$ (take for instance $y=0$ ) and consequently the representation given by Levin [1, p. 60, Theorem 24$]$ is incorrect for the case of non-integral $p$.

Next, we give a counterexample for the case when $\rho$ is an integer: Choose $s(x)=x$ which is clearly a non-decreasing function satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i \rho x} d s(x)=0
$$

Integration by parts gives

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi \rho} \int_{y-2 \pi}^{y}(y-x) \sin \rho(y-x) d s(x)=-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}
$$

By parts (a) and (b) of the lemma, the function

$$
k(y)=h(y)-A \cos \rho y-B \sin \rho y
$$

is trigonometrically convex. Morcover, $k(y)$ is $2 \pi$-periodic. Thus in the representation given by Levin [1, p. 60, Theorem 24], $k(y)<0$. We now show that this is impossible. From Levin [1, p. 93], there exists an entire function $f$ of order $\rho(0<\rho<\infty)$ whose indicator coincides with $k(y)$. Using the definition of the indicator function [6] and the Maximum Principle [3, p. 229, Theorem 10.24] it is easy to see that $|f(z)| \leqslant 1$ for all $z$. Since $f(z)$ is entire and bounded, $f(z)$ must reduce to a constant by Liouville's theorem. Consequently $f(z)$ is of order $\rho=0$. This is the desired contradiction.

A corrected version of [2, p. 60, Theorem 24] is the following:
Theorem The general form of a $2 \pi$-periodic and $\rho$-trigonometrically convex function $h(y)$ is the following:
(a) for non-integral $\rho$,

$$
h(y)=\frac{1}{2 \rho \sin \pi \rho}\left\{\int_{0}^{y} \cos \rho(y-x-\pi) d s(x)+\int_{y}^{2 \pi} \cos \rho(y-x+\pi) d s(x)\right\}:
$$

(b) for integral $\rho$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(y)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi \rho} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(x-y) \sin \rho(y-x) d s(x) \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{y}^{2 \pi} \sin \rho(y-x) d s(x)+A \cos \rho y+B \sin \rho y,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i \rho x} d s(x)=0 \\
A=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x) \cos \rho x d x \quad \text { and } \quad B=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x) \sin \rho x d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

In both cases $s(y)$ is given by

$$
s(y)=h^{\prime}(y)+\rho^{2} \int^{x} h(t) d t .
$$

Conversely, if $s(x)$ is a non-decreasing function and if $h(y)$ is defined as in part (a), then $h(y)$ is $\rho$-trigonometrically convex. If s(x) is a non-decreasing function satistying

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i \rho x} d s(x)=0
$$

and if h(y) is defined as in part (b) (where $A$ and $B$ are arbitrary real constants), then $h(y)$ is $\rho$-trigonometrically convex.

Proof We need to establish a one-to-one correspondence between the $2 \pi$-periodic trigonometrically convex functions $h(y)$ and the non-decreasing functions $s(y)$ satisfying the statements of the theorem.

First, suppose that $h(y)$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic trigonometrically convex function. Then $h(y)$ has a derivative at all points except possibly on a countable set $N$ (cf. [1, p. 55]). Let

$$
s(y)=h^{\prime}(y)+\rho^{2} \int^{y} h(t) d t
$$

if $y$ is not in $N$, and if $y$ is in $N$ use either the derivative of $f(y)$ (whose indicator is $h(y))$ from the left or from the right instead of $h^{\prime}(y)$, which exist by [2, p. 54]. Then, by Levin [1, p. 57], $s(y)$ is a non-decreasing function on $[0,2 \pi]$.

Secondly, we show that every non-decreasing function $s(y)$ on $[0,2 \pi]$ determines a $2 \pi$-periodic trigonometrically convex function $h(y)$. By Levin [1, p. 57], it suffices to show that ihere exists $h(y)$ such that

$$
s(y)=h^{\prime}(y)+\rho^{2} \int^{y} h(t) d t
$$

Equivalently, we must construct the Green's function $G(x, y)$ for the differential
operator $h^{\prime \prime}+\rho^{2} h$ with some boundary conditions (we are assuming here that $s(y)$ is differentiable, with the case of non-differentiable $s(y)$ treated later in this paper).

To determine these boundary conditions observe that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y)\left\{h^{\prime \prime}(x)+\rho^{2} h(x)\right\} d x=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y) h^{\prime \prime}(x) d x+\rho^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y) h(x) d x
$$

Integrating by parts twice we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y)\left\{h^{\prime \prime}(x)+\rho^{2} h(x)\right\} d x= & G(2 \pi, y) h^{\prime}(2 \pi)-G(0, y) h^{\prime}(0)  \tag{1}\\
& -\left\{G_{x}(2 \pi, y) h(2 \pi)-G_{x}(0, y) h(0)\right\} \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\{G_{x x}(x, y)+\rho^{2} G(x, y)\right\} h(x) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we require of $G$ the periodic boundary conditions

$$
G(0, y)=G(2 \pi, y), \quad G_{x}(0, y)=G_{x}(2 \pi, y)
$$

and

$$
G_{x x}(x, y)+\rho^{2} G(x, y)=0
$$

Now, the general solution of

$$
h^{\prime \prime}(x)+\rho^{2} h(x)=0
$$

is

$$
h_{0}(x)=A \cos \rho x+B \sin \rho x .
$$

From the above boundary conditions, we can write

$$
h_{0}(0)=h_{0}(2 \pi) \quad \text { and } \quad h_{0}^{\prime}(0)=h_{0}^{\prime}(2 \pi) .
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
(1-\cos 2 \pi \rho) A-(\sin 2 \pi \rho) B=0, \quad(\sin 2 \pi \rho) A+(1-\cos 2 \pi \rho) B=0 .
$$

The determinant of coefficients of $A$ and $B$ in the above system is $2(1-\cos 2 \pi \rho)$. Thus we consider two cases:

Case $1 \rho$ is non-integral: In this case $1-\cos 2 \pi \rho$ is non-zero. Thus $A=B=0$ and consequently there is no non-trivial solution $h_{0}(x)$ of

$$
h^{\prime \prime}(x)+\rho^{2} h(x)=0
$$

under the prescribed boundary conditions. Since

$$
G_{x x}(x, y)+\rho^{2} G(x, y)=0,
$$

we have

$$
G(x, y)= \begin{cases}c_{1} \cos \rho x+c_{2} \sin \rho x, & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x<y \\ c_{3} \cos \rho x+c_{4} \sin \rho x, & \text { if } y<x \leqslant 2 \pi\end{cases}
$$

where $c_{i}$ is a function $c_{i}(y)(i=1,2,3,4)$. By the boundary condition

$$
G(0, x)=G(2 \pi, x)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=c_{3} \cos 2 \pi \rho+c_{4} \sin 2 \pi \rho . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G(x, y)$ is differentiable as a function of $x$ for a fixed $y$,

$$
G_{x}(x, y)= \begin{cases}-\rho c_{1} \sin \rho x+\rho c_{2} \cos \rho x, & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x<y \\ -\rho c_{3} \sin \rho x+\rho c_{4} \cos \rho x, & \text { if } y<x \leqslant 2 \pi .\end{cases}
$$

By the boundary condition

$$
G_{x}(0, y)=G_{x}(2 \pi, y)
$$

we get
(3)

$$
c_{2}=-c_{3} \sin 2 \pi \rho+c_{4} \cos 2 \pi \rho .
$$

Since

$$
G_{x}(y+0, y)-G_{x}(y-0, y)=-1
$$

we casily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{1}-c_{3}\right) \rho \sin \rho y+\left(c_{2}-c_{4}\right)(-\rho \cos \rho y)=-1 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the continuity of $G(x, y)$ at $(x, y), 0 \leqslant x, y \leqslant 2 \pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{1}-c_{3}\right) \cos \rho y+\left(c_{2}-c_{4}\right) \sin \rho y=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving equations (3.4) and (3.5) for $c_{1}-c_{3}$ and $c_{2}-c_{4}$ we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}-c_{3}=-\sin (\rho y) / \rho \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}-c_{4}=\cos (\rho v) / \rho \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting

$$
c_{3}=c_{1}+\sin (\rho y) / \rho \quad \text { and } \quad c_{4}=c_{2}-\cos (\rho y) / \rho
$$

into equations (2) and (3) we easily see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1-\cos 2 \pi \rho) c_{1}-(\sin 2 \pi \rho) c_{2}=\sin \rho(y-2 \pi) / \rho  \tag{8}\\
(\sin 2 \pi \rho) c_{1}+(1-\cos 2 \pi \rho) c_{2}=-\cos \rho(y-2 \pi) / \rho \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Solving equations (8) and (9) for $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ we find that

$$
c_{1}=-\cos \rho(y-\pi) / 2 \rho \sin \pi \rho \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}=-\sin \rho(y-\pi) / 2 \rho \sin \pi \rho .
$$

Now

$$
c_{3}=-\cos \rho(y+\pi) / 2 \rho \sin \pi \rho \quad \text { and } \quad c_{4}=-\sin \rho(y+\pi) / 2 \rho \sin \pi \rho .
$$

Thus, it is easy to see that

$$
G(x, y)= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{2 \rho \sin \pi \rho} \cos \rho(y \quad x \quad \pi), & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x<y \\ -\frac{1}{2 \rho \sin \pi \rho} \cos \rho(y-x+\pi), & \text { if } y<x \leqslant 2 \pi\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to check that $G(x, y)$ is a Green's function. Moreover, by Yosida [2, p. 71], $G(x, y)$ is uniquely determined.

If $s^{\prime}(y)$ is continuous, then by Yosida [2, p. 66]

$$
h(y)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y)\left\{-s^{\prime}(x)\right\} d x
$$

and thus

$$
h(y)=\frac{1}{2 \rho \sin \pi \rho}-\left\{\int_{0}^{y} \cos \rho(y-x-\pi) d s(x)+\int_{y}^{2 \pi} \cos \rho(y-x+\pi) d s(x)\right\} .
$$

If $s^{\prime}(x)$ is not continuous, then since $s\left(\frac{y}{2 \pi}\right)$ is continuous on $[0,2 \pi]$ it follows by the Bernstein theorem (cf. [5, p. 5]) that

$$
\lim B_{n}(s, y / 2 \pi)=s(y / 2 \pi), \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

uniformly on $[0,2 \pi]$, where $B_{i i}$ is the Bernstein polynomial. Moreover. since $s(y, 2 \pi)$ is a non-decreasing function, $\left\{B_{n}(s, y / 2 \pi)\right\}$ is a sequence of non-decreasing functions [6, p. 23]. Furthermore, it is clear that $B_{n}(s, y / 2 \pi)$ is differentiable and $B_{n}^{\prime}(s, y / 2 \pi)$ is continuous for each $n=1,2,3, \ldots$. Thus, letting $t=y / 2 \pi$, there exist trigonometrically convex functions $h_{n}(t)$ such that

$$
h_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \rho \sin \pi \rho}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \cos \rho(t-x-\pi) d B_{n}(s, x)+\int_{t}^{2 \pi} \cos \rho(t-x+\pi) d B_{n}(s, x)\right\} .
$$

Hence, it is clear that $h(t)=\lim h_{n}(t)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. exists. Moreover, $h(t)$ is trigonometrically convex by the lemma. Furthermore, by Rudin [13, p. 139, Theorem 7.16]

$$
h(t)=\frac{1}{2 \rho \sin \pi \rho}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \cos \rho(t-x-\pi) d s(x)+\int_{t}^{2 \pi} \cos \rho(t-x+\pi) d s(x)\right\} .
$$

If $s(y)$ is a non-decreasing but non-differentiable function, we can still approximate $s$ by a sequence of non-decreasing Bernstein polynomials and then pass to the limit as before (cf. [6, p. 23]).

Case $2 \rho$ is integral: Let $s(y)$ be a non-decreasing function on $[0,2 \pi]$ satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i \rho x} d s(x)=0
$$

Using the method of approximation as in (a) we can assume without loss of generality that $s(y)$ is differentiable and $s^{\prime}(y)$ is continuous on $[0.2 \pi]$. Hence consider

$$
h^{\prime \prime}(y)+\rho^{2} h(y)=s^{\prime}(y) .
$$

If we proceed as in the proof of (a) using equation (1) to require of $G(x, y)$ that $G_{x x}(x, y)+\rho^{2} G(x, y)=0, G(0, y)=G(2 \pi, y)$ and $G_{x}(0, y)=G_{x}(2 \pi, y)$, we notice that a solution $h(y)$ of the equation

$$
s(y)=h^{\prime}(y)+\rho^{2} \int^{y} h(t) d t
$$

cannot be constructed when $\rho$ is an integer, because in this case the homogeneous differential equation

$$
h^{\prime \prime}(y)+\rho^{2} h(y)=0
$$

has the periodic solutions $\cos \rho y$ and $\sin \rho y$ and thercfore there is no Green's function satisfying the periodic boundary conditions. To see this, we argue by contradiction. Suppose

$$
G(x, y)= \begin{cases}c_{1} \cos \rho x+c_{2} \sin \rho x, & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x<y \\ c_{3} \cos \rho x+c_{4} \sin \rho x, & \text { if } y<x \leqslant 2 \pi\end{cases}
$$

where $c_{i}$ is a function $c_{i}(y)(i=1,2,3,4)$.
By the boundary conditions

$$
G(0, y)=G(2 \pi, y) . \quad G_{x}(0, y)=G_{x}(2 \pi, y),
$$

it follow that $r_{1}=r_{3}$ and $c_{2}-c_{4}$. Now

$$
G_{x}(y+0, y)-G_{x}(y-0, y)=-1
$$

gives the desired contradiction. Nevertheless, we can construct a generalized Green's function that gives the periodic solution $h(y)$ of the inhomogeneous differential equation

$$
h^{\prime \prime}(y)+\rho^{2} h(y)=s^{\prime}(y)
$$

when $s^{\prime}(y)$ is orthogonal to the solutions $\cos \beta y$ and $\sin \rho y$ (i.e. $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i \rho x} s^{\prime}(x) d x=0$ ) of the homogeneous differential equation by requiring that

$$
G_{x x}(x, y)+\rho^{2} G(x, y)=-\delta(x-y)+\alpha_{1} \cos \rho y \cos \rho x+\alpha_{2} \sin \rho y \sin \rho x
$$

with $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ chosen so that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}(\sin \rho x)\left\{-\delta(x-y)+\alpha_{1} \cos \rho y \cos \rho x+\alpha_{2} \sin \rho y \sin \rho x\right\} d x=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}(\cos \rho x)\left\{-\delta(x-y)+\alpha_{1} \cos \rho y \cos \rho x+\alpha_{2} \sin \rho y \sin \rho x\right\} d x=0
$$

where $\delta(t)$ is Dirac's $\delta$-function ( $\delta(t)=0$ if $t$ is different from zero). Using the facts that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}(\sin \rho x) \delta(x-y) d x=\sin \rho y \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(\cos \rho x) \delta(x-y) d x=\cos \rho y
$$

a direct computation shows that $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=1 / \pi$. Thus the required condition becomes

$$
G_{x x}(x, y)+\rho^{2} G(x, y)=-\delta(x-y)+{ }_{\pi}^{1} \cos \rho(y-x)
$$

Since $\delta(x-y)=0$ when $x$ is different from $y$ and since $-(1 / 2 \pi \rho)\{x \sin \rho(y-x)\}$ is the particular solution due to the additional $(1 / \pi) \cos \rho(y-x)$ in the differential equation,
we can write

$$
G(x, y)=-\frac{x \sin \rho(y-x)}{2 \pi \rho}+ \begin{cases}A \cos \rho x+B \sin \rho x, & 0 \leqslant x<y \\ C \cos \rho x+D \sin \rho x, & y<x \leqslant 2 \pi\end{cases}
$$

where $A, B, C$ and $D$ are functions of $y$ to be determined. Using the boundary conditions, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C-A=\frac{\sin \rho y}{\rho} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B-D=\frac{\cos \rho y}{\rho} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
G=-x \sin \rho(x-y)+\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
A \cos \rho x+B \sin \rho x, & 0 \leqslant x<y \\
2 \pi \rho
\end{array}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 \cos \rho x+B \sin p x\}+\frac{\sin \rho(y-x)}{\rho},
\end{array}, y<x \leqslant 2 \pi\right.\right.
$$

That is
(12) $G=-\frac{x \sin \rho(y-x)}{2 \pi \rho}+A \cos \rho x+B \sin \rho x+\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & 0 \leqslant x<y \\ \sin \rho(y-x) \\ \rho\end{array}, \quad y<x \leqslant 2 \pi\right.$.

Since $\sin \rho x$ and $\cos \rho x$ are non-trivial solutions of

$$
G_{x x}(x, y)+\rho^{2} G(x, y)=0
$$

using one condition of the definition of the generalized Green's function, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y) \cos \rho x d x=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y) \sin \rho x d x=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering equation (13), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi \rho} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x \sin \rho(y-x) \cos \rho x d x+A \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos ^{2} \rho x d x \\
& +B \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin \rho x \cos \rho x d x+\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{y}^{2 \pi} \sin \rho(y-x) \cos \rho x d x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{4 \pi \rho} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} x\{\sin \rho y+\sin \rho(y-2 x)\} d x+\frac{A}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(1+\cos 2 \rho x) d x \\
& +
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin 2 \mu x d x=0$ and hence we can solve for $A$ by integration and get

$$
A=\frac{y \sin \rho y}{2 \pi \rho}+\frac{\cos \rho y}{4 \pi \rho^{2}}-\frac{\sin \rho y}{2 \rho} .
$$

Similarly, by consider equation (14), we get

$$
B=\frac{\sin \rho y}{4 \pi \rho^{2}}+\frac{\cos \rho y}{2 \rho}-\frac{y \cos \rho y}{2 \pi \rho} .
$$

Substituting $A$ and $B$ into equation (12) and simplifying the result we get
$G(x, y)=\frac{(y-x) \sin \rho(y-x)}{2 \pi \rho}+\frac{\cos \rho(y-x)}{4 \pi \rho^{2}}-\frac{\sin \rho(y-x)}{2 \rho}+\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & 0 \leqslant x<y \\ \sin \rho(y-x) \\ \rho\end{array}, \quad y<x \leqslant 2 \pi\right.$.
Now it is trivial to see that $G(x, 1)$ is a generatized Green's function.
By equation (!) and the boundary conditions. we have

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y)\left\{h^{\prime \prime}(x)+\rho^{2} h(x)\right\} d x=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x)\left\{G_{x x}(x, y)+\rho^{2} G(x, y)\right\} d x
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y) s^{\prime}(x) d x & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x)\left\{-\delta(x-y)+\frac{1}{\pi} \cos \rho(y-x)\right\} d x \\
& =-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x) \delta(x-y) d x+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x) \cos \rho(y-x) d x \\
& =-h(y)+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x) \cos \rho(y-x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(y) & =-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y) d s(x)+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x)\{\cos \rho y \cos \rho x+\sin \rho y \sin \rho x\} d x \\
& =-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G(x, y) d s(x)+A \cos \rho y+B \sin \rho y
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x) \cos \rho x d x \quad \text { and } \quad B=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(x) \sin \rho x d x
$$

Using $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i p x} d s(x)=0$, a simple computation leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(y)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi \rho} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(x-y) \sin \rho(y-x) d s(x) \\
& -\frac{1}{\rho} \int_{y}^{2 \pi} \sin \rho(y-x) d s(x)+A \cos \rho y+B \sin \rho y
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the converse follows from Levin [1, p. 57] and the Leibnitz formula [7, p. 245].
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